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Abstract
The purpose of the present research was (I-II) to evaluate the effects of clinical, hand-held Doppler (HHD) and duplex ultrasonographic examinations on the planning of operative procedure for primary varicose veins, (III) to assess the feasibility, safety and efficacy of endovenous saphenous vein obliteration with radiofrequency-resistive heating and (IV) to compare endovenous saphenous vein obliteration with conventional stripping operation in terms of short-term recovery and costs.

Sixty-two legs (in 49 consecutive patients) and 142 legs (in 111 consecutive patients) with primary uncomplicated varicose veins were examined clinically and with HHD and duplex ultrasonography for planning the subsequent treatment. At the saphenous-femoral junction (SFJ) and at the saphenous-popliteal junction (SPJ), sensitivity was 56-64% and 23%, specificity 93-97% and 96%, positive predictive value 97-98% and 43% and negative predictive value 44-45% and 91%, respectively. In 9% of the cases, the treatment plan was modified on the basis of the duplex ultrasound findings. The present study showed that, in primary uncomplicated varicose veins, the accuracy of HHD is unsatisfactory.

Thirty legs of 27 patients with varicose veins were treated using an endovenous catheter (Closure® System, VNUS Medical Technologies, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA), which was inserted under ultrasound guidance via a percutaneous puncture or a skin incision. The persistence of vein occlusion and complications potentially attributable to the endovenous treatment were assessed at 1-week, 6-week, 3-month, 6-month and 1-year follow-up visits. By the time of the last follow-up visit, occlusion of the treated segment of the LSV had been achieved in 22 (73.3%) legs. Persisting patency or recanalization of LSV was detected in 8 legs (26.7%). Postoperative complications included saphenous nerve paresthesia in 3 legs (10%) and thermal skin injury in one limb (3%).

Twenty-eight selected patients admitted for operative treatment of varicose veins in the tributaries of the primary long saphenous were randomly assigned to endovenous obliteration (n = 15) or stripping operation (n = 13). The patients were followed up for 7-8 weeks postoperatively and examined by duplex ultrasonography. The comparison of costs included both direct medical costs and costs due to lost of productivity. All operations were successful, and the complication rates were similar in the two groups. The sick leaves were significantly shorter in the endovenous obliteration group [6.5 (SD 3.5) vs. 15.6 (SD 6.0), 95% CI 5.4 to 12.9, p <lt 0.001, t-test]. When the value of the lost working days was included, the endovenous obliteration was societally cost-saving.
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**Abbreviations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CEAP</td>
<td>clinical, etiologic, anatomic and pathophysiologic classification of chronic venous disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVD</td>
<td>chronic venous disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVI</td>
<td>chronic venous insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>continuous wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVT</td>
<td>deep venous thrombosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHD</td>
<td>hand-held Doppler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV</td>
<td>long saphenous vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Mann-Whitney U-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>radiofrequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFJ</td>
<td>saphenofemoral junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPJ</td>
<td>saphenopopliteal junction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSV</td>
<td>short saphenous vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>Student’s t-test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>tributary vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>ultrasound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSS</td>
<td>venous clinical severity score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS</td>
<td>venous disability score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSDS</td>
<td>venous segmental disease score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV</td>
<td>varicose veins</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1 Introduction

Diseases of the venous system are common among the Western population and result in considerable morbidity and costs to the health service. Half of the adult population has minor stigmata of venous disease (females, 50-55%; males, 40-50%) but less than half of them has visible varicose veins (females, 20-25%; males, 10-15%) (Callam 1994; Evans et al. 1994). The incidence of varicose veins (VV) increases with age. In Finland, the lifetime prevalence of VV is 18% among men and 32% among women (Laurikka et al. 1993).

Chronic venous disease (CVD) is defined as abnormal functioning of the venous system caused by venous valvular incompetence, which may affect the superficial or deep venous system or both. The availability of noninvasive techniques that provide accurate diagnoses of conditions in the veins of the lower extremities has made it possible to specify functional and pathophysiologic conditions of the venous systems (Kistner et al. 1996). Limbs with CVD should be classified according to the CEAP system (Porter & Moneta 1995). The basis of this classification is that symptomatic limbs are assessed for clinical signs, etiologic problems, the anatomic distribution of the process and the pathophysiologic nature of the dysfunction. The seven categories of clinical classification are based on objective signs of CVD that are universally recognized (Kistner et al. 1996). As used in this book, the term 'varicose veins' (VV) refers to clinical class 2 of the CEAP classification. All patients examined or treated in this study had mild CVD with superficial venous system insufficiency.

The introduction of non-invasive methods for preoperative evaluation of varicose veins, such as hand-held Doppler (HHD) and duplex ultrasound has been associated with marked changes in the diagnosis and planning of treatment as compared with clinical assessment alone (Pleass & Holdsworth 1996). HHD is a relatively inexpensive method for assessing venous incompetence, and it is easily learned and performed by clinicians without any need for an experienced vascular technologist (Bladin & Royle 1987). However, this method is still inaccurate and, in a recent series, preoperative planning of treatment by HHD was associated with a 5-year recurrence rate of over 34% (Dwerryhouse et al. 1999). Currently, colour flow duplex scanning is considered the gold standard for non-invasive anatomical and functional assessment of venous reflux (Dixon 1996). Duplex ultrasound is particularly useful in the assessment of complex venous
disease and varicose vein recurrence (Jiang et al. 1999). However, duplex ultrasound examination is time-consuming and more expensive than HHD and requires an experienced examiner (van der Heijden & Bruyninckx 1993). A few studies have shown the superiority of duplex scanning over HHD (DePalma et al. 1993; van der Heijden & Bruyninckx 1993; Salaman et al. 1995; Campbell et al. 1997; Darke et al. 1997; Singh et al. 1997; Kent & Weston 1998a; Wills et al. 1998), but whether this superiority can be translated into significant changes in surgical planning and a better outcome is still not clear.

Interest in venous surgery has increased among vascular surgeons, in spite of this superficial vein procedures are still regarded by many as being part of general surgery (Galland & Magee 1998). In the Western countries, operations on mild CVD are among the commonest surgical procedures. In Finland, approximately 220 varicose vein operations per 100,000 inhabitants are performed each year (Laurikka et al. 1993).

Conventional ablative surgery was described about a hundred years ago (Mayo 1906). The stripping operation is a relatively inexpensive day surgery procedure that requires no special instrumentation.

Although superficial vein surgery is regarded as a safe and minor procedure, it is associated with significant surgical morbidity, patient dissatisfaction and a high late recurrence rate (Davies et al. 1995). However, it has been shown that the quality of life is reduced in patients with mild CVD as compared to the general population, and that surgery may improve it (Smith et al. 1999). Stripping operation has long-term advantages compared to high ligation of the saphenofemoral junction and multiple avulsions of the varicosities in the treatment of superficial vein insufficiency (Bergan 1996; Dwerryhouse et al. 1999).

Inadequate preoperative evaluation and incorrect planning of the surgical procedure are considered the main reasons for the high recurrence rates after superficial venous surgery. Recurrence is especially common after primary long saphenous vein (LSV) stripping operation. Rates of up to 40% at 5 years have been reported, and approximately 20% of varicose vein operations are done for recurrent varicosities (Negus 1993; Royle 1986). Furthermore, re-operations also result in marked losses of productivity. In Finland, the average duration of sick leave after a stripping operation has been four weeks (statistical information from the Finnish National Pensions Institution 1997). Therefore, the economic burden associated with primary mild CVD surgery and the treatment of recurrences is relevant.

This has led to the development of mini-invasive techniques to replace the traditional procedure of stripping the LSV. Endovenous obliteration (Closure® System, VNUS Medical Technologies, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) is a new method, by which a catheter is inserted percutaneously to treat LSV insufficiency (Chandler et al. 2000a; Manfrini et al. 2000). Five Fr and 8 Fr catheters allow for obliteration of non-tortuous veins from 2 to 12 mm in diameter. The operative costs of this new technique are higher than those related to the conventional stripping procedure because of the costs of the device and the disposable catheters. On the other hand, the procedure is less invasive and may therefore result in shorter convalescence and an ability to resume work sooner, thus reducing the costs of lost productivity.

In the present clinical prospective studies, we evaluated the impact of ultrasound examinations on the preoperative diagnosis of primary varicose veins (I, II) and the
feasibility and efficacy of ultrasound (US)- and fluoroscopy-guided endovenous saphenous vein obliteration by radiofrequency-resistive heating in the treatment of primary venous insufficiency (III). We also compared the results of endovenous saphenous vein obliteration with conventional stripping operation in a randomized fashion in order to evaluate the outcome in terms of postoperative pain, length of sick leave, health-related quality of life and cost (IV).
2 Review of literature

2.1 Surgical anatomy of the saphenous veins

One of the pitfalls in venous surgery lies in inadequate knowledge of the venous physiology and anatomy. In contrast to the anatomy of the arteries, the venous anatomy is characterized by numerous variations, which have a certain impact on the diagnosis and surgery of CVD. The superficial venous system of the lower extremity is a network of fine veins, mainly from skin, that merge into branch veins running into two principal superficial veins, the long and the short saphenous veins (synonyms: greater or internal, and lesser or external, respectively). These two clearly identifiable systems are freely interconnected. It is generally believed that the term saphenous derives from the Greek word *safaina*, which means ‘evident’. Historians have however, disproved this assumption as intenable. It seems that the origin of the word *saphenous* is the Arabic word *el safin*, which means ‘hidden’ or ‘concealed’. This is in harmony with the deep location of the saphenous veins below the superficial fascia. (Caggiati & Bergan 2001)

The superficial veins of the lower extremity are usually described as continuous single trunks. The long saphenous vein (LSV) runs subcutaneously up the inner leg and thigh to the groin and passes through the saphenous hiatus of the fascia lata to drain into the common femoral vein. The short saphenous vein (SSV) runs behind the lateral malleolus along the lateral side of the calf, passes through the deep fascia somewhere between the mid-calf and the knee and continues beneath the fascia to join the popliteal vein at a variable level, but usually opposite the femoral condyles. At the saphenopopliteal junction (SPJ), it commonly gives off an upward extension, called the Giacomini vein, which may run deep and parallel to the profunda femoris vein, or superficially, curving round to join the LSV via its posteromedial branch in the upper thigh. The superficial venous system of the lower limb is arranged as described in current textbooks in 50 % or less of patients (Shah *et al.* 1986; Kupinski *et al.* 1993). There is marked variation in the anatomy of the superficial venous system involving the origin, course, size, duplication and depth of truncal and tributary veins (TV), the number and topography of perforating veins, the valvular distribution and the arrangement of communicating veins.

A proper understanding of the anatomy of the superficial veins is elementary for improving the results of operative treatment for primary CVD (De Maeseneer *et al.*
1995). From a surgical point of view, the most important variations occur at the venous junctions, the saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) in the groin and the saphenopopliteal junction (SPJ) in the popliteal fossa. The anatomical arrangement of the individual tributaries at the SFJ, namely the superficial epigastric, iliac circumflex and external pudendal veins as well as the lateral or medial accessory saphenous veins can be very different from one leg to another (Blomquist 1968). The anatomy of the SSV is even more complicated, because not only the tributaries may vary, but also the location of the saphenopopliteal junction. In only 50 to 70 % of the cases is the saphenopopliteal junction located in the popliteal fossa, whereas in about 10 % it is found below it. In the remaining 30% to 40 %, the SSV terminates clearly above the popliteal fossa, with or without connection with the popliteal vein (De Maeseneer et al. 1993). Duplication of the LSV is an anatomical variation, which is frequently reported in the surgical and radiological literature owing to its postulated role in recurrence after CVD surgery. The incidence of a double LSV system varies from 1 to 86 %. This large discrepancy in incidence may be due to the lack of a clear definition of a double LSV as well as to the lack of objective parameters for its identification (Ricci & Caggiati 1999). Large perforating veins (Hunterian, Dodd’s and Boyd’s perforators) of the LSV also have some influence on the pathogenesis of superficial venous insufficiency (Papadakis et al. 1989).

The fibular branch of the LSV posterior arch vein (Leonardo’s vein) has connections with the posterior fibular vein via Cockett’s perforating veins (Fig. 1). Neglected insufficiency in the posterior arch vein is quite often the cause of recurrence or even venous ulcer in the lower leg. The lateral subdermal system, or “Albanese” veins, arise from the infragenicular and paraperoneal veins, and are remnants of the fetal circulation (Albanese et al. 1969). They usually comprise an important component of lateral thigh and lateral calf telangiectatic flares as the feeding conduit (Weiss & Weiss 1993b).

The anatomic relationships between the LSV and the saphenous nerve and the SSV and the sural nerve should also have an effect on the selection of the surgical technique in the treatment of the insufficient superficial veins. A cadaver study reveal an intimate relationship between the LSV and the saphenous nerve in the lower leg and the results indicated that the risk of nerve damage can be reduced by making the distal incision prior to extraction of the LSV immediately below the knee (Holme et al. 1988). The sural nerve descends anterolaterally to the SSV and gives off two lateral cutaneous calcaneal branches. This should be known when performing the surgical stripping of the SSV to avoid sural nerve damage (Simonetti et al. 1999).
Fig. 1. Superficial veins: LSV; long saphenous vein, SSV; short saphenous vein, SFJ; saphenofemoral junction, PAV; posterior arch vein, SPJ; saphenopopliteal junction. (Picture drawn by Pentti Rautio)

Fig. 2. Anatomy of the right saphenofemoral junction: AL; anterolateral tributary, FV; femoral vein, IL; inguinal ligament, PM; posteromedial tributary, SCI; superficial circumflex iliac vein, SE; inferior superficial epigastric vein, SEP; superficial external pudendal vein. (Picture drawn by Pentti Rautio)
2.2 Normal venous function

The primary and most obvious function of the venous system is to return to the heart the blood that has passed through of the arteries and capillaries. Four aspects of normal venous hemodynamics are of concern: venous pressure and the role of gravity, venous volume and its relationship with compliance, pressure-flow phenomena in collapsible tubes and venous valves and their contribution to the venous pump mechanism (Sumner & van Bemmelen 1997). By far the most powerful force propelling venous return flow is the musculovenous pumping mechanism, which can handle large volumes rapidly and generate a force well in excess of that required for venous return against gravity (Scurr & Tibbs 1997). When the limb is in the dependent position, a normal set of valves in the deep and superficial veins will prevent reflux of blood against the normal direction of venous flow.

