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Removal of ammonium from municipal wastewater with powdered and granulated metakaolin geopolymer

Ammonium (NH$_4^+$) removal from municipal wastewater poses challenges with the commonly used biological processes. Especially at low wastewater temperatures, the process is frequently ineffective and difficult to control. One alternative is to use ion-exchange. In the present study, a novel NH$_4^+$ ion-exchanger, metakaolin geopolymer (MK-GP), was prepared, characterized, and tested. Batch experiments with powdered MK-GP indicated that the maximum exchange capacities were 31.79, 28.77, and 17.75 mg/g in synthetic, screened, and pre-sedimented municipal wastewater, respectively, according to the Sips isotherm ($R^2 \geq 0.91$). Kinetics followed the pseudo-second order rate equation in all cases ($k_{p2} = 0.04–0.24$ g mg$^{-1}$ min$^{-1}$, $R^2 \geq 0.97$) and the equilibrium was reached within 30–90 min. Granulated MK-GP proved to be suitable for a continuous column mode use. Granules were high-strength, porous at the surface and could be regenerated multiple times with NaCl/NaOH. A bench-scale pilot test further confirmed the feasibility of granulated MK-GP in practical conditions at a municipal wastewater treatment plant; consistently < 4 mg/L NH$_4^+$ could be reached even though wastewater had low temperature (approx. 10°C). The results indicate that powdered or granulated MK-GP might have practical potential for removal and possible recovery of NH$_4^+$ from municipal wastewaters. The simple and low-energy preparation method for MK-GP further increases the significance of the results.
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Introduction

Ammonium (NH$_4^+$) is the most important nitrogen-species contributing to the eutrophication of water bodies in the areas where nitrogen is the nutrient in shortest supply [1]. Consequently, nitrogen removal from municipal wastewater has become commonly mandatory and, for example, in Europe it is specified in the urban wastewater treatment directive [2]. The most widely used method to remove nitrogen...
from wastewater is by microbial nitrification-denitrification reactions which can be implemented by, for instance, the aerobic-anoxic active sludge process [3]. However, nitrification rate drops sharply as temperature of wastewater decreases and, consequently, nitrogen removal is challenging in cool and temperate climate areas during cold season [4].

Adsorption or ion-exchange-based approaches offer more robust alternative method for NH$_4^+$ removal. It was recently demonstrated by a simulation that anaerobic digestion followed by zeolite-based ion-exchange had lower operational costs and better nitrogen-removal performance than the conventional nitrification-denitrification or the Anammox processes [5]. Furthermore, nitrogen and carbon could be recovered in the forms of marketable fertiliser and biogas, respectively [5]. However, it was emphasised that the exchange capacity of the ion-exchanger material has a crucial role in the overall process efficiency [5].

Recently, a novel NH$_4^+$ ion-exchanger material was developed: metakaolin geopolymer [6]. The main advantages are high NH$_4^+$ exchange capacity; simple and low-energy synthesis; and low-cost and readily available raw materials [6]. Additionally, geopolymers have been studied for the adsorption of dyes [7-10], sulphate [11], As [12], Ca [13], Cd [14], Co [15,16], Cr [17,18], Cs [19-21], Cu [22-25], Ni [12,26], Pb [13,17,27,28], Sb [12], Sr [19] and Zn [17]. Geopolymers consist of an anionic framework of corner-sharing SiO$_4$ and AlO$_4$ where the exchangeable cations are located in the voids – similarly as with zeolites [29]. The anion (such as arsenic or sulphate) removal with geopolymers is based on modification or formation of secondary mineral phases [11,12]. However, unlike zeolites, geopolymers are amorphous. The most common synthesis method involves a reaction between aluminosilicate raw material (such as metakaolin) and alkali activator (commonly concentrated sodium
hydroxide and silicate) at ambient or near-ambient temperature and pressure [30]. The formation reactions of geopolymers include dissolution, gelation, reorganisation, and hardening although the exact mechanism remains still unclear [31].

Geopolymerisation–granulation is a new method by which spherical geopolymer granules can be produced [32]. In short, the precursor particles are mixed inside a high shear granulator and as the alkali activator is added slowly on the particle flow, the particles begin to bind together by the surface tension of the liquid. In addition, the alkali activator starts to dissolve the precursor particles which enhance the binding. As the process continues, larger and larger granules will form. The process is stopped once the desired granule size is achieved and the granules left to harden to increase their strength.

