Plasticity is a crucial aspect of neuronal physiology essential for proper development and continuous functional optimization of neurons and neural circuits. Despite extensive studies of different visual systems, little is known about plasticity in mature microvillar photoreceptors. Here we investigate changes in electrophysiological properties and gene expression in photoreceptors of the adult cockroach, *Periplaneta americana*, after exposure to constant light (CL) or constant dark (CD) for several months. After CL, we observed a decrease in mean whole-cell capacitance, a proxy for cell membrane area, from 362 ± 160 to 157 ± 58 pF, and a decrease in absolute sensitivity. However, after CD, we observed an increase in capacitance to 561 ± 155 pF and an increase in absolute sensitivity. Small changes in the expression of light-sensitive channels and signaling molecules were detected in CD retinas, together with a substantial increase in the expression of the primary green-sensitive opsin (GO1). Accordingly, light-induced currents became larger in CD photoreceptors. Even though normal levels of GO1 expression were retained in CL photoreceptors, light-induced currents became much smaller, suggesting that factors other than opsin are involved. Latency of phototransduction also decreased significantly in CL photoreceptors. The reduced factors other than opsin are involved. Latency of phototransduction also decreased significantly in CL photoreceptors.

**Introduction**

Environmental stimuli contribute to the proper development and maintenance of sensory receptors and their downstream neural circuits. In visual systems, the effects of such stimulation, or its lack, can range from a failure to establish proper synaptic connections during ontogenesis (Hubel and Wiesel, 1970; Hubel et al., 1977; Jiang et al., 2009) to various forms of synaptic plasticity (Berry and Nedivi, 2016; Pallas, 2017). For peripheral visual systems, numerous short- and long-term activity-dependent modifications have been described over different time scales at both the cellular and network levels in photoreceptors and higher-order visual neurons (Brann and Cohen, 1987; Sokolov et al., 2002; Wagner and Kröger, 2005; Calvert et al., 2006).

Studies of plasticity in invertebrate visual systems have examined developmental changes at the first visual synapse, connections between neurons in the higher-order visual centers (Hertel, 1983; Meinertzhagen, 1989; Barth et al., 1997; Pallas, 2017), short-term light adaptations in the retina (Laughlin, 1989), and illumination-dependent changes at the molecular level in photoreceptors (Bährer et al., 2002; Cronin et al., 2006; Frechter and Minke, 2006). However, little is known about long-term functional adaptations in microvillar photoreceptors. Phenotypic plasticity of the electrophysiological properties of microvillar photoreceptors has primarily been explored in dipters. Vision of the housefly, *Musca domestica*, displayed improved absolute sensitivity and contrast sensitivity when it was reared in complete darkness for several days after emergence (Deimel and Kral, 1992). When the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster*, was exposed to light, its photoreceptor responses were faster and less noisy, with higher information capacity than in dark-reared flies (Wolfram and Juusola, 2004; Voolstra et al., 2017). In addition, long-term changes in the $K^+$ current of the sea slug *Hermissenda*...
crassicornis photoreceptors were detected after relatively short exposure to light (Yamoah et al., 2005).

Although most research into invertebrate vision has been performed in flies, their photoreceptors are different from many other microvillar photoreceptors. The fly visual system is evolutionarily tuned to operate with relatively high speeds of movement and maneuvering (Weckström and Laughlin, 1995; Frolov et al., 2016). Their compound eyes are characterized by open-rhabdom organization of the ommatidia, with neural superposition taking place in the first optic ganglion, the lamina (Fain et al., 2010). In contrast to hemimetabolous insect species, where photoreceptors must function while they grow during a period of postembryonic development (Frolov et al., 2012), adult fly photoreceptors do not grow. They become functionally mature during the first hours or days after eclosion (Rudolf et al., 2014). Also, the relatively short life spans of flies (Carey, 2001) preclude prolonged light exposure/deprivation experiments. Also, our recent analyses of phototransduction in the cockroach Periplaneta americana, including knockdown of several retinal proteins by RNA interference, have suggested that the phototransduction cascades of flies and cockroaches differ in several important aspects, including the role of Ca2+ and expression patterns of light-activated channels (Immonen et al., 2014, 2017; French et al., 2015; Saari et al., 2017).