2.3 Pathophysiology of chronic venous disease

2.3.1 Uncomplicated chronic venous disease

Failure of competence in the venous valves will lead to retrograde flow down the limb when the patient stands up or after exercise movement has resulted in slack veins in the lower part of the leg. In the superficial veins, this is the basis of the most common venous disorder – simple varicose veins (Scurr & Tibbs 1997). The term ‘varicose vein’ refers to veins that are abnormally large and tortuous. This term applies to both the large protruding veins within the superficial subcutaneous fascia and the smaller ”spider veins” that occur just beneath the epidermis (Goldman & Fronek 1989).

Varicose veins are classified according to the three circumstances of unnatural flow that result in enlarged tortuous veins. Primary varicose veins occur only in the superficial veins of the lower limb and are by far the most common variety of this disease. The pathogenesis of CVD is still largely unclear. Some authors believe that VV result from a failure of valves in the superficial veins, leading to venous reflux and vein dilatation (Goldman & Fronek 1989). Another theory suggests that the structural integrity of the vein wall is compromised, resulting in dilation of the vein and separation of valve cusps, which subsequently renders a previously competent valve incompetent (Cotton 1961). Secondary varicose veins are caused by an obstruction in the deep vein system of the lower limb, in which the superficial veins work as collateral vessels. Secondary venous insufficiency may sporadically also occur as a complication of an arteriovenous fistula.

In the case of teleangiectases, the dilatations develop between the epidermis and the hypodermis in the subpapillary dermal plexus and usually measure between 0.1 and 1 mm. They may be constituted by veins, arteries or capillaries. In chronic venous insufficiency, all teleangiectases are accompanied by reticular varices, even when not visible on a clinical examination. In most cases, the sources of reflux are distinguishable as incompetent perforating veins and situated beneath teleangiectactic efflorescences (Mariani et al. 2000).
Superficial venous insufficiency is most often caused by reflux via venous junctions or via perforating veins of the superficial veins to the LSV or SSV trunks. Less common sources of retrograde circuit are the ovarian veins via the pelvic veins or the pelvic veins to the upper thigh and the Giacomini branch or gastrocnemius vein via a mid-leg perforator to the calf. Until a few years ago, VV were considered to be a response to a dynamic process secondary to reversed flow and not merely a result of static distension of the veins. However, the suggestion that valvular insufficiency in the LSV or SSV is the principal cause underlying varicosities has now been questioned. Originally, it was thought that the descending valvular incompetence of veins commenced proximally and progressed distally. The results of duplex scanning studies have shown that reflux in the LSV is quite common without SFJ incompetence (Labropoulos et al. 1999; Hollingsworth et al. 2001), and the authors have suggested that the development of the primary VV may be an ascending rather than a descending phenomenon (Abu-Own et al. 1994).

In the CEAP clinical classification, objective signs of CVD are divided into seven categories with further subscripts to indicate the presence or absence of symptoms (Porter & Masuda 1995). Patients with uncomplicated CVD (teleangiectasies or varicose veins) are often asymptomatic. The relationship with mild clinical findings (CEAP clinical class 0-3) and leg symptoms is poor. Thus, surgery is unlikely to ameliorate symptoms in the majority of patients with VV. (Bradbury et al. 1999)

2.3.2 Complicated chronic venous disease

Venous insufficiency is a condition of inadequate venous return and hypertension when the patient is in an upright position. An increase in venous pressure results in a corresponding increase in capillary pressure and characteristic changes in the skin and subcutaneous tissue. Capillary transudation with protein molecules leads to deposition of fibrin, which forms a barrier to nutritional exchange between the capillaries and the surrounding tissue (Allegra & Carlizza 2000). Leukocytes are trapped in the capillaries causing further damage to the endothelium and the vessel walls and slowing down microvascular circulation (Dormandy & Thomas 1989). Extravasated hemosiderin gives the characteristic brown skin pigmentation. The outflow of fluid and corpuscles from the capillaries into the interstitial tissue initiates some of the mechanisms leading to symptoms of CVI (Allegra & Carlizza 2000). Swelling, venous eczema and dermatitis, lipodermatosclerosis, pigmentation and finally venous ulcer take many months, or even years, to develop. Sensory neuropathy is another feature of severe chronic venous insufficiency, and its distribution is coincident with trophic changes (Padberg Jr et al. 1999).

Skin changes ascribed to CVD may be caused by insufficiency of the deep or the superficial venous system or both. In patients with skin changes and ulceration, the incidence of reflux in the superficial veins has been found to vary from 17% to 53% (Sethia & Darke 1984; Hanrahan et al. 1991; Lees & Lambert 1993; Shami et al. 1993) In duplex scanning studies, reflux in the whole LSV occurs in approximately 8% of limbs with venous ulcer (Labropoulos et al. 1994a; Bello et al. 1999). However, Bello et al
observed deep venous obstruction in roughly two-thirds of such limbs (Bello et al. 1999). At any rate, the role of superficial venous insufficiency seems to be important in the development of venous skin changes.

The treatment of skin complications of CVD is expensive. Thus, the diagnostic evaluation and surgical treatment should be directed towards the subgroup of patients with complicated CVD in order to prevent leg ulcers (van den Oever et al. 1998).

### 2.4 Risk factors and etiology of chronic venous disease

The cause of primary VV is probably multifactorial. It has been suggested that a genetic or acquired predisposition may underlie the development of VV (Cornu-Thenard et al. 1994). Pregnancy has an association with the development of a variety of skin disorders including spider telangiectasia and VV (Sadick 1992). CVD occurs in 10% to 20% of pregnant women (Sumner 1981). The diameters of both competent and incompetent superficial veins increase during pregnancy and decrease during the postpartum period, gradually returning to their baseline values (Boivin et al. 2000). It has been suggested that hormonal or other systemic factors may play a significant role in the development of postpartum VV (Cordts & Gawley 1996). The results of recent epidemiological and biochemical studies support the theory of alternations in the sex hormone balance having a role in the pathogenesis of CVD even in menopausal women (Lee et al. 1999; Ciardullo et al. 2000). The increased concentrations of sex hormone receptors in the varicosed segments of veins reinforce the notion of hormonal involvement in the disease process (Mashiah et al. 1999).

The recommendations to use preventive compression stockings seem justifiable among employees exposed to a high workplace temperature and required to carry loads and stand for long periods (Sobaszek et al. 1998). It has been also suggested that the diet may have some role in CVD development, but the available literature does not allow firm conclusions about such an association (Adhikari et al. 2000). The degree to which each of these risk factors contributes to VV development is difficult to ascertain.

According to the results of Mini-Finland Health Survey, age, height, body mass index (BMI), standing at work and number of deliveries were found to be the risk factors associated with varicosities (Sisto et al. 1995). The Framingham study also showed that the higher risks for varicose veins have been associated with obesity, high systolic blood pressure, cigarette smoking and low levels of physical activity (Brand et al. 1988).

### 2.5 Epidemiology of chronic venous disease

The prevalence and incidence of CVD vary among different populations. VV is predominantly a condition encountered in Western societies, and its incidence increases with age (Evans et al. 1994). Venous disorders of the lower extremity are rare in African or Australian aboriginal populations, where their incidence range from 0 to 5% (Stanhope 1975; Richardson & Dixon 1977). The results of several clinical and questionnaire
studies suggest that varicosities are less common in non-Caucasian and underdeveloped countries than in Caucasian westernized societies, where the prevalence of lower-limb venous disease is high, as shown in Table 1 (Callam 1994). According to a recent article reviewing all papers on the epidemiological prevalence (1966-1999) of venous disease, increase with age is linear, suggesting a constant incidence and a cumulative prevalence (Adhikari et al. 2000).

Table 1. Prevalence (%) of lower-limb venous disease in adults (Callam 1994)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Signs of lower-limb venous disease</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Venous disease (all types)¹</td>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>50-55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible varicose veins²</td>
<td>10-15</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic venous insufficiency³</td>
<td>2-7</td>
<td>3-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic venous leg ulceration</td>
<td>0.5-1</td>
<td>1.1-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Any evidence of venous disease including venectasia; ²reticular and truncal varicosities; ³hyperpigmentation, eczema and liposclerosis

According to a questionnaire study whose methods were tested to be sufficiently reliable (Laurikka et al. 1995), VV were common in middle-aged Finnish people, among women. The results of the Mini-Finland study (Sisto et al. 1995) were comparable with the findings of another Finnish study (Laurikka et al. 1993) and studies from other western nationalities. The prevalence of VV as diagnosed by a physician was 25% in women and 7% in men in Finland (Sisto et al. 1995).

The Edinburgh vein study (Evans et al. 1998; Evans et al. 1999) was the first relevant study on lower limb venous disease in the general population, using duplex scanning as a means of measuring venous reflux. Differing from the most of the clinical and questionnaire studies the age-adjusted prevalence of trunk varices was 39.7% in men and 32.2% in women. Hyphenweb and reticular varices each affected more than 80% of subjects (Evans et al. 1998; Evans et al. 1999).

There are only a few studies on the incidence of VV. In the Framingham study, the incidence of VV per year was 2.6% in women and 1.9% in men, and the two-year incidence of VV was 39.4/1000 for men and 51.9/1000 for women (Brand et al. 1988).

### 2.6 Classification of chronic venous disease

VV are categorized as teleangiectases (blue to red), venulectases, reticular veins, and non-saphenous and saphenous VV, depending on their size and intradermal or subcutaneous location. Heyerdale and Stalker proposed the first anatomic classification in 1941 (Heyerdale & Stalker 1941). The ‘revised vessel classification’ suggested by Weiss and Weiss (Weiss & Weiss 1993a), based on the original classification by Duffy (Duffy 1988) and the system used by Widmer in the Basle study (Widmer & Wandeler 1978), are more advanced classifications, because they are more complete and categorize the vessels according to their size and appearance in an increasing order (Somjen 1995). However, these classifications do not take into account the tests of venous function and rely
exclusively on the clinical appearance. Sytchev (1985) described a more comprehensive staging approach based on hemodynamic and phlebographic data in combination with clinical findings (Sytchev 1985). The original presentation of Reporting Standards in Venous Disease of the Ad Hoc Committee described classifications by anatomic region, by clinical severity, by physical examination and by functional assessment (Porter et al. 1988).

None of these classifications achieved universal acceptance and widespread use. Because of this, there was no possibility for meaningful communication about chronic venous disease, and a basis for a more scientific analysis of management alternatives in VV was missing. An international Ad Hoc Committee of the American Venous Forum addressed these problems. They produced a consensus document for the classification and grading of chronic venous disease, the CEAP classification (Porter & Moneta 1995), which was formally endorsed by the American Venous Forum and by the Joint Council of the Society for Vascular Surgery and the North American-International Society for Cardiovascular Surgery. As shown in Table 2, limbs with chronic venous disease are classified according to clinical signs (C), cause (E), anatomic distribution (A), and pathophysiologic condition (P) (Porter & Moneta 1995). If the physician accepts that the anatomic and physiologic complexities of the venous system of the lower extremities are observable through physiologic and imaging techniques, correlations between disease states and treatment alternatives can be developed through the organized approach imposed by the CEAP system (Kistner et al. 1996). In the daily practice, the clinical classification is the most important and practical one (Table 3).

Table 2. Classification of chronic lower extremity venous disease (Porter & Moneta 1995)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Clinical signs (grade (0-6)), supplemented by ((s)) for symptomatic and ((a)) for asymptomatic presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Etiologic Classification (Congenital, Primary, Secondary)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Anatomic Distribution (Superficial, Deep, or Perforator, alone or in combination)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Pathophysiologic Dysfunction (Reflux or Obstruction, alone or in combination)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. CEAP Clinical classification of chronic lower extremity venous disease (Porter & Moneta 1995).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Clinical signs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No visible or palpable signs of venous disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Teleangectases, reticular veins, malleolar flare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Varicose veins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Edema without skin changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Skin changes ascribed to venous disease (pigmentation, venous eczema, lipodermatosclerosis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Skin changes (as defined above) in conjunction with healed ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Skin changes (as defined above) in conjunction with active ulceration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During this study an American Venous Forum committee on venous outcomes assessment developed a venous severity scoring system based on the best usable elements of the CEAP system (Rutherford et al. 2000). We found the use of the Venous Clinical Severity Score (VCSS), the Venous Segmental Disease Score (VSDS) and the Venous Disability Score (VDS) easy and useful both in research and in the daily practice, when planning the treatment of primary VVs. These new scoring methods are meant to complement the current CEAP system (Rutherford et al. 2000). In the VCSS nine clinical characteristics of CVD are graded from 0 to 3 with specific criteria to avoid overlap or arbitrary scoring. Zero to three points are added for differences in background conservative therapy (compression and elevation) to produce a 30-point maximum flat scale (Table 4). VSDS combines the anatomic and pathophysiologic components of CEAP. Major venous segments are graded according to to the presence of reflux and/or obstruction. It is entirely based on venous imaging, primarily duplex scan findings. This scoring scheme weights 11 venous segments for their relative importance when involved with reflux and/or obstruction, with a maximum score of 10. VDS is simply a modification of the existing CEAP disability score (Table 5).
Table 4. Venous Clinical Severity Score (VCSS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>Absent = 0</th>
<th>Mild = 1</th>
<th>Moderate = 2</th>
<th>Severe = 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Occasional</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Limit activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicose veins</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Few, scattered</td>
<td>Multiple (LSV)</td>
<td>Extensive (LSV, SSV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous edema</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Evening, ankle</td>
<td>Afternoon, leg</td>
<td>Morning, leg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigmentation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Limited area</td>
<td>Wide (lower 1/3)</td>
<td>Wider (above 1/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammation</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induration</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Focal (&lt; 5 cm)</td>
<td>&lt; lower 1/3</td>
<td>Entire lower 1/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of AC</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of AC</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&lt; 3 months</td>
<td>3 months – 1 year</td>
<td>&gt; 1 year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of AC</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>&lt; 2 cm diameter</td>
<td>2-6 cm diameter</td>
<td>&gt; 6 cm diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp therapy</td>
<td>Not used</td>
<td>Intermittent use</td>
<td>Most days</td>
<td>Continuously</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSV, long saphenous vein; SSV, short saphenous vein; AC, active ulceration; lower 1/3, lower 1/3 of the leg.