Zeolites, synthetic and natural, have been studied extensively for the removal and recovery of NH$_4^+$ from various wastewaters [33-36]. Metakaolin geopolymer was earlier noted as a more efficient NH$_4^+$ adsorbent than typical natural zeolites in terms of capacity and kinetics [6]. However, metakaolin geopolymer has not been tested in municipal wastewater and, in fact, almost all of the aforementioned other geopolymer adsorbent studies have been performed with synthetic wastewaters (i.e., salts in distilled water) as well.

Consequently, the objective of the present study was to test metakaolin geopolymer in both synthetic and municipal wastewaters for NH$_4^+$ removal using batch and continuous modes. Metakaolin geopolymer was prepared using a novel and easily scalable process: geopolymerisation–granulation. Prepared granules were characterized for their physico-chemical properties. The regenerability of the spent geopolymer was assessed. In order to confirm the feasibility of NH$_4^+$ removal with metakaolin
geopolymer in practical conditions, a bench-scale pilot study was performed at a wastewater treatment plant.

**Materials and methods**

*Synthesis of geopolymers*

Powdered geopolymer was synthesised by mixing metakaolin with alkali activator in a liquid to solid weight ratio (L/S) of 1.0 for five minutes, allowed to consolidate at ambient temperature for three days, crushed to particle size of 63–125 µm, washed with deionised water, and stored in a desiccator before use. Alkali activator contained 12 M sodium hydroxide (VWR Chemicals) and sodium silicate (Na₂O 8.2–9.2 % w/w, SiO₂ > 27.7 % w/w, VWR Chemicals) in a weight ratio of 1:2.

Granulated geopolymer was formed by mixing metakaolin powder in a high shear granulator (Eirich EL1) and dosing the alkali activator drop-wise until an L/S ratio of 0.4 was reached. This L/S ratio was the maximum before agglomeration of granules started to occur. After granulation, the particle sizes of 1–4 mm (for laboratory column experiments) or 1–6 mm (for field experiment) were separated by sieving, granules were allowed to consolidate for three days, and material was washed with deionised water before use.

*Characterisation of geopolymers*

The composition of granule cross-sections were determined by FE-SEM-EDS (field emission scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer) using Zeiss Ultra plus instrument with Oxford Instruments INCA system EDS software. Prior the FE-SEM-EDS analysis, granules were cast in epoxy resin and polished to reveal the cross-section. Compressive strength of granules was determined with Zwick Roell Z010 instrument. Loose bulk density (ρ₀), percentage of voids (ν), apparent
particle density ($\rho_a$), oven-dried particle density ($\rho_{rd}$), saturated and surface-dried particle density ($\rho_{ssd}$) and water absorption ($WA_{24}$) were determined with Equations 1–6, respectively, according to standard methods [37,38].

$$\rho_b = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$v = \frac{\rho_{rd} - \rho_b}{\rho_{rd}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\rho_a = \rho_w \frac{M_4}{M_1 - (M_2 - M_3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\rho_{rd} = \rho_w \frac{M_4}{M_1 - (M_2 - M_3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$\rho_{ssd} = \rho_w \frac{M_1}{M_1 - (M_2 - M_3)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$WA_{24} = \frac{100 \times (M_1 - M_4)}{M_4}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $m_2$ = mass of the container and test specimen [kg], $m_1$ = empty container [kg], $V$ = volume of the container [m$^3$], $\rho_w$ = density of water [kg/m$^3$], $M_1$ = the mass of the saturated and surface-dried aggregate in the air [g], $M_2$ = the apparent mass in water of the basket containing the sample of saturated aggregate [g], $M_3$ = the apparent mass in water of the empty basket [g], and $M_4$ = the mass of the oven-dried test portion in air [g].

**Laboratory-scale NH$_4^+$ removal experiments**

Two wastewater samples were collected from a municipal wastewater treatment plant (Oulu, Finland): 1) after aerated sand removal and screening (referred to as screened effluent) and 2) after aerated sand removal, screening, coagulation with polyaluminium chloride, and sedimentation (referred to as pre-sedimented effluent). The effects of powdered adsorbent dose (0.5–25 g/L, 24 h contact time) and contact time (1–1440 min, dose 5 g/L) were studied. Similar experiments were performed also with model solution prepared of ammonium chloride (Merck). The effect of NH$_3$–NH$_4^+$ equilibrium on the
removal was studied by adjusting the model solution pH in the range of 3–10 without sorbent, mixing for 24 h, and comparing to the results obtained with sorbent. After each experiment, geopolymer powder was separated by centrifuging and the supernatant was analysed for \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) concentration using a flow injection analyser (Foss-Tecator Fiastar 5000).