Here, we investigated photoreceptors of adult P. americana that were reared in uniform bright light or darkness for several months. Electrophysiological recordings from photoreceptors in dissociated ommatidia revealed distinct and opposing physiological adaptations that suggest morphological changes compared with photoreceptors of control animals maintained under normal illumination (12 h light:12 h dark) conditions. These changes are likely to involve structural remodeling of light-sensitive membrane but also affect the timing of phototransduction. We argue that these changes adjust photoreceptor function to different illumination conditions.

Materials and methods
American cockroaches, P. americana (Linnaeus), were purchased from Blades Biological and maintained at 25°C under three light regimens: in constant light (CL), in reversed 12-h/12-h illumination conditions with a subjective “night” period matching the actual day (control), and in nearly constant dark (CD). Illumination was achieved using a RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen). mRNAs were extracted from 14–20 retinas from each experimental group, using a RNeasy Plus mini kit (Qiagen). mRNA was evaluated using an Experion RNA Analysis kit (Bio-Rad). 50 ng total RNA was used for first-strand cDNA synthesis with Promega RT-Script II reverse transcription (New England BioLabs). Quantitative PCR was performed using GoTaq qPCR Master (Promega) on a CFX96TM real-time PCR detection system (Bio-Rad). All PCR runs were performed three times. Gene expression levels, PCR efficiency, and the standard error of measurement were calculated using CFX Manager (Bio-Rad). Primer sequences for the specific and reference genes are provided elsewhere (French et al., 2015). Amplification efficiencies of the primers were determined using serially diluted cDNA samples.

Data analysis
To determine the information transfer rate, we used a 60-s stimulus consisting of 30 repetitions of a 2-s Gaussian white noise (GWN) sequence, with mean contrast of 0.36 and a 3-dB cutoff frequency (f3dB) of 50 Hz. The GWN sequence was preceded by an adapting 0.5-s steady light interval of the same mean intensity to accommodate the initial transient. Data analysis was done in Matlab (MathWorks) as described previously (Frolov, 2015). In brief, a 2-s signal S(t) was obtained by averaging voltage responses to 30 repetitions of the 2-s sequence. S(t) was then converted into S(∫f) by a fast Fourier transformation (FFT). The noise N(∫f) was then obtained by subtracting the signal estimate from the original (noise-containing) sequences, converting them to spectra by FFT and averaging all 30 noise spectra. The signal gain of voltage responses |T(∫f)| was calculated by dividing the cross-spectrum of photoreceptor input (GWN contrast, C(∫f)) and output (photore-
Results

Whole-cell capacitance and absolute light sensitivity

Patch-clamp recordings were performed from CL, control, and CD photoreceptors between days 100 and 150 into the different light regimens. Basic electrophysiological properties include resting potential, input resistance, and whole-cell capacitance. Of these three, only whole-cell capacitance (Cm) was significantly different between the experimental groups (Fig. 1A–C). Mean Cm in CL cockroaches was 2.4 times smaller and in CD cockroaches 1.5 times higher than in control (Fig. 1A). Cm distributions are shown in Fig. 1B.

Absolute sensitivity to light was estimated by counting quantum bumps (elementary photoreceptor responses) evoked by continuous low-intensity light stimulation. Bump rates were first obtained at different light intensities and then recalculated for the common light intensity corresponding to $10^{-6}$ light intensity in Fig. 4B. Absolute sensitivity was strongly reduced in CL and increased in CD photoreceptors in comparison to control (Fig. 1C). Although a strong positive correlation was found between Cm and absolute sensitivity in control ($\rho = 0.73$, $n = 59$, $P < 10^{-12}$, unpaired t test, comparison with control), 374 ± 180 pF in control ($n = 83$), and 560 ± 149 pF in CD photoreceptors ($n = 26$; $P < 10^{-5}$, unpaired t test, comparison with control), **, $P < 0.01$.

Quantum bump latency

Next, we tested if prolonged exposure to CL or dark changed the latency of elementary (quantum bumps) and macroscopic responses. Quantum bumps were evoked in voltage-clamp mode by 1-ms flashes of green light of such intensity as to trigger bumps with a probability of <0.7. Bump latency was determined as an interval between the onset of light and the time quantum bump amplitude reached 10% of its maximum value. Fig. 2 (A–C) shows typical responses of CL, control, and CD photoreceptors, respectively, with stimulus given at 0 ms and red dashed lines indicating median bump latencies. Normalized bump latency distributions are shown in Fig. 2D. Mean bump latency was significantly smaller in CL than in control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 2E).