Table 5. Venous Disability score (VDS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Symptomatic, but able to carry out usual activities* without compressive therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Able to carry out usual activities* only with compression and/or limb elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Unable to carry out usual activities* even with compression and/or limb elevation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Usual activities = patients activities before the onset of disability due to venous disease.

2.7 Diagnosis of chronic venous disease

2.7.1 Symptoms and signs

The clinical findings seen in patients willing to undergo treatments for primary CVD vary from the unesthetic appearance of teleangiecstasies to venous leg ulcer. A thorough clinical history is of key importance when considering the indications for the treatment of CVD. A history of deep vein thrombosis (DVT), arterial occlusive disease, or diabetes should be sought. Insufficiency of superficial veins are commonly considered responsible for a wide range of lower limb symptoms, such as aching discomfort and heaviness on standing, premenstrual discomfort in the proximity of veins, swelling, pruritus, nocturnal cramps, restless legs, itching and tingling (Jones & Derodra 1997; Scurr & Tibbs 1997). The Edinburgh vein study (Bradbury et al. 1999) has shown that, in the general population, there is poor correlation between the presence of varicose veins detected on clinical examination and lower limb symptoms. The presence of reflux on duplex scanning also has a weak association with the symptoms (Bradbury et al. 1999; Bradbury et al. 2000). In the same study, furthermore, reflux was related to the presence of venous disease, but it was also present in subjects without VVs (Evans et al. 1998). This population survey
showed that only itching was significantly related to the presence of trunk varices in men, while women showed a significant relation between trunk varices and heaviness or tension, aching and itching (Bradbury et al. 1999). The authors of the Edinburgh vein study concluded that the level of agreement between the presence of symptoms and varicose veins is probably too low to be clinically useful (Bradbury et al. 1999).

Patients may also present with complications, such as superficial thrombophlebitis in varicose clusters and external bleeding from high-pressure venous blebs. The diagnosis of superficial thrombophlebitis, which is quite common, can usually be safely made on clinical grounds alone, if there are characteristic findings, such as a tender red swelling slightly warm to touch on the leg with an indurated cord of thrombosed vein. Although thrombosis of varicose veins typically runs a benign course, phlebitis of the saphenous system may propagate to the deep system or the saphenofemoral junction, which requires more aggressive therapy. Patients with superficial vein thrombosis may be prone to the development of DVT or saphenofemoral junction thrombophlebitis, and if hypercoagulability is present, they should be closely followed (Hanson et al. 1998). Untreated superficial saphenous vein insufficiency may induce lower leg skin changes, such as venous eczema, ankle hyperpigmentation, subcutaneous lipodermatosclerosis and venous ulceration (Bergan 1998). Several studies have shown that extensive deep venous reflux is not common, and superficial venous reflux is frequently present in limbs with complications (Mastroroberto et al. 1992; Lees & Lambert 1993; Neglen et al. 1993; Shami et al. 1993).

### 2.7.2 Clinical examination and tests

Patients should stand on a platform or steps, during the inspection of the legs for the distribution and size of varicose veins and possible venotensive skin changes. Clinicians should assess the likely pattern of insufficiency based on the clinical findings. SSV palpation has been shown to be a valuable part of the clinical examination (Aiono et al. 2001). However, the old belief that the insufficient perforating veins can be identified by palpation of the calf fascial defects has turned out to be false (Kim et al. 2000). Tests, such as the cough, tap, thrill, Brodie-Trendelenburg and Perthes’ tests have been also shown to be inaccurate (McIrvine et al. 1984; DePalma et al. 1993; Singh et al. 1997; Kim et al. 2000). Because of this, the textbooks aimed at medical students and senior house officers should be revised in order to replace informations on these tests with instruction on how to use HHD and duplex ultrasonography in the examination of patients with CVD (Kim et al. 2000).

### 2.7.3 Hand-held Doppler

The use of Doppler ultrasound in the assessment of the venous system was first proposed mainly to detect venous occlusion. The first studies to describe the technique of directional flow detection for localizing venous valvular incompetency are from the early
Continuous wave (CW) Doppler was shown to be superior to clinical assessment alone in identifying the sites of deep to superficial incompetence (Chan et al. 1983; Hoare & Royle 1984; McIrvine et al. 1984; Mitchell & Darke 1987), and during the past decades, it has become an indispensable part of the routine clinical examination of patients with venous disease. The popularity of this examination has increased, obviously because it is inexpensive, requires minimal equipment, is quick and easy to perform on outpatient and only requires expertise that is commonly available (Blandin & Royle 1987; Kent & Weston 1998a).

In the HHD instrument, a piezoelectric crystal in the probe emits a continuous beam of ultrasound waves that detect erythrocytes moving within the targeted vein. The emitted sound wave reflects back to the receiving probe crystal at a changed frequency because of the motion of erythrocytes towards or away from the probe. The returned shifted frequency is converted into an amplified audible sound. Several Doppler probe frequencies (4.5 and 8 MHz) are commercially available for clinical use. The frequency of continuous-wave Doppler probes affects the depth from which useful information is obtained. In order to facilitate the passage of ultrasound between the probe and tissue, coupling gel is applied to the skin. Spontaneous flow signals are generally difficult to hear by Doppler ultrasound. Therefore, the vein or venous junction to be observed is located by anatomic landmarks and the tapping or squeezing test is used to promote venous flow, which results in an audible signal. The equipment used to indicate the direction of flow is useless during the examination of superficial veins. There are numerous ways to elicit reflux. The most common technique to assess the presence or absence of reflux is the release of calf compression with the patient in a standing position (Hoare & Royle 1984). An alternative method is to use the Valsalva manoeuvre, by which an increase in intrathoracic and intra-abdominal pressure induces reverse flow in an insufficient vein or venous junction. This has been suggested to be the most appropriate procedure to test venous valve function (Jeanneret et al. 1999), because it leads to a short and limited reflux when valves are competent (Masuda et al. 1994), but a pronounced and long-lasting reflux in the presence of valvular incompetence. There is not complete agreement about the reasonable reflux time, but it is quite common that an audible flow signal lasting longer than one second is used as a threshold for significant reflux (Campbell et al. 1997). It seems that the choice of probe frequency has no impact on the reliability of the HHD examination, even in obese patients (Kent & Weston 1998b).

HHD examinations have been made for years with good results in the diagnosis of primary CVD (Hoare & Royle 1984). However, after the introduction of duplex ultrasonography, several limitations of HHD in the evaluation of venous reflux in the lower leg have been recognized. In fact, HHD cannot insonate selectively an individual vessel, but it detects velocity from any artery or vein lying in the path of the ultrasonic beam. Because of the anatomical variability of the superficial and perforating veins of the lower leg, it is not surprising that HHD assessment is not sufficiently accurate. The method relies on “normal anatomy to successfully locate sites of reflux”. (Wills et al. 1998). A bidirectional Doppler signal in the vicinity of a venous junction without any incompetent veins can be misinterpreted as venous reflux. A careful examination of veins not only in the vicinity of the junction, but also at some distance down the stem of the vein is important during CW Doppler assessment (Tong & Royle 1994). Because of these limitations, HHD is not an advisable method in the assessment of reflux in the popliteal
fossa, and its use is only indicated in the examination of SFJ and LSV as a screening test in patients with mild primary varicose vein disease (Nicolaides 2000). The results of comparative studies on HHD and duplex ultrasonography will be discussed later in the Comparison of the Diagnostic Methods section.

2.7.4 Duplex ultrasonography

Color flow duplex scanning is considered the gold standard for non-invasive anatomical and functional assessment of venous reflux (Dixon 1996). Its use has led to a better understanding of the pathophysiology of venous disease and improved the management of patients with venous disease (Coleridge Smith 1999). Duplex scanning combines echo pulsed with Doppler velocity recording. Colour units indicate the arterial and venous blood flow, which makes duplex evaluation faster and more accurate (Belcaro et al. 1993). Duplex ultrasound allows direct visualization of the veins and identification of blood flow through the venous valves. The anatomical information provided by duplex scans is useful both for the selection of treatment and as a guideline for surgery (Georgiev 1998).

Despite their widespread use in the evaluation of reflux disease, the methods of duplex examination have not been an object extensive research. There are numerous ways of elicit reflux, including the Valsalva manoeuvre (Masuda & Kistner 1992) or compression of the proximal or distal limb by either manual or pneumatic stimuli (van Bemmelen et al. 1989). Examinations may be conducted in various positions, such true supine, different degrees of reverse Trendelenburg or standing erect. According to the literature, the most commonly used technique is a pneumatic cuff system with the patient in a standing position. However, some authors have shown that the Valsalva manoeuvre with the patient in a semisupine position is a reliable method of duplex examination (Masuda et al. 1994). It has been suggested that the Valsalva manoeuvre should be standardized to improve the comparability of the results of different studies (Jeaneret et al. 1999). In most vascular laboratories, the examinations are usually performed by vascular technicians, but better results in duplex examinations are achieved when the surgeon does them personally (Georgiev 1998).

Duplex ultrasound examination is time-consuming and more expensive and requires experienced examiners compared to HHD (van der Heijden & Bruyninckx 1993). According to general consensus, duplex scanning should be used selectively in patients with suspected SPJ reflux or equivocal HHD findings and always in patients with venotensive skin changes, recurrent varicose veins or a history of DVT (Nicolaides 2000). However, some authors believe that duplex examination is required before all operations for primary varicose veins, as this policy would probably result in a reduction of VV recurrence rates. Thus, the routine use of duplex scanning in the assessment of varicose veins may ultimately prove to be cost-effective. (Quigley et al. 1992; Singh et al. 1997; Mercer et al. 1998; Wills et al. 1998; Jutley et al. 2001)
2.7.5 Fluoroscopy examinations

The role of contrast venous studies has changed dramatically over the past decade due to the introduction of the noninvasive duplex scanning technique. Compared to descending phlebography, duplex ultrasound has been shown to be more sensitive in detecting both deep and superficial venous reflux below the knee. It also enables isolated segmental and short saphenous reflux to be studied, which is not possible with phlebography (Baker et al. 1993). Furthermore, the role of ascending phlebography is inferior in the preoperative assessment of varicose veins. Only patients with inconclusive color-coded duplex ultrasound results (e.g., complex variant venous anatomy) should be subjected to venography (Baldt et al. 1996). Phlebography is used primarily to study difficult problems in axial veins and perforators and to differentiate primary deep vein disease from postthrombotic deep vein disease. Dynamic ascending pedal phlebography is done on a tilting table with fluoroscopic and overhead film capacity. Spot films and videotape capabilities are helpful especially in the assessment of insufficiency in deep and perforating veins (Kistner & Kamida 1995).

In the case of superficial incompetence, technically simple varicography is of value in showing the source of incompetence, such as a short saphenous termination or a recurrent set of varicose veins of uncertain origin (Stonebridge et al. 1995). On the operating table, it facilitates the use of minimal incisions and precise surgery by serving as a “road map” to guide the surgeon (Hobbs 1980; Thomas & Bowles 1985; Hobbs 1986).

Selective ovarian and internal iliac phlebographies are used to investigate patients with lower limb VVs that fill from vulvar veins who are suspected to have incompetence of the ovarian veins with reflux into the vulvar veins via the internal iliac artery. In such patients, bilateral ovarian reflux is often associated with the pelvic congestion syndrome (Hobbs 1990).

There are a few reports about the use of three-dimensional non-contrast varicography by spiral computed tomography and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance venography (Caggiati et al. 2001), which are not suitable for routine evaluation of varicose limbs nowadays, because of the high cost and the limited resources. However they may be useful in the evaluation of atypical varicosis.

2.7.6 Comparison of diagnostic methods

Table 6 summarizes the results of studies comparing the accuracies of HHD and duplex ultrasound in the evaluation of CVD (DePalma et al. 1993; van der Heijden & Bruyninckx 1993; Salaman et al. 1995; Campbell et al. 1996; Campbell et al. 1997; Darke et al. 1997; Singh et al. 1997; Kent & Weston 1998a; Mercer et al. 1998; Wills et al. 1998; Jutley et al. 2001). The specificity of the HHD examination varies from 71% to 100% in the SFJ and the LSV trunk and from 80% to 93% in the SPJ. According to the results of these studies the sensitivity in the same measurement points were 71-95% (SFJ) and 36-90% (SPJ). As shown in Table 6, the severity of CVD and the diagnostic techniques employed also differed in these studies. Because of this, it is not possible to consider their conclusions completely reliable.
Although most of these studies aiming to compare the rates of accuracy of HHD and duplex ultrasound proved the superiority of duplex scanning over HHD, the inclusion of patients with CVD other than the primary type represents a major pitfall and prevents any conclusive statement. Furthermore, several authors have reported their results of duplex scanning by employing different methods and positions of testing (van Bemmelen et al. 1989; Masuda & Kistner 1992). The conclusions in most of these studies have been based on the fact that HHD fails to determine the precise origin of reflux. In the case of LSV, insufficiency this is unlikely to influence the choice of surgical treatment. Only in four of these studies was the influence of HHD and duplex ultrasound observed in the preoperative assessment of CVD (van der Heijden & Brüyninckx 1993; Campbell et al. 1996; Singh et al. 1997; Wills et al. 1998). Therefore, although these studies have shown the superiority of duplex scanning over HHD, it is not clear whether this superiority can be translated into significant modifications of operative planning. Almost half of these authors have concluded that preoperative duplex ultrasound is necessary before all operations for primary varicose veins. But another half of the authors have suggested that HHD should be used as a screening test. They have also stated that duplex ultrasound should be performed selectively in patients with suspected reflux in the popliteal fossa or equivocal findings. This controversy suggested to us need to study the preoperative diagnostics of primary uncomplicated CVD.