Isotherm and kinetics models were applied to the data obtained in the batch experiments with powdered adsorbent. Several isotherms were applied and the best-fitting was the Sips isotherm [39] (Equation 7).

\[
q_e = \frac{q_m(bC_e)^n}{1+(bC_e)^n}
\]

where \( q_e \) (mg/g) is the equilibrium sorption amount; \( q_m \) (mg/g) corresponds to the maximum sorption capacity; \( b \) (L/mg) is a parameter related to the energy of sorption; \( C_e \) (mg/L) is the equilibrium concentration of \( \text{NH}_4^+ \); and the exponent \( n \) (dimensionless) describes the heterogeneity of the sorbent surface. The kinetics data was best described using the pseudo-second order rate equation [40] (Equation 8) which can be integrated with the condition \( q_t = 0 \) when \( t = 0 \) (Equation 9).

\[
\frac{dq_t}{dt} = k_{p2}(q_e - q_t)^2
\]

\[
t = \frac{1}{k_{p2}q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e}
\]

where \( q_t \) (mg/g) is the sorption amount at time \( t \) (min), \( k_{p2} \) (g/(mg × min)) is the pseudo-second order rate constant.

Continuous experiments were performed by weighing 50 g of granules (1–4 mm) into a plastic column (inner height 99 mm, width 44 mm, and volume 0.15 L), washing with deionised water, and pumping pre-sedimented effluent through the
column using flow rates of 0.5 and 1.0 L/h corresponding to 3 and 6 min empty bed contact time (EBCT), respectively. EBCT was calculated according to Equation 10:

\[ EBCT = \frac{V_m}{Q} \]  

where \(V_m\) (L) is the volume of particles in the bed and \(Q\) (L/h) is the volumetric flow rate.

Samples were taken with 15 min interval and \(\text{NH}_4^+\) was determined as described earlier. After filtration, the bed was flushed with 8 L of deionised water. Regeneration of filter bed was performed by pumping 2 L of 0.1 M NaOH and 0.2 M NaCl solution through the column using 2 L/h flow rate and rinsing with 8 L of deionised water. Regeneration was performed two times and the \(\text{NH}_4^+\) removal performance was tested after each regeneration cycle.

Mass transfer resistance in the column experiments was assessed according to the method described by Fulazzaky et al. [41]. In short, the internal or porous ([\(k_L a\)]_d), external or film ([\(k_L a\)]_f), and global ([\(k_L a\)]_g) mass transfer coefficients (1/h) were determined with equations 11–13, respectively. These variables were then plotted against the percentage of outflow from the column and the curves were then used to determine whether the mass transfer resistance is dependent on internal or external diffusion.

\[
[k_L a]_d = [k_L a]_g - [k_L a]_f 
\]

\[
[k_L a]_g = e^{B \beta + \ln(C_0/C_t)} 
\]

\[
[k_L a]_f = [k_L a]_g \times e^{-\beta \times t \ln q_c} 
\]

where B (mg/g) is potential mass transfer index relating to the driving force of mass transfer, \(\beta\) ((g × h)/mg) is adsorbate-adsorbent affinity parameter, \(C_0\) (mg/L) and \(C_t\) (mg/L) are initial \(\text{NH}_4^+\) concentration and concentration at time \(t\) (h), and \(q_c\) (mg/g) is the
cumulative adsorbed amount of NH$_4^+$ on granules. B and β were determined from the intercept and slope, respectively, by plotting ln $q_c$ versus ln $t$ according to Equation 14.

\[
\ln q_c = B + \frac{1}{\beta} \times \ln t
\]  

(14)

**Pilot-scale NH$_4^+$ removal experiment**

A small pilot-scale experiment was performed on-site at a municipal wastewater treatment plant (Jämsä, Finland). The wastewater treatment plant process consists of pre-treatment (screening, sand/grease separation, and pre-sedimentation), secondary treatment (active sludge process and post-sedimentation), and tertiary treatment (flotation and UV disinfection). Side-flow of treated wastewater (0.2 L/min) after UV disinfection was pumped with a submersible pump through a stainless steel filter (inner diameter 105 mm, height 600 mm and volume 5.20 L) filled with 2114g (approximately 3.2 L) of geopolymer granules (particle size 1–6 mm) (for experimental set-up, see Figure 6). After the filter, treated water was sampled with an interval of 1 h using an automatic sampler. The influent water to the filter was sampled manually several times per day. NH$_4^+$ removal was monitored semiquantitatively on-site using a photometer (Chemetrics V-2000) and cuvette tests (Chemetrics Vacu-Vials K1403) which measures free ammonia and monochloramine [42]. The samples taken with automatic sampler were analysed quantitatively for NH$_4^+$ with a flow injection analyser (Foss-Tecator Fiastar 5000). Regeneration was performed by first flushing the filter bed with clean water, pumping 25 L of 0.1 M NaOH and 0.2 M NaCl solution through the filter, and rinsing with clean water until the effluent had low residual NH$_4^+$. 

Results and discussion

Characteristics of metakaolin geopolymer

Detailed characteristics of the metakaolin geopolymer powder are presented elsewhere [6]. In short, metakaolin geopolymer is X-ray amorphous and has higher specific surface area and is more porous than metakaolin. Infrared spectrum, $^{27}$Si and $^{29}$Al MAS-NMR (magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance) spectra indicate a change of chemical structure of metakaolin after geopolymerisation. Zeta potential of metakaolin geopolymer is negative when pH > 4.5. The negative zeta potential indicates affinity towards cations.

The cross-section of a granule (Figure 1) shows that the core (diameter of approx. 2 mm, highlighted with white) is denser than the porous surface layer (approx. 0.5 mm). The porous nature of granules is also demonstrated by the high volume of voids: 57.9% (Table 2). However, there are no clear differences in the chemical composition (Table 1) across the granule indicating that geopolymerisation reaction has taken place uniformly.

Figure 1. Cross section of metakaolin geopolymer granule. The results of point analysis are shown in Table 1. The inner denser core has been highlighted with white colour.

Table 1. Semi-quantitative energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) analysis of points shown in Figure 1.

Physical properties of granules are shown in Table 2. Compressive strength of granules could not be measured in N/m$^2$ (i.e. Pa) due to their spherical size: instead, the force (N) needed to break granules is given. Compressive strength gives an indication of granule quality: the obtained granules had an average strength of 63.85 N. However, there was a
large variation between individual 1–4 mm sized granules (34–123 N, n = 11). The
strength development is due to the formation of bridges between particles. It has been
noted that the plate-shapes of metakaolin particles [43] might hinder granulation and
(high) strength development [32]. Furthermore, the use of potassium hydroxide and
silicate enhances the strength development [32]. However, based on the performed
filtration experiments, the obtained strength appears to be sufficient.

Table 2. Physical properties of metakaolin geopolymer granules (1–4 mm).

\textit{NH}_4^+ \text{ removal with powdered metakaolin geopolymer: batch experiments}

The differences in the \textit{NH}_4^+ removal efficiency from screened, pre-sedimented, and
synthetic wastewater are small: in all cases up to approx. 90% removal is reached
(Figure 2). This indicates that the geopolymer is selective towards \textit{NH}_4^+ and wastewater
physico–chemical characteristics (Table 3) have only a minor effect on the removal
efficiency. The increase of geopolymer powder dose (Figure 2A) up to approx. 4 g/L
increases \textit{NH}_4^+ removal results significantly but with larger doses the removal levels-off
at 85–90%. The equilibrium-state is reached after 30–90 min (Figure 2B). The rapid
adsorption has been explained to occur on the surface of the adsorbent whereas the
slower adsorption occurs inside the pores [44]. It has been shown that dosing of zeolite
powder to the activated sludge process has a beneficial effect on nitrogen removal;
zeolite acts as ion-exchanger, biofilm carrier and could be regenerated continuously by
microbes; and only a small additional dosing of zeolite is necessary to replace zeolite
loss due the sludge excluding [45,46]. Since metakaolin geopolymer represents natural
zeolite chemically, a similar process could be applied with geopolymer powder. In fact,
geopolymer made of mine waste mud was found as a potential carrier media for fixed-
film wastewater-treatment processes [47].
Figure 2. NH$_4^+$ removal results from screened, pre-sedimented, and synthetic wastewater: A) effect of geopolymer powder dose and B) effect of contact time. Initial NH$_4^+$ was 32, 39, and 40 mg/L in synthetic, pre-sedimented and screened wastewater, respectively.