Duplex ultrasound has displaced phlebography as a primary examination of lower limb venous disorders, because it has been shown to reflect the degree and distribution of venous reflux more accurately than descending venography or varicography (Neglen & Raju 1992; Phillips et al. 1995).

In contrast to duplex ultrasonography, which detects abnormalities in individual veins, volumetric diagnostic methods, such as ambulatory venous pressure measurements and plethysmographies, provides information derived from the entire leg. These methods are used mainly in the assessment of the effect on different therapies in research work. (Nicolaides 2000)
Table 6. Studies comparing HHD and duplex ultrasonography

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Patients and Methods</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Concl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>VL</td>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Test</td>
<td>Op</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Heijden</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DePalma</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>vals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaman</td>
<td>1995</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darke</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh</td>
<td>1997</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wills</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercer</td>
<td>1998</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jutley</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>comp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


2.8 Conservative treatment

Most authors agree that compression therapy has a central role in the treatment of CVD. Compression therapy can be divided into elastic or non-elastic compression (Neumann 2000). Conservative treatment of patients with mild CVD is achieved most commonly by medical elastic compression stockings, which are manufactured with differing compression characteristics and in differing lengths, providing calf or calf and thigh compression. Gradient compression stockings alleviate the symptoms of venous insufficiency related to varicose veins. External compression augments the calf muscle pump, reduces edema and may also divert blood away from the distended veins, as decreasing lumen diameter of varicose veins minimizes the reverse flow within them (Weiss & Duffy 1999).

Class I stockings may be recommended over class II, since patient compliance is much higher and symptom improvement is identical (Jungbeck et al. 1997). Lightweight gradient compression hosiery is also very effective in improving symptoms of discomfort, swelling, fatigue, aching as well as leg tightness (Weiss & Duffy 1999). This also
supports the practice to recommend compression therapy to patients who are on the waiting list for surgical treatment. The beneficial effects of elastic stockings on venous hemodynamics are limited to the period when the stockings are worn. The benefits are completely abolished within a day after their removal (Labropoulos et al. 1994b). Patients with non-correctable venous insufficiency should be treated with lifetime compression therapy.

2.9 Operative treatment

2.9.1 Indications for surgery

Varicose vein surgery is generally considered as a minor procedure. However, it may involve postoperative complications, and late recurrences are also relatively common. Therefore, the indications for operating patients with mild CVD should be adequately assessed. A large proportion of patients may want surgery for cosmetic reasons or due to anxiety that their disease may progress to chronic venous insufficiency and ulceration (Wolf & Brittenden 2001). A thorough clinical history and examination should be carried out before surgery. Although the level of agreement between the presence of symptoms and trunk varices is rather low (Bradbury et al. 1999), the presence of such symptoms as heaviness, aching or swelling and clinical or ultrasound evidence of saphenous vein reflux are generally accepted as indications for surgery. Obvious indications for surgery are skin changes ascribed to CVD, superficial thrombophlebitis and bleeding (Wolf & Brittenden 2001). According to the results of a survey on the current management of varicose veins by members of the British Vascular Surgical Society, the commonest indications for surgery are symptomatic and complicated varicose veins, although 55% of surgeons also perform surgery for cosmetic reasons (Lees et al. 1999).

Contraindications, such as arterial insufficiency, morbid obesity and diabetes, are only relative. Superficial veins acting as collaterals because of occluded deep veins should not be excised. It has been shown that operations for varicose veins in the legs of patients with lymphedema should be undertaken only if there is an absolute indication (ascending phlebitis or bleeding) (Foldi & Idiazabal 2000). An appropriate pre-operative anesthetic work-up should be performed based on the patient’s age and co-morbidity. During the planning of treatment, it should be emphasized that varicose vein surgery is not curative, and that early surgery for uncomplicated veins will not prevent the development of new varicosities (Wolf & Brittenden 2001). Detailed informed consent should be obtained routinely (Lees et al. 1999). Because of the possibility of postoperative complications and the high recurrence rate, patients should informed thoroughly about the operation for superficial venous insufficiency in order to prevent their dissatisfaction and any medico-legal action. In fact, in the UK, varicose vein surgery is the most common source of medico-legal action against general and vascular surgeons (Tennant & Ruckley 1996).
2.9.2 Planning of the operation for primary saphenous vein insufficiency

Patients with varicose veins are frequently treated without any preoperative examinations often by young inexperienced surgeons (Scott et al. 1990). Inadequate preoperative evaluation and incorrect planning of the surgical procedure for primary varicose veins are considered the main reasons for the high recurrence rates. Careful preoperative assessment is required to identify points of reflux from the deep system into the superficial veins for surgery (Hoare & Royle 1984; Quigley et al. 1992). Despite the advances of noninvasive technologies, surgery for CVD is still based on clinical and fluoroscopic examinations (Campbell et al. 1996; Campbell et al. 1997). According to a British questionnaire study, only 65% of vascular surgeons used routinely HHD in the assessment of varicose veins and 10% used venography or varicography as a first-line investigation (Lees et al. 1999).

The difficulty of deciding which preoperative investigations to use in the assessment of venous insufficiency resulted in the development of a widely approved consensus document (Nicolaides 2000), which presents a simple battery of appropriate tests to grade the diagnostic investigations into 3 levels and guides to the level of investigation in relation to the CEAP classes. This consensus document states that clinical and HHD examinations are sufficient enough in the case of class 0-2 primary CVD. Duplex should be used if reflux in the popliteal fossa is suspected or if there is a history of documented or possible DVT and in cases with venotensive skin changes (Nicolaides 2000).

2.9.3 Stripping operations

In Finland, conventional stripping operation remains the standard method of treating patients with superficial vein insufficiency, although new modifications of this operation and novel procedures have become more and more popular. The original method of saphenous vein stripping was described a hundred years ago (Mayo 1906), and the technical notes published by Rivlin in 1975 are still valid (Rivlin 1975).

However, there is some controversy as to whether or not to strip the LSV. There is a growing body of evidence to support the efficacy of limited LSV stripping from the groin to the knee level, which gives better long-term results than ligation of the SFJ alone (Sarin et al. 1992; Sarin et al. 1994; Bergan 1996; Dwerryhouse et al. 1999). Although the LSV stripping operation is the most effective treatment for primary insufficiency of venous junction and trunk, the most common cause of high recurrence rates is technically unsatisfactory initial surgery at the level of SFJ (Stonebridge et al. 1995). Therefore, it is important to recall some essential surgical details of SFJ ligation. The superficial tributaries of the LSV at the SFJ should be dissected and followed as long as possible back to the secondary branch points and then ligated and divided. Careful examination of the medial and lateral aspects of the femoral vein for 1-2 cm above and below the junction is one of the most reliable ways to ensure that all tributaries have been ligated and the anatomy has been correctly identified. This also ensures safe division of the LSV and ligation flush with the junction (Rivlin 1975). The lower end of the wound should be
retracted and the posterior-medial thigh vein identified and ligated before stripping (Wolf & Brittenden 2001). There is no convincing evidence that the use of a barrier membrane placed over the SFJ may prevent recurrence caused by neovascularization. Reports have described different techniques involving the use of silicone rubber implants or polytetrafluoroethylene patches. (Earnshaw et al. 1998; Glass 1998)

SPJ should be marked preoperatively, because of the complex venous anatomy in the popliteal fossa and variations in the level of SSV termination. Location of the SPJ can be made by duplex ultrasound or varicography (Hobbs 1980; Nicolaides 2000). Moreover, after operative treatment of SSV insufficiency, the most common cause of recurrence may be inadequate initial surgery (Tong & Royle 1996). SSV should be identified and dissected carefully up to the SPJ through a transverse incision.

The Giacomini and gastrocnemius veins may cause confusion if the anatomy of the popliteal fossa has not been properly exposed. Care should be taken to avoid damage to the common peroneal nerve (Lucertini et al. 1999). It should also be noted that stripping of the SSV is potentially associated with sural nerve injury (Simonetti et al. 1999). Short excision of the proximal SSV is thus recommended instead of stripping (Wolf & Brittenden 2001).

Until the last decade, the standard procedure for primary varicose veins was the stripping of the entire LSV trunk despite a lack of indicative evidence. In fact, duplex ultrasound studies have shown that, in cases with reflux in the SFJ, the distal part of the LSV is not always insufficient (Katsamouris et al. 1994; Labropoulos et al. 1994a). According to the results of a randomized Danish study (Holme et al. 1990), stripping of the lower part of the LSV may be downright harmful. Indeed, preservation of the distal LSV reduces the risk of nerve damage and retains enough vein for use should coronary artery or peripheral vascular bypass grafting be necessary in the future (Holme et al. 1990).

Traditionally, the removal of the LSV from the groin to below the knee has been done by employing a conventional flexible and disposable vein stripper plus olive. It is advisable to strip from above down as the reverse technique may cause the stripper to be passed inadvertently into the deep venous system (Wolf & Brittenden 2001). An alternative strategy of conventional stripping is the technique of inverting stripping originally described by Keller in 1905 (Keller 1905). About ten years ago, Oesch developed the ‘PIN-stripping’ (perforation-invagination) instrumentation and technique (Oesch 1993). It has been suggested to be minimally invasive and to result in a better cosmetic outcome with less damage to the tissues around the vein and less hematoma formation compared with standard stripping (Goren & Yellin 1994; Kent et al. 1999). According to two other randomized trials, however, the clinical benefits of PIN-stripping were not striking (Durkin et al. 1999; Lacroix et al. 1999). The LSV can also be removed by using the sequential avulsion technique, which has been shown to be less painful than stripping. It reduces bruising and avoids a significant scar below the knee (Khan et al. 1996).

An even more advanced technique has been used to minimize tissue trauma, nerve lesions and postoperative hematoma. The idea of a new endostripping procedure was developed from a technique of endoscopic LSV harvesting for coronary bypass surgery (Shamiyeh et al. 1999). This method utilizes subcutaneous CO₂ gas insufflation and
endoscopy and provides direct visualization to divide all tributaries of the LSV. This makes endostripping a virtually bloodless technique (Shamiyeh et al. 1999).

Adequate postoperative compression should be used to decrease the risk of subcutaneous hematoma formation (Travers et al. 1993). There are several possibilities to achieve this, but the choice of the compression dressing used for varicose vein surgery should depend primarily on the surgeon’s personal preference as well as financial considerations (Bond et al. 1999). There is no benefit from wearing bandages or stockings for more than a week (Raraty et al. 1999). Surgeons should give unequivocal instructions to the patients concerning early mobilization.

2.9.4 Local phlebectomies

Adequate treatment of superficial vein insufficiency and trunkal varicose veins should include the removal of varicosities. This can be easily accomplished by ambulatory stab avulsion phlebectomy, a technique introduced by the Swiss dermatologist Müller in 1966 (Ramelet 1991). Ambulatory phlebectomy consists of minimally invasive extraction of varicose veins through small incisions by hooks and clamps (Weiss & Weiss 1996; Ricci 1998). The phlebectomy probe can be helpful in releasing the periadventitial adhesions that tether varicose veins (Otley & Mensink 1999). However, several larger incisions along Cox’s lines of skin cleavage will occasionally be required to remove large, long or densely adherent varicose veins.

The use of tourniquets during the superficial vein surgery allows easier and more complete avulsion of friable veins. Randomized trials on the use of tourniquets during VV surgery have shown a significant reduction in intraoperative blood loss and also improvement of the cosmetic outcome, as judged by independent observers and the patients themselves (Corbett & Jayakumar 1989; Thompson et al. 1990). The use of broad compression pads after ambulatory phlebectomy reduces hemorrhage and enhances blood resorption (Neumann et al. 1998).

Ambulatory phlebectomy is a safe technique with a low incidence of side effects: The most common complication is hematoma formation, while other complications, such as telangiectasias, blister formation, phlebitis, hyperpigmentation, postoperative bleeding and nerve damage, are rare (Weiss & Weiss 1996; Neumann et al. 1998).

2.9.5 Endovenous obliteration

The idea of using endovenous electrosurgical devices for venous wall collagen denaturation is not new. Over the past few decades, monopolar electrosurgical desiccation has been used sporadically (Politowski & Zelazny 1966; Watts 1972; O’Reilly 1977; O’Reilly 1981; Griffith et al. 1989; Gradman 1994). Endovenous obliteration with radiofrequency resistive heating is more advanced method, including precise heating, feedback controlled by the venous wall temperature and impedance (Manfrini et al. 2000; Chandler et al. 2000a).
Radiofrequency (RF) energy has been used in medical devices for decades. RF and microwave radiation are electromagnetic radiation in the frequency range 3 kHz to 300 GHz. A commercially available RF generator produces waveforms and supplies RF energy to the catheter electrodes in a bipolar mode at 460 kHz. High frequency current is used to generate heat, which induces denaturation of collagen. This is an irreversible rate-process wherein the native helical structure is transformed into a more random, coiled structure (Chen et al. 1998). Denaturation also results in an irreversible shrinkage of collagen, which is thereby a convenient metric of thermal damage. Experimental studies have showed that collagen tissue can be shortened precisely by the application of heat (Vangsness et al. 1997). The effectiveness of endovenous vein obliteration using RF energy (Closure® System, VNUS Medical Technologies Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) depends on carefully controlled heating up to a temperature sufficient to denature the venous wall collagen to an extent that will cause maximal lumen contraction without destroying the integrity of the vein (Chen et al. 1998).

There is one report about the procedure, where the intention was to reduce vein luminal diameter to eliminate vein reflux using controlled collagen-denaturation contraction (Manfrini et al. 2000). Technique was not efficient enough, and it has been abandoned. Instead the endovenous obliteration with RF resistive heating has turned out a feasible method for the treatment of superficial venous reflux. The two sizes of catheters allow for obliteration of veins from 2 to 12 mm in diameter and not too tortuous for catheter passage. The technique is minimally invasive, but still able to provide satisfactory immediate and long-term results (Chandler et al. 2000a; Manfrini et al. 2000).