Table 3. Physico–chemical characteristics of synthetic, pre-sedimented and screened wastewaters.

Based on the data presented in Figure 2A, isotherm fitting was performed. Several isotherms were applied and the best-fitting model was the Sips isotherm and thus only its data is shown. Similarly, the best-fitting kinetics model was the pseudo-second order rate equation. The parameters obtained from isotherm and kinetics modelling are shown in Table 4. The maximum sorption capacity ($q_m$) is higher than typically reported for natural zeolites although some synthetic zeolites have still a higher capacity [6]. Lower Si/Al ratio increases adsorption capacity as there is more negative charge in the alumino-silicate framework and subsequently more exchangeable cations: metakaolin geopolymer has a lower Si/Al ratio than typical natural zeolites. The maximum capacity is only slightly reduced when comparing synthetic wastewater and screened effluent. However, a significant decrease of $q_m$ is observed with the pre-sedimented effluent. This could be due to the addition of flocculant and pH adjustment chemicals: for example, calcium concentration (45 mg/L) is higher than with the screened effluent (27 mg/L) (see Table 2). Also with the kinetics, the trend of rate constants is the same: synthetic wastewater > screened effluent > pre-sedimented effluent. Calculated and experimental capacity values from isotherm and kinetics modelling ($q_m$ and $q_e$, respectively) are in agreement.

Table 4. The obtained parameters of isotherm and kinetics models.
Due to the alkaline synthesis conditions, metakaolin geopolymer increases pH even after careful rinsing (Figure 3A). This property of geopolymers was recently utilised in pH buffering of anaerobic digestion [48]. The increase of pH is pronounced in synthetic wastewater due to the lack of pH buffering capacity. However, with the well-buffered real wastewater effluents, pH increases significantly only when the dose of sorbent is larger than 5 g/L. A blank experiment without dosing geopolymer but increasing pH with NaOH was conducted to see the contribution of pH change and possible volatilisation of NH₃ gas on the NH₄⁺ removal. The results of the blank experiment (not shown) indicated that NH₃ volatilisation started to contribute when pH was larger than 9 as suggested by the dissociation constant of ammonia: pKₐ = 9.25 at 25 °C (Figure 3B) [49]. However, the contribution of volatilisation was rather minor (max. 6 % removal) up to pH 11. Consequently, the volatilisation of NH₃ has some effect on the removal of NH₄⁺ from synthetic wastewater but only a minor effect in the case of municipal wastewater in the present experimental set-up.

Figure 3. A) change of pH as a result of sorbent dose in synthetic wastewater, screened effluent and pre-sedimented effluent and B) speciation of NH₄⁺ and NH₃ (calculated with MineQL+).

NH₄⁺ removal with geopolymer granules: continuous laboratory-scale column experiments

Metakaolin geopolymer granules were tested in column experiments using the pre-sedimented effluent. These tests were used to preliminarily determine the effect of empty bed contact time (EBCT): the increase of EBCT from 3 to 6 min increases the NH₄⁺ removal 10–20 percentage points (Figure 4A). The breakthrough point (removal less than 50%) with EBCT of 6 min occurs at approx. 180 min (corresponding 1.56 L outflow) and with EBCT of 3 min the removal is already ≤ 50% from the beginning.
The regeneration was performed with 0.1 M NaOH and 0.2 M NaCl solution and the regenerated granules were used in column experiment again using 6 min EBCT (Figure 4B). The regeneration cycle was repeated twice. The regeneration was successful although the successive regeneration cycles decreased the NH₄⁺ removal. However, at the beginning of the 2nd cycle (after the first regeneration) NH₄⁺ removal was more efficient than with virgin metakaolin geopolymer for approx. 1 h.

Figure 4. Continuous column experiments with pre-sedimented effluent: A) using empty bed contact times (EBCT) of 6 and 3 min; B) regeneration experiments. The initial NH₄⁺ was 35.7 mg/L on average.