The mid-term results of a multicentre feasibility study confirmed endovenous obliteration as potentially equally effective as the stripping operation in eliminating LSV reflux and in delaying the appearance of new varicose veins (Chandler et al. 2000a). However, the results of this study are not conclusive, since some study participants combined the endovascular technique with SFJ ligation (Chandler et al. 2000b). However, this large trial confirmed the feasibility of such a new technique, but pointed out a number of complications and recurrences. In part, this can be explained by the lack of experience with this technique. There are still numerous variations the techniques of inserting and localizing the catheter into the SFJ (Goldman 2000; Pichot et al. 2000).

The complications such as thrombophlebitis (6.7%), heat-induced paresthesia (19%), thermal skin injury (2.7%) and thrombus propagation (1.4%), were mainly related to spreading of thermal energy into tissues surrounding the vein (Manfrini et al. 2000). The occurrence of sural nerve paresthesias was so common (50%) that endovenous obliteration cannot be recommended for the treatment of SSV insufficiency. In five-month follow-up, 7.2% of the successfully treated veins were recanalized, probably because of technical errors (Chandler et al. 2000a). Simple procedural modifications have been shown to diminish the complications and treatment failure rates observed in feasibility studies (Goldman 2000; Pichot et al. 2000) The venous occlusion procedures can be performed under tumescent local anesthesia, the anesthetic solution being infiltrated along and around the entire length of the LSV and its tributaries (Goldman 2000). Subcutaneous saline solution should be infiltrated between the proximal LSV and the skin to avoid thermal skin injuries, if no local anesthesia is used (Chandler et al. 2000a).
Endovenous obliteration using the Closure® system is costly compared with traditional techniques, but enthusiastic proponents suggest that this technique enables early return to work, this being its major advantage. No long-term results are available, but in a world of consumer demand, it has been suggested that the technique may soon find its place. (Braithwaite 2001)

2.9.6 Other treatment approaches

A number of techniques including cryostripping (Etienne et al. 1997; Garde 1994), endovenous laser obliteration (Navarro et al. 2001), saphenous valvuloplasty (EV-SFJ and CHIVA) (Schanzer & Skladany 1994; Zamboni et al. 1998; Ik Kim et al. 1999; Incandela et al. 2000), angioscopic techniques (Hoshino et al. 1997), transposition of a competent tributary vein (Yamaki et al. 2001) and echo-sclerotherapy using a sclerosant foam (Cabrera et al. 2000; Tessari et al. 2001), have been proposed to minimize the trauma of CVD treatment or to spare the LSV for possible future artery bypass grafting. However, none of them have achieved widespread popularity. Larger clinical randomized trials with prolonged follow-up and cost analysis comparing these techniques with the stripping operation are needed before any of these new procedures can be considered the method of choice in the treatment of superficial vein insufficiency. The superiority of stripping operation over LSV sclerotherapy has been widely demonstrated (Jakobsen 1979; Neglen et al. 1993; Rutgers & Kitslaar 1994; Belcaro et al. 2000).

2.10 Outcome of operative treatment

Patients are often disappointed about the outcome of surgery for primary uncomplicated CVD. One of reasons is that even in the presence of trunk varices, most of the lower limb symptoms are probably of non-venous origin (Bradbury et al. 1999). The patient’s view of the outcome is mainly dependent on the cosmetic outcome. The surgeon’s expertise is judged by the length and number of incisions, postoperative hematoma and pain (Shamiyeh et al. 1999). However, there is some evidence from the SF-36 health assessment studies that the postoperative quality of life is otherwise better among the patients who have undergone surgery for CVD (Baker et al. 1995; Smith et al. 1999). Another major cause of patient concern is the persistence or, more commonly, recurrence of varicosities.

2.10.1 Postoperative convalescence

Although operations on varicose veins are among the most common surgical procedures, there is not much adequate evidence-based information about convalescence after CVD surgery. No prospective studies have been published so far. In the past, varicose vein surgery was regarded as a safe procedure from the medico-legal standpoint. Actually,
stripping operations are associated with significant morbidity and patient dissatisfaction (Davies et al. 1995) and are a common source of litigation (Scurr 1990).

It has been stated that two or three weeks is a reasonable period of absence following a stripping operation, but it is not uncommon to see patients who remain off work much longer. There may be great variation in the length of sick leaves prescribed by the surgeon or general practitioner (Majeed et al. 1995). In Finland, the average sick leave after a stripping operation has been four weeks (information from the Statistics of the Finnish National Pensions Institution 1997). Mackay et al. (1995) have shown that only 38% of patients claim to be symptom-free two weeks after surgery. The results of the same study also included the interesting information that 18.7% of patients needed some kind of treatment from their general practitioner during the first postoperative weeks.

2.10.2 Complications

The morbidity after surgical treatment of varicose veins is poorly documented in the surgical literature and is frequently underestimated (Miller et al. 1996). All surgeons operating patients with varicosities know that it is more a rule than an exception that there is subcutaneous hematoma formation in the thigh, causing morbidity after stripping operation. Pain, bruising and numbness are common two weeks postoperatively (Mackay et al. 1995).

Severe complications of conventional surgery for superficial vein insufficiency are rare, and there are only a few case reports about peri- or postoperative mortality. They are related to iatrogenic vascular injuries, and DVT and pulmonary embolism. According to a British retrospective review (Critchley et al. 1997), the overall incidence of major complications was 0.8% and most of them were DVTs. Comparable complication rates were reported in a large retrospective Swiss series, the incidence of pulmonary embolism among 1063 patients having been 0.56%, a rate similar to that reported after laparotomy (Huber et al. 1992). It is likely that such complication rates can be decreased by using adequate antithrombotic prophylaxis in high-risk patients (Campbell & Ridler 1995). Miller et al. (1996) reported an incidence of 0.1% of femoral vein injury among 997 consecutive patients. They concluded that the rate of such severe complications could be minimized with a good surgical technique and better supervision of surgical trainees. Also, the incidence of thromboembolic complications can be reduced by changing the policy of postoperative compression therapy. This incidence decreased from 0.7% to 0.2% in the series published by Miller et al. (1996).

The reported rate of wound infection, 4.0%-4.5%, is within the limits usually cited for clean surgery (Critchley et al. 1997; Mackay et al. 1995). Leakage of lymph may also occur after a stripping operation (Critchley et al. 1997).

Total stripping of the LSV is associated with a high incidence (39%) of saphenous nerve injury resulting in troublesome paresthesia or hyperesthesia in the affected leg. Partial stripping of the LSV reduces but does not eliminate the risk of damage to the saphenous nerve. The incidence of minor neurological complications after partial stripping operation varies from 4.2% to 7.0% (Negus 1986; Holme et al. 1990; Critchley
et al. 1997). The risk of more severe neurological complications is higher during SSV surgery (Lucertini et al. 1999).

2.10.3 Recurrence

Recurrent varices after surgery are a common, complex and costly problem for both the patient and the health care system (Perrin et al. 2000). Recurrence, defined as the number of patients seeking further treatment after apparently adequate surgery, occurs in at least 20-30% of cases, increasing over time (Hobbs 1974; Sheppard 1978; Jakobsen 1979; Royle 1986). Therefore, the outcome of varicose vein surgery is often disappointing for the surgeon. This is why operation for CVD have been said to be the antithesis of glamorous surgery (Negus 1993).

The average time between the first and the second operations is usually long, ranging from 6 to 20 years. (Perrin et al. 2000) Approximately 20% of varicose vein operations are performed for recurrent varicosities. Although this problem is so common, there are no reliable epidemiological data and no published socio-economic data specifically relating to recurrent varicose veins (Perrin et al. 2000).

The causes for such recurrences are variably discussed in the literature. The blame for recurrence has been previously laid on inadequate preoperative assessment and initial surgery involving a failure to perform complete SFJ ligation (Negus 1993; Lees et al. 1997). Despite the best intentions of surgeons to improve the accuracy of preoperative diagnostic methods and to perform well-targeted operations, the recurrence rate is still high. It has been both surprising and disappointing to discover that, even after correctly performed SFJ ligation, recurrent reflux across the former junction may develop and lead to recurrent superficial varicose veins (Jones et al. 1996; Dwerryhouse et al. 1999). A duplex ultrasound study showed that nearly half of the recurrences were attributable to inadequate surgical treatment at the initial operation or possible angiogenesis (Farrah & Shami 2001). According to two randomized trials, stripping reduced the risk of reoperation by two thirds as compared with mere flush ligation of the SFJ. However, 6% to 25% of patients experienced recurrent varicosities 2 to 5 years after stripping operation (Jones et al. 1996; Dwerryhouse et al. 1999).

After adequate surgery, new sites of reflux may appear due to neovascularization or disease progression (Glass 1988; Bradbury et al. 1994; Jones et al. 1996; Nyamekye et al. 1998; De Maeseneer et al. 1999). The development may become clinically significant within 6 months of surgery (Glass 1987a; Glass 1987b). Long-term 34-year follow-up has shown really depressing findings. According to a clinical duplex study, there was up to 60% incidence of junctional and circumjuncional reconnections after ligation of true SFJ and its related tributaries (Fischer et al. 2001). Research to find a way to prevent neovascularization after SFJ ligation, has so far failed to provide clear evidence of any benefit of a proposed modification of the surgical techniques (Earnshaw et al. 1998; Glass 1998).

Endovenous obliteration omits high ligation and leaves accessory tributaries of the SFJ, which can be regarded as a risk for recurrence (Neglen 2001). However, by performing endovenous obliteration without SFJ ligation, the normal venous drainage of
the lower abdominal and pudendal tissues can be preserved. This may reduce the stimulus to neovascularization (Chandler et al. 2000b). In view of the fact that angiogenesis is regarded as an important cause of recurrent reflux (Glass 1988; Jones et al. 1996; Nyamekye et al. 1998), endovenous obliteration may actually reduce the risk of recurrent varicosities. Long-term follow-up results in the future will help us to better evaluate whether the recurrence rate is reduced by the endovenous obliteration procedure.

The pattern of recurrence is highly variable and often involves multiple sites of incompetence (Jiang et al. 1999), which complicates the diagnostic assessment as well as the planning of redo surgery. It has been shown that nearly a third of recurrences originate at previously unoperated sites, confirming the need for objective venous assessment before repeated CVD surgery (Farrah & Shami 2001).

The consensus meeting on recurrent varicose veins after surgery (REVAS) published recommendations for the prevention of recurrence. Routine preoperative duplex ‘mapping’ is strongly recommended in order to avoid incomplete surgical treatment and to evaluate anatomical variations. Most authors emphasize the absolute necessity of performing complete SFJ or SPJ ligation and division. (Perrin et al. 2000)
3 Purpose of the present study

The primary purpose of the present research work was to evaluate the impact of the diagnostic and treatment approach on primary saphenous vein insufficiency at Oulu University Hospital. Particular attention was given to the analysis of planning the treatment of primary uncomplicated CVD and the feasibility and clinical usefulness of a new procedure for long saphenous vein insufficiency. The objectives were:

1. to evaluate the impact of duplex ultrasonography on the treatment plan of patients with uncomplicated chronic venous disease (I)
2. to evaluate the effects of clinical judgement, hand-held Doppler and duplex ultrasonographic examinations on the planning of the operative procedure for primary chronic venous disease (II)
3. to assess the feasibility, safety and efficacy of ultrasound- and fluoroscopy-guided endovenous saphenous vein obliteration with radiofrequency-resistive heating in the treatment of primary venous insufficiency (III)
4. to compare a new method of endovenous saphenous vein obliteration with conventional stripping operation in terms of short-term recovery and costs (IV)
4 Patients and methods

4.1 Ethical aspects
The present studies were carried out at the Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, during the years 1997-2001. All the study protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of Oulu University Hospital, and the studies were run according to the provisions of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients gave written informed consent before entry into the trials.

4.2 Patients
The patients included in the studies I and II were consecutively and prospectively evaluated residents of the province of Ostrobothnia referred for surgery at the Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital. Patients scheduled for surgical treatment of primary, previously untreated and uncomplicated varicose veins were eligible for the studies. Previous history of deep venous thrombosis was an exclusion criterion. The characteristics of the patients included in the studies I and II are shown in Table 7.
Table 7. Patients’ characteristics (I and II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristics</th>
<th>Study I</th>
<th>Study II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n= 49</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic limbs</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>45 (19-66)</td>
<td>42 (23-76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>44/ 5</td>
<td>96 / 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25.6 (18.3-52.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venous disability score (no. of patients)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 No symptoms</td>
<td>5 (10 %)</td>
<td>14 (12 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Symptomatic, able to work without a support device</td>
<td>38 (78 %)</td>
<td>85 (77 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Able to work 8 hours/day only with a support device</td>
<td>6 (12 %)</td>
<td>12 (11 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Unable to work even with a support device</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are means in case not mentioned. BMI, body mass index.

Out of the sixty-three screened patients (forty-nine patients from study I), twenty-seven with symptomatic lower extremity varicosities, edema, discomfort and pain were enrolled in study III. The inclusion criterion was symptomatic LSV reflux detectable by colour duplex ultrasound. Thirty-six patients were excluded, and the criteria for exclusion were heavily tortuous or large (>12 mm in diameter) long saphenous trunks. The study population consisted of 3 males and 24 females ranging in age from 24 to 58 years (median 37.5). Three patients had both legs treated.

For study IV, 121 consecutive patients (111 patients from study II) scheduled for surgical treatment of primary varicose veins at the Department of Surgery, Oulu University Hospital, were examined with colour duplex ultrasonography. Patients suitable for day surgery with symptomatic, previously untreated and uncomplicated LSV tributary varicosities and isolated unilateral saphenophemoral junction (SFJ) and LSV trunk insufficiency were eligible for the study. Patients with coagulopathy or multiple, tortuous and large-diameter (>12mm) LSV trunks were excluded. The patient characteristics and the trial profile are summarized in table 8 and in Figure 3. A more detailed description of the inclusion and exclusion criteria is given in the original publication.
Table 8. Patient characteristics (IV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical characteristic</th>
<th>Endovenous group (n = 15)</th>
<th>Stripping group (n = 13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>33 (SD 6.7)</td>
<td>38 (SD 6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>14/1</td>
<td>12/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>23.3 (SD 5.3)</td>
<td>24.0 (SD 1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation (no. of patients)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light physical work</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy physical work</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEAP classification (Median + range) *</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VCSS</td>
<td>5 (4-9)</td>
<td>4 (4-6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSDS</td>
<td>1 (constant)</td>
<td>1 (constant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDS</td>
<td>1 (1-2)</td>
<td>1 (constant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are means unless otherwise mentioned *. LSV, long saphenous vein; CEAP, clinical, etiologic, anatomic and pathophysiologic classification of chronic venous disease; VCSS, venous clinical severity score; VSDS, venous segmental disease score; VSD, venous disability score.
Fig. 3. Trial Profile (Study IV).

121 patients screened for the trial
(111 patients from study II)

36 patients admitted during the study period

85 patients excluded
- 36 patients: bilateral LSV insufficiency
- 21 patients: >12 mm diameter or tortuous LSV trunk
- 17 patients: no LSV insufficiency or simultaneous SSV reflux
- 6 patients: not suitable for day surgery (mostly for social reasons)
- 5 patients refused because of schedule reasons

33 patients randomised using sealed envelopes

3 patients refused for schedule

1 patient excluded (pregnancy) stripping operation scheduled after delivery

4 patients refused, stripping operation scheduled later

15 patients assigned, endovenous obliteration, followed up at 7-8 weeks, completed the trial

13 patients assigned, stripping operation, followed up at 7-8 weeks, completed the trial
4.3 Diagnostic methods and pathway (I-II)

The examinations were performed at the outpatient clinic on the same day. In study II, a surgical registrar first performed a clinical examination and recorded her/his own opinion about the most appropriate diagnostic pathway and the type of treatment required. Thereafter, clinical and HHD examination was performed by a consultant general surgeon, and the same limbs were assessed with duplex scanning by a consulting vascular radiologist, who was blinded to the results of the HHD examination in the same way as in study I. The plan for subsequent treatment was recorded after these examinations. The clinical severity of varicose disease was classified according to the CEAP scoring method (Porter & Moneta 1995).

4.3.1 Hand-held Doppler (I-II)

The hand-held Doppler assessment was performed using the standard technique with a 8 MHz probe (Hadeco mini-doppler ES-100X, Hayashi Denko CO. Ltd, Arima, Japan). The patients were in a semisupine position, and the reflux was demonstrated by a Valsalva manoeuvre. Tapping test was used to locate the venous junctions and the LSV trunk. The saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) and the LSV were insonated at three different points: at the upper thigh, at the lower thigh and at the calf in order to detect reverse blood flow. An audible flow signal lasting longer than one second was used as a threshold for significant reflux. In study I, the saphenopopliteal junction (SPJ) and the short saphenous vein (SSV) were examined only if reflux of the lesser saphenous vein was suspected based on the clinical findings or if there was no reflux in the LSV. Routine SPJ and SSV examinations were performed in the study II. Since HHD is known to be inaccurate in the evaluation of the popliteal fossa, caution was used in the interpretation of the findings in this region. Only obvious audible flow signals suspected to originate from the SPJ and the proximal LSV trunk were regarded as positive findings indicative of incompetence. The HHD examination of the popliteal fossa was performed in an upright position with calf compression and the threshold duration of reflux was one second.

4.3.2 Duplex ultrasonography

On the same day, after the clinical and HHD examination, the patients were assessed with duplex scanning with a 7.5 MHz probe and venous flow settings (Toshiba Power Vision 8000, Japan). The patients were positioned supine with 45° truncal elevation, as during the HHD examination, and reflux was demonstrated by the Valsalva maneuver (Fig. 4). The entire length of the LSV was scanned to map the topographic anatomy of the vein. The duplex findings for reflux at different levels (see above) were recorded. Reverse flow of over one second was classified as pathological. The competence of SPJ was tested manually by squeezing and rapidly releasing the calf (Fig. 5). Reflux for over one second was judged as significant. The possible reflux of the femoral and popliteal veins was
detected as well. The anatomy of the popliteal fossa was examined with the patient in a standing position.

Fig. 4. Duplex examination of the LSV trunk (photography by Auvo Hietaharju).

Fig. 5. Duplex examination of the popliteal fossa (photography by Auvo Hietaharju).
4.3.3 Planning of treatment

The anatomical variations and reflux findings were analyzed jointly by the surgeon and the radiologist before the second (I) or third (II) plan for the treatment was made. In most cases, reflux at the superficial venous junction is an indication for ligation of the SFJ junction and a stripping operation. However, according to our practice, treatment plans are made individually based on the severity of the symptoms and signs of the venous disease. The same principles were followed in these studies. In our day surgery unit, sclerotherapy is used only for teleangectasies. Stab avulsion with the hook technique is performed in case of varicosities without superficial vein insufficiency, most often for cosmetic reasons.

4.4 Surgery

Study III was partially supported by a grant from VNUS Medical Technologies Inc. This pilot study made it possible to plan and implement the randomised study IV, which we did by means of independent funding. (We did not receive any financial support from any company.)

The endovenous obliterations were done by a surgeon in collaboration with a radiologist. The procedures on the patients enrolled in study III were performed in the fluoroscopy room at the Department of Radiology and the endovenous obliterations for study IV in the operating theatre of the day surgery unit. All stripping operations were also done by the same general surgeon with the same team and in the same operating theatre.

4.4.1 Anesthesia

In study III, the venous occlusion procedures were performed on outpatients under conscious sedation with intravenous propofol (Diprivan®, Zeneca Pharmaceuticals, Cheshire, England) controlled by an anesthesiologist and local anesthesia. The procedures in study IV were performed under standardized balanced general anesthesia (induction with alfentanil and propofol and maintenance with sevoflurane in O₂ and N₂O) by maintaining the airway with a laryngeal mask and spontaneous breathing. The depth of peroperative anesthesia was monitored with the bispectral index (BIS index, Aspect Medical Systems INC., Natick, MA, USA) and sevoflurane-MAC (AS/3, Datex, Division of Instrumentarium Corp., Helsinki, Finland).
4.4.2 Phlebectomy and sclerotherapy (III-IV)

Local phlebectomies were performed in all procedures. Multiple 1 to 2 mm incisions were made with a 18 G needle adjacent to previously marked side-branch varicose veins. An Oesch® hook (Salzmann Medico, St Gallen, Switzerland) was introduced into the subcutaneous tissue and advanced under the diseased vein segment, which was then captured by the hook and pulled out through the skin incision. The two ends of the exteriorized vein were then separated and divided between clamps. Each vein was removed individually by using a mosquito clamp to apply slow, steady traction. This was repeated as many times as necessary to remove the venous clusters. On an average, 10 separate stab incisions were required per extremity. Each incision was then closed with a Steri-Strip™ (3M Health Care, Borken, Germany). In case of telangiectasies, we used microsclerotherapy with Aethoxysklerol® (5 mg/ml; Kreussler & CO. GMBH, Wiesbaden, Germany) or Glicerina® (Laboratorio Terapeutico M.R. s.r.l., Florenze, Italy) which were injected into superficial skin venules using a small 27 G needle. Compressive small wad pads were placed over the sclerotherapy areas. Elastic bandages were applied immediately after treatment and worn for one month postoperatively.

4.4.3 Stripping operation (IV)

At the beginning of the stripping operation, the groin was dissected to fully expose the SFJ. The superficial tributaries of the LSV at the SFJ were dissected, and followed back to the secondary branch points and divided and ligated. The medial and lateral aspects of the femoral vein for 1-2 cm above and below were carefully examined before dividing the LSV. After the posterior-medial thigh vein had been identified and ligated, the LSV was stripped from just below the knee to the groin using the conventional flexible and disposable Venostrip® (Aesculap AG & CO. KG, Tuttlingen, Germany) with a 9 mm olive. The local phlebectomies were done and the compression stockings were put on before stripping the LSV, to diminish hematoma formation. The calf and groin incisions were sutured with 5/0 non-absorbable interrupted sutures.

4.4.4 Endovenous obliteration (III-IV)

4.4.4.1 Device description

The VNUS Closure® System (VNUS Medical Technologies, Inc., Sunnyvale, CA) comprises a computer-controlled, bipolar thermal energy generator (Fig. 6) and 5 Fr and 8 Fr catheters with sheathable electrodes (Fig. 7). The system induces fibrous obliteration of the vein wall by destroying the intima and by applying radiofrequency-resistive heating to accomplish contraction of the collagen of the venous wall. The heating is frequently monitored by a feedback system for vein wall temperature and impedance as well as for power consumption by the system. The two catheter sizes allow for
obliteration of veins 2 to 12 mm in diameter. Both catheters incorporate a central lumen for fluid infusion and the option of passage over a guide wire.

Fig. 6. Radiofrequency energy generator (VNUS Closure®) (Picture modified from original article III published in Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology).

Fig. 7. Radiofrequency catheters (VNUS Closure®) The arrows indicate uninsulated microthermocouples. (Picture modified from original article III published in Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology).
The VNUS catheter was inserted percutaneously after local anesthesia at the puncture site with 10 ml of lidocaine (Lidocain®, Orion, Espoo, Finland) through a vascular sheath of 5 or 8 Fr, depending on the size of the catheter used. The 5 Fr catheter offers the advantage of insertion into veins as small as 2 mm in diameter, and the 8 Fr catheter is effective in treating intermediate range and larger veins, particularly those with larger (>3 mm) saphenofemoral junction (SFJ) tributaries. In practice, the choice of catheter size was made based on the maximum diameter of the LSV. If this diameter was 8 mm or more, an 8 Fr catheter was chosen. In the feasibility study (paper III), the sheath was inserted either through a percutaneous puncture under ultrasound guidance or through a small incision at the ankle, calf or groin. If there was a need for a skin incision at the medial calf for local phlebectomies to remove larger diameter varicosities, we also used this access to expose the LSV for the insertion of the catheter. We have used similar medial calf incisions as in conventional varicose vein operations for vein access of the stripping instrument. Otherwise percutaneous puncture was used and the alternative insertion sites were the groin, knee or ankle. In study III, the primary puncture site was the groin and the SFJ. The groin puncture was made on the most proximal part of the LSV no more than 1 cm below the SFJ. The catheter with sheathed electrodes was passed in an orthograde or retrograde fashion, depending on the puncture site. In some tortuous veins, a 0.014-inch guidewire (Seeker-14®, Boston Scientific, Fremont, CA) and fluoroscopic guidance (Neurostar®, Siemens, Germany) were used to pass the catheter through the tortuous segments and the valves. Ultrasound or fluoroscopic control was used to ensure proper positioning of the tip of the catheter in cases where the catheter was inserted from the knee or ankle level. The treatment of the LSV was planned to cover the vein up to the SFJ.

In study IV, we used a more standardized and more advanced technique. The catheters with sheathed electrodes were inserted percutaneously under ultrasound guidance into the LSV at the ankle level through a vascular sheath of 5 or 8 Fr in all cases. Subsequently, the catheter was passed up to the SFJ, and its correct position was controlled only by intraoperative ultrasound. Another technical difference compared to the feasibility study procedures was the routine use of subcutaneous saline solution infiltration between the proximal LSV and the skin.

In the studies III and IV, after the catheter had been inserted, the lower limb was elevated and an elastic compression wrap (Esmarck® bandage) was applied from the toes to the groin for exsanguination of the entire LSV. Supplemental manual compression on the groin region was used. The electrodes of the catheter were unsheathed and the wall contact of the electrodes was tested by measuring the impedance of the catheter. Heparinized saline (5000 IU Heparin / 1 l saline) was infused through the central lumen to rinse the electrodes in order to avoid thrombus formation. The RF power level, the maximum temperature and the duration of treatment were selected using the control buttons on the front panel of the RF generator. After activation of the treatment circuit, the wall temperature was allowed to equilibrate at 85°C for 15 seconds. The catheter was then slowly (3 cm/min) withdrawn (Fig. 8) by keeping the temperature within 85°C ± 3°C, while the RF generator automatically controlled the impedance and RF power level.
The procedure was performed in a pullback manner, i.e. the treatment was started at the knee level when the groin was punctured and at the SFJ when vein access was made at the knee or ankle. Immediately after removal of the compression wrap, the treated segment was evaluated by colour Doppler ultrasound, to ensure proper occlusion of the vein. In this manner, it was possible to treat again the possibly unoccluded segment immediately.

Fig. 8. Schematic view of endovenous obliteration with radiofrequency-resistive heating. SFJ, saphenofemoral junction; SEV, superficial epigastric vein.

4.5 Postoperative care and follow-up (III-IV)

In the study III series, postoperative compression of the treated leg with elastic bandages was applied for three to four weeks. In the randomized trial, knee- and groin-length antiembolism stockings were applied immediately after the treatment and kept on for seven days. There were no limitations on mobilization, and the patients were encouraged to walk as soon as possible.
Clinical follow-up examinations in study III were carried out by the operating surgeon, and duplex scanning of the entire endovenously treated vein and the saphenofemoral junction were done by an experienced radiologist at 1 week, 6 week, 3 month, 6 month and 12 months. The patients’ symptoms, new or recurrent varicosities, CEAP clinical class, and eventual complications were registered on each visit.

In study IV, the patients recorded their postoperative pain at rest, on standing and on walking using a visual analogue scale (VAS; range 0-10) on a daily basis during the first week and on the 14th postoperative day. The short-term RAND-36 generic health related quality of life questionnaire (validated for Finland) was used to measure health status before the procedure, and 1 and 4 weeks postoperatively. The patients were also asked to record the need and use of oral ibuprofen (the number of 600 mg tablets) or other analgesics. A sick leave was routinely prescribed for five days and continued over the phone for up to two weeks if necessary. If disability lasted for more than two weeks, the patient was scheduled for a control visit at the outpatient clinic.