Mass transfer in granulated metakaolin geopolymer during the column test was evaluated using the data from the series employing 6 min EBCT (Figure 4A). The plot of ln qₑ versus ln t gives a straight line with a good correlation: R² > 0.99 (Figure 5A). Consequently, B and 1/β can be reliably obtained from the intercept and slope, respectively (their numerical values are shown in Figure 5A). Figure 5B shows the variation of external, internal and global mass transfer coefficients as a function of percentage of outflow. As the granules approach saturation, the mass transfer coefficients approach zero. The porous or internal diffusion coefficient, [kLa]ₐ, has negative values and thus it can be concluded that the mass transfer resistance is dependent on internal diffusion [41]. For powdered metakaolin geopolymer, the rate-limiting step in the NH₄⁺ exchange process was the film diffusion as shown in our earlier study [6].

Figure 5. A) Plot of ln qₑ versus ln t and B) plot of mass transfer factor (external, internal, and global) as a function of percentage of outflow.
NH$_4^+$ removal with geopolymer granules: pilot-scale experiment

Target of the field experiment (Figure 6) was to test whether metakaolin geopolymer granules could, in practical conditions, reduce NH$_4^+$ to a level less than 4 mg/L which is the common discharge limit in Finland for municipal wastewater treatment plants during the warm season (wastewater temperature >12°C). Secondly, the possibility to regenerate metakaolin geopolymer granules was tested in practice. The influent water to the filter had NH$_4^+$ concentration of 15–20 mg/L (Figure 7). The characteristics of wastewater as reported by the wastewater treatment plant are shown in Table 5. During the pilot, the NH$_4^+$ concentration was slightly lower than typically which was caused by heavy raining. Wastewater is very suitable for treatment with metakaolin geopolymer which is indicated by low suspended solids, BOD$_7$, COD$_t$, and the amount of competing ions. Furthermore, the nitrification rate is low, i.e. most of nitrogen is present as NH$_4^+$ in the effluent, and therefore it would be possible to reach also the 15 mg/L total-N limit set by the EU urban wastewater directive [2].

The results of the field experiment (Figure 7) demonstrate that the discharge limit of 4 mg/L NH$_4^+$ could be readily reached with the used set-up (flowrate 0.2 L/min and the amount of 1–6 mm geopolymer granules 2114 g or 3.2 L). The temperature of wastewater during field test was approx. 10 °C which indicates that the ion-exchange-based treatment could be a feasible treatment option during the cold season. After 25 h of run, the filter was rinsed as there was accumulation of solids in the filter although suspended solids of influent were relatively low (Table 5). After 30 h of run, some breakthrough of NH$_4^+$ started to occur and the 4 mg/L level was exceeded. However, the NH$_4^+$ concentration again slowly decreased between 35–45 h of run time which could have been due to small changes in the influent concentration. The first regeneration was performed after 47 h of run. There was a peak of NH$_4^+$ in the effluent after regeneration as the filter bed was rinsed. After regeneration (50–57 h of run), the
NH₄⁺ concentration in the effluent slowly decreased. The on-site measurement of NH₄⁺ indicated again some breakthrough at 58 h and subsequently a second regeneration was performed. However, the laboratory measurements revealed that the concentration was still below 4 mg/L and the second regeneration was, in fact, unnecessary at this point. After the second regeneration, NH₄⁺ started to slowly decrease again: this could be due to the leaching of residual NH₄⁺ out of the geopolymer bed as the rinsing after regeneration was not possibly efficient enough. The used regenerant solution had NH₄⁺ concentration of 120 mg/L indicating that the studied process could be used to separate and concentrate NH₄⁺. With further concentration and selection of different regeneration solution chemistry, the regenerant could be turned into a marketable nitrogen fertiliser (e.g. in the form of 30% (NH₄)₂SO₄).

Figure 6. The set-up of NH₄⁺ removal field experiment.

Figure 7. The results of NH₄⁺ removal field experiment.

Table 5. Physico-chemical characteristics of wastewater treated in the pilot experiment three months before the pilot test (as reported by the wastewater treatment plant).