The patients were re-examined after 7-8 weeks by color duplex ultrasonography. The postoperative CEAP scores were recorded. The patients were also asked if they were satisfied with the treatment and how long a sick leave they would have needed in their own opinion. The outcome measures were the duration of sick leave, pain, health related quality of life and satisfaction with the treatment.

4.6 Calculation of costs (IV)

For the cost analysis, which was made in co-operation with an expert of health care economics, the costs were divided into direct medical costs and indirect costs. The direct medical costs consisted of fixed and variable costs, as shown in Table 9. Indirect costs consisted of the value of lost productivity. Costs that could be assumed to be the same in both procedures (e.g. administrative, energy, overhead costs) were excluded. Operating times (“skin-to-skin”) and operating room and recovery room times were measured in minutes and valued based on the average salary brackets and figures drawn from the hospital accounting system. Anesthesia and recovery room costs were estimated to be USD 72 (USD 1 = Euro 1.1) in both methods. Two specialists were involved in the endovenous procedure compared to one in the conventional alternative. The hourly salary of a specialist was USD 32. Investment costs included only the cost of the generator, and they were allocated to five years by using a 5% social discount rate. The basic instrumentation was the same in both operations. The specific costs of endovenous obliteration further included the price of the catheter and the rent of the ultrasonic equipment. The other costs of day surgery were assumed to be the same. The postoperative costs included the additional follow-up visits and telephone consultations needed to lengthen sick leaves. The patients’ medical costs were assumed to be the same, with the exception of the analgesics used during the first two weeks and the travel costs due to the follow-up visits. The units and the unit values of the cost factors as well as the sum totals are shown in Table 9. The indirect costs were calculated as costs caused by lost productivity due to a sick leave. The value of lost workdays was assessed based on the average wage level in Finland in the year 2000 (Statistics Finland 2000) plus 50% non-
wage costs for social security and other worker-based costs. A five-day working week was used in the calculation of indirect costs.

Table 9. Costs of endovenous obliteration and conventional surgery in the treatment of primary varicose veins (USD*) (IV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Costs</th>
<th>Conventional operation</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Endovenous obliteration</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Units and unit price</td>
<td></td>
<td>Units and unit price</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generator</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1, à $ 14.540</td>
<td>14.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5% discount rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon’s salary</td>
<td>99 min, à $ 32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>115 min, à $ 32</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologist’s salary</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75 min, à $ 32</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating room time</td>
<td>99 min, à $ 73</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>115 min, à $ 73</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesia and recovery room</td>
<td>1, à $ 72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>1, à $ 72</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic instrumentation</td>
<td>1, à $ 50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>1, à $ 50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closure catheter</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1, à $ 446</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US equipment rent</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1, à $ 31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional follow-up</td>
<td>6/13 patients, à $ 62</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1/15 patients, à $ 62</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick leave by telephone</td>
<td>13/13 patients, à $ 28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>33 %, à $ 28</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel to follow-up visit</td>
<td>6/13 patients, à $ 8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/15 patients, à $ 8</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analgesic medication</td>
<td>1.3 tbl. 14 days, à $ 0.3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.4 tbl. 14 days, à $ 0.3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total variable costs</td>
<td></td>
<td>360</td>
<td></td>
<td>794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indirect costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lost working days (LWD)</td>
<td>11.6 LWD, à $ 135</td>
<td>1.566</td>
<td>4.5 LWD, à $ 135</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1 USD = 1.1 Euro (May 2001)

4.7 Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis and design of the studies II and IV were made in co-operation with an expert of biostatistics. The summary statistics for continuous variables were expressed as means with standard deviation (SD) or as medians with interquartile range (IQR, 25th and 75th percentiles). Exact 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated for sensitivity and specificity, for positive and negative predictive values and for accuracy.

Sensitivity: Probability that the diagnostic test result will be positive when the disease is present
Specificity: Probability that the diagnostic test result will be negative when the disease is not present

\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{\text{All testing negative and non diseased}}{\text{All non-diseased}}
\]

Positive predictive value: Probability that the disease is present given that the test result is positive

\[
\text{Positive predictive value} = \frac{\text{All testing positive and diseased}}{\text{All testing positive}}
\]

Negative predictive value: Probability that the disease is absent given that the test result is negative

\[
\text{Negative predictive value} = \frac{\text{All testing negative and non-diseased}}{\text{All testing negative}}
\]

Accuracy: Probability that the test result is correct (positive when present, negative when absent)

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{\text{Total correct results}}{\text{Total number of tests}}
\]

Point estimates and confidence intervals were calculated using the CIA-software version 2.0 (Confidence Interval Analysis).

The serial measurements were summarised by calculating the average score over the study period for each patient. The change from the baseline health related quality of life scores to those recorded at 1 week and 4 weeks postoperatively was determined and the other inter-group comparisons were made by Student’s t-test (TT) or the Mann-Whitney U-test (MW) as appropriate. The categorical values were analyzed by the chi-square (CHI) or Fisher’s exact test (F). Kendall’s rank correlation coefficient (\( \tau \)) and coefficient of determination (\( \tau^2 \)) were calculated. (IV)

Sensitivity analysis was done to estimate the effects of the changes in the main background variables. The influence of indirect costs was tested using 50% of the estimated costs. The influence of retired patients on indirect costs was tested by assuming that 25% or 40% of the patients were retired. The scenario with one specialist performing the whole operation and no discounting of investment costs was also analyzed. Two-way sensitivity analysis was done, assuming that one specialist performed the operation and the indirect costs accounted for 50%, 60% or 75% of the estimated costs.

In all studies, the statistical analyses were performed by using the SPSS 10.0 software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SPSS, Chicago, Ill).
5 Results

5.1 Diagnostic methods (I-II)

Superficial vein (LSV or SSV) reflux was detected by duplex scanning in 55 (89%) limbs (95 % LSV and 5 % SSV) in study I and in 116 (82%) limbs (90 % LSV and 10 % SSV) in study II, where reflux in the superficial vein tributaries without insufficiency of the main saphenous veins was observed in 22 (16%) limbs. Duplex ultrasonography showed no superficial truncal venous reflux without insufficiency of the venous junction or synchronous SFJ and SPJ reflux. In the remaining limbs (11% in study I and 3 % in study II) no reflux could be demonstrated. The deep veins were competent in all cases but one in study II. No duplex findings showing insufficiency of the lower limb perforating veins were seen.

The patients and the symptomatic limbs (paper II) were divided into 3 groups according to the plans made by the surgical registrar on the basis of the clinical examinations. The diagnostic pathway of study II is shown summarized in Figure 9.
Fig. 9. Diagnostic pathway (study II).
5.1.1 Accuracy of hand-held Doppler (I-II)

A comparison of the results of HHD assessment and duplex scanning is shown in Table 10. On the basis of the clinical examination, SPJ reflux was suspected in two limbs in study I.
Table 10. Comparison of the findings of hand-held Doppler examination and duplex scanning (I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hand-held Doppler</th>
<th>Reflux on Duplex</th>
<th>No reflux on Duplex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reflux detected</td>
<td>No reflux detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study I (n= 62)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFJ</td>
<td>31 17</td>
<td>1 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 1</td>
<td>24 25</td>
<td>1 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 2</td>
<td>22 19</td>
<td>3 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 3</td>
<td>15 17</td>
<td>3 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFJ (n = 142)</td>
<td>59 46</td>
<td>1 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 1 (n = 142)</td>
<td>54 39</td>
<td>8 41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 2 (n = 142)</td>
<td>53 33</td>
<td>10 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSV 3 (n = 142)</td>
<td>46 23</td>
<td>14 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPJ (n = 112)*</td>
<td>3 10</td>
<td>4 95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are the number of legs. SFJ: saphenofemoral junction; LSV: long saphenous vein; LSV1: upper thigh; LSV2: lower thigh; LSV3: calf; SPJ: saphenopopliteal junction. *Thirty legs were excluded from this analysis because of uncertain HHD findings in the popliteal fossa.

The sensitivity, specificity and positive and negative predictive values of the HHD technique at the SFJ and at the three different measurement points on the LSV trunk are shown in Table 11. In study II, the findings at the SPJ were also evaluated, and sensitivity was 23 % (8-50) and specificity 96 % (90-98). However, these findings were calculated after exclusion of 30 indifferent HHD findings.
Table 11. Accuracy of the hand-held Doppler in detecting saphenous vein reflux at different sites in 142 limbs (Studies I and II).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tests diagnostics</th>
<th>SFJ</th>
<th>LSV 1</th>
<th>LSV 2</th>
<th>LSV 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Study I (n=62)</td>
<td>Study II (n=142)</td>
<td>Study I (n=62)</td>
<td>Study II (n=142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sens</td>
<td>64 (50-76)</td>
<td>56 (47-65)</td>
<td>49 (36-63)</td>
<td>58 (49-63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec</td>
<td>93 (70-99)</td>
<td>97 (86-100)</td>
<td>92 (67-99)</td>
<td>84 (71-92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>97 (84-99)</td>
<td>98 (91-100)</td>
<td>96 (81-99)</td>
<td>87 (77-93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>45 (29-62)</td>
<td>44 (34-55)</td>
<td>32 (20-49)</td>
<td>51 (41-62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are percentages (95% CI); SFJ: saphenofemoral junction; LSV: long saphenous vein; LSV1: at the upper thigh; LSV2: at the lower thigh; LSV3: at the calf; Sens: sensitivity; Spec: specificity; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value.

5.1.2 Planning of the treatment of varicose veins (I-II)

The treatment plan based on clinical and HHD examinations was modified after duplex scanning in the case of six limbs (9.7%) in study I and thirteen limbs (9%) in study II. Both inappropriate (five limbs in the study I and four limbs in the study II) and inadequate (one limb in the study I and nine limbs in the study II) surgery would have been performed on the basis of the clinical and HHD findings alone. In both of these studies, although a selective approach was used in the assessment of the HHD finding in the popliteal fossa, inaccurate operations were proposed on the basis of the clinical and HHD examinations.

Surgical registrars working on the basis of clinical the findings failed to correctly plan the treatment in 21 (26%) of the 80 proposed operations. HHD corrected these errors in 13/21 (62%) limbs by revealing the superficial truncal vein reflux. However, the HHD examination guided the treatment plan incorrectly in one limb, because of false venous reflux originating from a superficial epigastric vein at the SFJ and missed SPJ reflux.

Duplex ultrasonography or venous fluoroscopy examinations were requested in 37 (26%) cases after the assessment made by the surgical registrars. Clinical and HHD examinations performed by the consultant surgeon eliminated the need for special investigations in most cases (30/37).

In study I, surgically important anatomical findings were identified in three limbs by duplex scanning. After duplex scanning, the use of contrast medium examinations turned out to be unnecessary, because ultrasound examination was sufficiently informative of the venous anatomy. In study II, duplex scanning was requested for 20 (14%) limbs after the HHD examination. The most common indication was a suspected but not verified reflux
in the popliteal fossa or suspected anatomical variations. Anatomical findings of surgical interest were identified in seven limbs by duplex scanning.

5.2 Feasibility of endovenous obliteration (III)

The initial technical success rate of the procedures was 100%. The mean follow-up time was 9.6 (SD 3.8) months. Twenty-two (73%) limbs were treated successfully. Four patients (4 limbs) had saphenous reflux in the treated proximal segment three months after the procedure. No further follow-up was done on these patients. The last follow-up duplex ultrasonography showed segmental flow in the treated LSV in 4 legs (13.3 %) with incomplete vein obliteration. Altogether, there were 8 cases (26.7 %) with vein recanalization.

At the time of the last follow-up visit, only one patient had swelling and pain as venous reflux- and varicosity-related symptoms. In three legs, recurrent or new varicosities had developed, all being associated with recurrent reflux. CEAP clinical class improved for up to 3 months. Mild deterioration of the CEAP clinical class during further follow-up was, without exceptions, associated with teleangiectasies in the area of hook phlebectomies. The patients were comfortable enough to resume their normal activities within a few days (median period, 4 days).

Early complications were noted in three patients. Paresthesia in the area of the saphenous nerve occurred in 3 legs (10%) of 2 patients at 6 months. Two patients developed apparent clinical phlebitis with pain, tenderness, and erythema within 1 to 6 weeks after treatment, which resulted in permanent string-like pigmented skin lesions in the thigh. There was one second-degree burn requiring systemic antibiotics and surgical drainage of an infected underlying hematoma (Table 12).

5.3 Comparison of results after endovenous obliteration vs. stripping operation (IV)

The procedures were carried out on a day surgery basis, with the exception of two cases, where the patients (one from each group) had to stay in hospital overnight for social reasons. As evidence of successful standardization of general anesthesia, there were no significant differences in the BIS index, sevoflurane-MAC, immediate recovery from anesthesia or home readiness.

5.3.1 Efficacy of endovenous obliteration and stripping operation and related complications

The mean follow-up time was 50 days in both groups. All procedures were successful. There was no significant difference in the average decrease of VCSS between the two
groups. The overall complication rates were similar in the two groups, although there was a difference in the distribution of the types of complication as shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Postoperative complications after endovenous obliteration (III and IV) and stripping operation (IV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Endovenous obliteration (n = 30) Study III</th>
<th>Endovenous obliteration (n = 15) Study IV</th>
<th>Stripping operation (n = 13) Study IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vein perforation</td>
<td>2 (7 %)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saphenous nerve paresthesia</td>
<td>3 (10 %)</td>
<td>2 (13 %)</td>
<td>3 (23 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical thrombophlebitis</td>
<td>2 (7 %)</td>
<td>3 (20 %)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local hematoma</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 (7 %)</td>
<td>4 (31 %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal skin injury</td>
<td>1 (3 %)</td>
<td>1 (7 %)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8 (27 %)</td>
<td>7 (47 %)</td>
<td>7 (54 %)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are numbers and percentages of patients.

5.3.2 Sick leave and postoperative convalescence

The patients in the endovenous obliteration group recovered faster and had significantly shorter sick leaves [6.5 (SD 3.3) vs. 15.6 (SD 6.0), 95 % CI 5.4 to 12.9, p < 0.001, TT]. There was an even more distinct difference in the patients’ own assessment of the length of sick leave required. A positive correlation between age and sick leave (r = 0.37, P = 0.012) was observed. Six patients from the stripping group and one patient from the endovenous obliteration group visited the outpatient clinic two weeks after the operation because they felt themselves unfit to return to work.

The average VAS pain scores at rest, on standing and on walking were significantly lower in the endovenous obliteration group than in the stripping group. The differences were especially clear from the fifth to the 14th postoperative day. The median difference from the baseline value of the health-related quality of life parameter ‘bodily pain’ was also significantly lower in the endovenous obliteration group one week after the operation. A similar difference was noted in the median physical functioning based on the quality of life index (RAND-36) one week postoperatively.

5.3.3 Procedure-related costs

The variable costs of the conventional operations were about half of the endovenous obliteration costs. The mean operation time and operating room time were significantly longer in the endovenous obliteration group. The indirect costs had a significant impact on the total societal costs, which were at least USD 300 higher per operation in the stripping group. The sensitivity analysis showed that the effect of using only half of the indirect costs was great, especially in the conventional group. If half of the indirect costs
are included in the cost analysis, endovenous obliteration becomes cost-saving after about 150 operations per year.
6 Discussion

6.1 Diagnostic methods (I-II)

In the studies I and II, we performed both ultrasound examinations with the Valsalva maneuver in a semisupine position, to examine the SFJ and the LSV trunk. This technique has proved to be easy, inexpensive and reliable (DePalma et al. 1993; Masuda et al. 1994). The HHD and duplex ultrasonography examinations of the popliteal fossa were carried out with the patient standing, as probe placement is inconvenient in the semisupine position. Based on our own experiences, when examining the SPJ and the SSV trunk, reflux insonated with the calf compression technique is more practical and reliable than that done using the Valsalva manoeuvre in an upright position. Thus, our techniques differ from the methods used in the previous studies, in which compression test was mainly used (Table 6). However, it has been shown that different techniques and positions can be used in HHD and duplex ultrasonography examinations with equal reliability (Masuda et al. 1994). Therefore, we consider the Valsalva manoeuvre to have been of any relevance importance for the results.

The studies I and II showed the overall accuracy of hand-held Doppler compared with duplex scanning of the superficial venous junctions and vein trunks to be lower than in some other reports (Mitchell & Darke 1987; Quigley et al. 1992). A possible reason for such a finding is that the present study was run in a surgical outpatient department with a homogeneous but unselected study population and without a dedicated vascular laboratory. We included in these studies (I-II) only patients with primary, uncomplicated and previously untreated CVD, because patients with recurrent or more severe CVD (CEAP clinical class 5-6) are clearly better evaluated by duplex ultrasonography (Kent & Weston 1998a).

Our results in the studies I and II are in accordance with some previous studies on the inability of HHD to accurately identify or exclude venous reflux (DePalma et al. 1993), especially in the popliteal fossa, where the sensitivity of HHD was not acceptable (study II). Also, the sensitivity of HHD on the SFJ was rather unsatisfactory, whereas in other studies it has ranged from 48% (DePalma et al. 1993) to 92-93% (Salaman et al. 1995; Kent & Weston 1998a). The results of these studies (I-II) clearly show that HHD failed to detect any reflux at different levels in a large number of cases, and the number of
instances of false positive reflux detected by HHD was not irrelevant, either. Thus, our results are also in accordance with the previous studies showing that, in primary, uncomplicated varicose veins, the accuracy of HHD, although significantly better than that of clinical tests, is still unsatisfactory (DePalma et al. 1993; Singh et al. 1997; Mercer et al. 1998; Wills et al. 1998; Jutley et al. 2001).

6.2 Planning of the treatment (I-II)

An adequate preoperative evaluation is of paramount importance in the process of decision-making as to whether or not to operate patients with varicose veins and which surgical strategy would be the most appropriate. Previously, not much attention has been paid to the influence of preoperative examinations on the treatment plan for patients with mild CVD. According to the results of study II, systematic use of HHD reduces the incidence of incorrectly planned surgical treatment and also the need for special investigations in the planning of surgery for primary CVD. The majority of operations for LSV insufficiency can be performed on the basis of clinical examination and hand-held Doppler findings if the assessment is done by an experienced surgeon. However, HHD may be misleading in the selection of the method of operation for primary varicose veins. Therefore, it is important to know the pitfalls of HHD.

In the series by Kent and Weston (Kent & Weston 1998a), if the operations had been planned on the basis of the HHD findings, as compared with the duplex findings, appropriate surgical treatment would had been performed in 70% of cases, more extensive surgery in 23% and inadequate surgery in 7%. In our studies I and II, about 10% of the treatment plans were modified on the basis of the duplex ultrasound findings. Although a selective approach was used in the assessment of the HHD findings on the popliteal fossa, inaccurate operations were proposed on the basis of the clinical and HHD examinations. A considerable number of false estimations were associated with problems in the differential diagnosis of SFJ or SPJ reflux. The most serious mistakes would have occurred if a competent superficial vein had been ligated and stripped.

In paper I, we concluded that duplex ultrasonography should be used selectively in patients with suspected SPJ reflux or equivocal HHD findings. Although the results of these studies were similar, the conclusions presented in study II were quite different. This can be attributed to the improvement of my knowledge and experience in the preoperative assessment of CVD. During this research project, I have started to think that the only way to reduce recurrence rates is probably to perform as accurate a preoperative diagnosis as possible. Routine use of duplex examination should certainly minimize the sources of error in the assessment of primary varicose veins.

In practice, however, it is difficult to apply this recommendation to the strict schedules in small day surgery units, where most patients with mild CVD are treated. Currently in Finland only university hospitals and large central hospitals are equipped with vascular laboratories and duplex ultrasonography facilities for routine venous duplex examinations. In order to meet the modern standards of venous diagnosis, access to venous duplex scanning should be available in all surgical units operating on CVD. The
resources should be directed to proper preoperative evaluation and consequently, reduction of the high recurrence rates.

In the assessment of primary uncomplicated CVD, total lower leg venous mapping would be unnecessary. Duplex scanning can be directed to the suspected venous junctions and segments. The detection of variable venous anatomy also facilitates the planning of operative treatment. This practice would not require too much extra resources at the busy surgical outpatient clinics. Also, the costs associated with the use of duplex ultrasonography equipment would not be too high per examination, in view of how common mild CVD is in the population.

The results of these studies (I, II) will probably not solve the controversy of whether duplex ultrasound should be performed preoperatively on all patients with primary uncomplicated CVD, but they certainly seem to lend support to such a conclusion, as previously also claimed by other authors (DePalma et al. 1993; Singh et al. 1997; Mercer et al. 1998; Wills et al. 1998; Jutley et al. 2001). A randomised trial to compare preoperative HHD and duplex ultrasonography with long postoperative follow-up is needed to demonstrate whether the systematic use of duplex ultrasonography can ultimately prove cost-effective by reducing the recurrence of varicose veins.

6.3 Feasibility of endovenous obliteration (III)

The results in the reports of the Endovenous Reflux Management and VNUS Closure Treatment Study (Chandler et al. 2000a; Manfrini et al. 2000) were based on international multicentre studies. The participants were a heterogeneous group of general, vascular and dermatologic surgeons, radiologists and dermatologists. At the beginning of the study there was only scant knowledge about the procedure. The technical details of the procedure varied notably between the different centres. In 22% of cases, endovenous obliteration was combined with high ligation of the SFJ. Thus, the possibility of using only the mini-invasive technique was missed. However, in 40% of cases, stab avulsion phlebectomies were not performed. Because of this, it is not possible to compare the results of the Endovenous Reflux Management and VNUS Closure Treatment Study with those in our own series. Chandler et al reported a 96% acute vein occlusion rate and at a mean follow-up of 4.9 months, 7.2% of the successfully treated veins having had recanalized (Chandler et al. 2000a). In our study, persisting patency or recanalization of the LSV was detected in 8 legs (26.7%). Chandler et al. (2000a) reported the following complication rates: clinical thrombophlebitis in 6.7%, heat-induced paresthesia in 19%, thermal skin injury in 2.7% and thrombus propagation in 1.4% of cases. Our results were rather similar to the latter postoperative complications, including saphenous nerve paresthesia in 3 legs (10%) and thermal skin injury in one limb (3.3%). There were no major complications, and the incidence of saphenous nerve paresthesia in the present study did not differ from the 7% nerve complication rate after traditional proximal greater saphenous vein stripping operations (Holme et al. 1990). However, a comparison of the complications of endovenous obliteration and conventional procedures is not possible on the basis of the results of this feasibility study.
In study III, we had a possibility to improve the technique of endovenous obliteration based on our previous results. The proximity of the saphenous vein to the skin should be noted in preoperative duplex scanning and the risk of skin injury can be minimized with subcutaneous saline infiltration along the route of the vein, as we currently do in all cases. However, we still consider an apparent prominent LSV trunk as a contraindication for treatment with endovenous RF resistive heating.

The relatively high incidence of early treatment failures (26.7%) may have been due to excessively fast pullback of the catheter. The radiofrequency generator is programmed to maintain the temperature of the vessel wall at 85°C, and according to the manufacturers’ instructions, the catheter should be pulled back slowly enough (ca. 3 cm / min) to maintain the impedance between 95 and 125 ohms and the temperature at 85°C. In addition to the correct pullback time and temperature, we recommend routine post-treatment ultrasound assessment of the entire treated vein, combined with occasional re-treatment of incompletely contracted segments.

A methodological weakness of the study III was the lack of blinded controls. The US findings were recorded on video for a possible review. The US examinations were standardized, and in our opinion, the detection of reflux by colour duplex US is not significantly open to various interpretations. After successful obliteration, the LSV shrunk during the follow-up, appearing as a thin echogenic line or being occasionally quite indiscernible at the last follow-up examination (6 and 12 months).

Overall, our mid-term follow-up data indicate that endovenous obliteration with RF-resistive heating is a feasible method for the treatment of primary LSV insufficiency in patients with mild to moderate clinical findings.

6.4 Comparison of endovenous obliteration and stripping operation (IV)

According to our findings, endovenous obliteration resulted in less postoperative pain, shorter sick leaves and faster recovery of physical function than traditional surgery. The endovenous procedure involves higher operating costs, but has potential economical advantages for employed patients due to their ability to resume work sooner. The procedures were equally efficient in eliminating reflux in the treated LSV segments. The success rate of endovenous obliteration was noticeably better than that observed in the feasibility study (III). The postoperative changes in the CEAP classification did not differ, either. The overall complication rates were similar in the two groups. The complication rate of endovenous obliteration was higher in study IV than in study III (Table 12), although this may be explained by the more thorough follow-up in study IV.

In the present study, the minimally invasive nature of the endovenous obliteration technique resulted in reduced postoperative pain. Almost half of the patients in the stripping group complained of pain and tension in the thigh two weeks after the operation, which seemed to be the main cause of prolonged sick leaves among these patients. In addition, the pain disturbed knee motion and walking, thus delaying the recovery of normal physical function.
The patients were slightly older in the stripping group, and a positive correlation between age and sick leave emerged. According to the coefficient of determination, however, age explained only 14% of the length of the sick leave. Thus, we believe that the results were not distorted by this randomization-based difference.

Our sample included employed patients, which increased influence of the indirect costs on the cost analysis. The sensitivity analysis showed that even when 25 – 40% of the patients are retired, endovenous obliteration can be economically cost-minimizing in view of society. The possible country-specific differences in the investment costs and the cost of the catheter have relatively small effects on the average cost of endovenous obliteration. In addition, since the two alternatives required approximately the same amount of other health care resources, the differences in the values of the other cost factors do not have a significant influence on the results of the cost analysis.

The results of the previous reports on the techniques of using endovenous electrosurgical devices for venous wall collagen denaturation have been so inferior that these procedures have been used only sporadically (Politowski & Zelazny 1966; Watts 1972; O'Reilly 1977; O'Reilly 1981; Griffith et al. 1989; Gradman 1994). Recently developed endovenous techniques, such as cryoablation (Etienne et al. 1997; Garde 1994), endovenous laser obliteration (Navarro et al. 2001) or angioscopic techniques (Hoshino et al. 1997), have not gained much ground, either. Although this is still the only controlled randomized trial about endovenous obliteration, it is already used in Europe and the USA. Before endovenous treatment with RF-resistant heating can establish its place in the treatment of LSV insufficiency, technical development and more scientific proof of its possible advantages are needed. The price of the catheters should also drop to convince the hospital administration of the probable cost-effectiveness of the procedure.

In conclusion, the results of study IV indicated that endovenous obliteration may offer an advantage over conventional stripping operation in terms of reduced postoperative pain, shorter sick leaves and faster return to normal activities. Endovenous obliteration was more expensive for the hospital. The total costs, which also included the costs incurred by society due to sick leaves, were no higher than the total costs of the conventional stripping operation. More information is needed on the long-term results and recurrence rates, and larger studies are required to determine the precise role of this procedure in the treatment of primary LSV insufficiency. A thorough assessment of CVD surgery would also require a long-term cost-effectiveness or cost-benefit analysis of these alternatives.
7 Conclusions

With reference to the purpose of the present investigation, the results can be summarized as follows:

1. Patients with uncomplicated primary saphenous vein insufficiency should be examined using duplex ultrasonography at least in cases where SPJ reflux is suspected or with equivocal hand-held Doppler findings.

2. Patients with mild chronic venous disease (CEAP clinical class 2-4) should not be operated based on only clinical judgement. The accuracy of hand-held Doppler examination is unsatisfactory, and Duplex ultrasonography should be considered the preoperative diagnostic method of choice in uncomplicated primary saphenous vein insufficiency.

3. Endovenous obliteration employing radiofrequency-resistive heating is a feasible procedure and a mini-invasive alternative for the treatment of primary long saphenous vein insufficiency.

4. Endovenous obliteration may offer advantages over the conventional stripping operation in terms of reduced postoperative pain, shorter sick leaves and faster return to normal activities and it appears to be cost-saving among employed patients in mid-term evaluation.
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