Conclusions

The present paper demonstrated that metakaolin geopolymer could be utilized in the removal and possibly recovery of NH₄⁺ from municipal wastewater. The maximum NH₄⁺ exchange capacity of powdered metakaolin geopolymer according to the Sips isotherm was 31.79, 28.77, and 17.75 mg/g in synthetic, screened, and pre-sedimented
municipal wastewater, respectively. Up to approx. 90% removal of NH$_4^+$ could be reached when the initial concentration was 32 – 40 mg/L, dose 4 g/L and contact time 60 min. The kinetics followed the pseudo-second order rate equation and rate constants followed the trend: synthetic wastewater > screened effluent > pre-sedimented effluent. Equilibrium was reached between 30–90 min. Geopolymerisation–granulation proved to be a suitable and easily scalable process for producing granulated metakaolin geopolymer. Granules had sufficient strength, porous surface, and could be regenerated with NaCl/NaOH. However, there was some decrease in the exchange efficiency already during two regeneration cycles. The mass transfer resistance in the continuous column mode was determined to be due to internal diffusion. A bench-scale pilot experiment was performed at a municipal wastewater treatment plant with tertiary effluent in order to study the feasibility of granulated geopolymers in practical conditions. NH$_4^+$ concentration less than 4 mg/L could be consistently reached and regeneration was effective although wastewater temperature was only approx. 10 °C. Metakaolin geopolymer might be interesting over zeolites due to the following reasons: it has higher capacity than typical natural zeolites; it is less energy intensive to produce than synthetic zeolites; and no reserves of natural zeolites are available in the Northern Scandinavia, for example, whereas geopolymers could be prepared from locally available materials.
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Table 1. Semi-quantitative energy dispersive spectrum (EDS) analysis of points shown in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectrum Label</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
<th>P6</th>
<th>P7</th>
<th>P8</th>
<th>P9</th>
<th>P10</th>
<th>P11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>48.9</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>14.45</td>
<td>18.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>28.64</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Physical properties of metakaolin geopolymer granules (1–4 mm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loose bulk density, $\rho_b$</td>
<td>932.3 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of voids, $v$</td>
<td>57.9 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparent particle density, $\rho_a$</td>
<td>2510 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oven dried particle density, $\rho_{od}$</td>
<td>2220 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturated and surface-dried particle density, $\rho_{ssd}$</td>
<td>2330 kg/m$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption, WA$_{24}$</td>
<td>5.3 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive strength</td>
<td>63.85 N (average, n = 11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Physico–chemical characteristics of synthetic, pre-sedimented and screened wastewaters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Synthetic wastewater</th>
<th>Pre-sedimented effluent</th>
<th>Screened effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD\textsubscript{Cr} [mg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS [mg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH\textsubscript{4}+ [mg/L]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al [µg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P [µg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni [µg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu [µg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn [µg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca [mg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg [mg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn [mg/L]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. The obtained parameters of isotherm and kinetics models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Synthetic wastewater</th>
<th>Pre-sedimented effluent</th>
<th>Screened effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sips isotherm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q\textsubscript{m}, experimental [mg/g]</td>
<td>32.00</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>26.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>q\textsubscript{m}, calculated [mg/g]</td>
<td>31.79</td>
<td>17.75</td>
<td>28.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b [L/mg]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^2$</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>16.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pseudo-second order rate equation**

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$, experimental [mg/g]</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_e$, calculated [mg/g]</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>5.46</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_p2$ [g/(mg min)]</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMSE = residual mean square error, $X^2$ = chi square test.
Table 5. Physico–chemical characteristics of wastewater treated in the pilot experiment three months before the pilot test (as reported by the wastewater treatment plant).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>August 2016</th>
<th>September 2016</th>
<th>October 2016</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspended solids [mg/L]</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductivity [mS/m]</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>61.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity [mmol/L]</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total N [mg/L]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺-N [mg/L]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄⁺ [mg/L]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO₃⁻-N + NO₂⁻-N [mg/L]</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P [mg/L]</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble P [mg/L]</td>
<td>&lt; 0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt; 0.002</td>
<td>&lt; 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD₇,atu [mg/L]</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
<td>&lt; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD₆ [mg/L]</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al [mg/L]</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe [mg/L]</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature [°C]</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Metakaolin geopolymer main properties:

- Maximum exchange capacity 32 mg NH$_4^+$/g as powder.
- Regenerable multiple times with NaCl/NaOH.
- Can reach < 4 mg NH$_4^+$/L in municipal wastewater.