Elementary responses

We also compared mean amplitudes and half-widths of current quantum bumps from the three experimental groups. Although there appeared to be differences in mean amplitudes and half-widths, with the smallest mean amplitude and largest mean half-width observed in CD photoreceptors, these differences were not statistically significant and could in principle be explained by large residual uncompensated capacitance in CD but not CL photoreceptors, which slows clamp speed compared with control and especially CL photoreceptors.

Next, we tested if the differences in photoreceptor capacitance altered the amount of low-pass filtering by the membrane. Indeed, dramatic differences in voltage bumps were observed between CL.
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Figure 2. Photoreceptor latency. (A–C) Typical responses of CL, control, and CD photoreceptors to 1-ms flashes of light; light intensity was adjusted to evoke quantum bumps with a probability of ~70%; stimulus was given at 0 ms; dashed lines indicate bump latency medians for these cells; bump latency was determined as the interval between the onset of light and the time that the quantum bump amplitude reached 10% of its maximum value. (D) Normalized distributions of bump latencies; to obtain the distributions, 50 latency values from each cell were combined into a common pool, and frequencies were normalized. (E) Mean latency values were obtained by averaging mean latencies from each photoreceptor. Mean bump latency was significantly smaller in CL than in control and CD photoreceptors: the values were 54.4 ± 7.8 ms in CL (n = 12), 63.2 ± 13.7 ms in control (n = 34; P = 0.014, unpaired t test, comparison to CL); *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; error bars indicate SD.

Typical examples of light-induced current (LIC) evoked by 4-s pulses of steady light in 10-fold intensity increments from CL, control, and CD photoreceptors are shown in Fig. 4 A. Average dependencies of sustained LIC on light level are shown in Fig. 4 B. Sustained LIC in CL photoreceptors was significantly smaller than LICs in two other groups at all light backgrounds. It should be noted that many CL photoreceptors had such a low absolute sensitivity that only quantum bumps could be evoked in the brightest light. Such cells with effectively zero macroscopic LIC were included neither in the average of Fig. 4 B nor in the statistical group comparisons presented in the figure legend, so the numbers provided represent substantial overestimates of the population-average LIC in CL photoreceptors.

Although the sustained LIC values in control and CD photoreceptors were not significantly different at intensities 5 × 10⁻¹ and 5 × 10⁻² (Fig. 4 B), at still dimmer intensities of 5 × 10⁻³ and 5 × 10⁻⁴, the sustained LIC recorded from CD photoreceptors was notably higher than LIC in control (Fig. 4 B). These results are consistent with the increased absolute sensitivity of CD photoreceptors. Also consistent with the previous findings, a strong correlation was found between Cm and sustained LIC amplitude at 5 × 10⁻¹ (Fig. 4 C). For the combined CL, control, and CD data, the Spearman’s ρ coefficient was −0.64 (P < 10⁻⁵). Likewise, as can be seen from Table 1, LIC at 5 × 10⁻¹ correlated strongly (ρ = −0.71) with absolute sensitivity at 5 × 10⁻⁶.

Potassium currents

Several voltage-activated K⁺ (Kv) currents have been found in P. americana photoreceptors, including a transient IA of unknown molecular origin, and a delayed rectifier (IDR) mainly mediated by Eag channels (Immonen et al., 2017). There were only small differences between Kv currents in the three experimental groups. Fig. 5 A shows a representative Kv current recording from a CL photoreceptor. Fig. 5 B compares conductance–voltage relationships for the IDR in CL, control, and CD photoreceptors. Maximal conductance (Gmax) and half-activation potential values were obtained by fitting the relationships with a sigmoidal function. Gmax was slightly smaller in CL than in control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 5 B). These changes in Gmax values are consistent with the previously reported moderate positive correlations between Cm and Gmax values (Salmela et al., 2012). Half-activation potential values were not different between the groups.

Information processing

Next, we investigated the effects of chronic light exposure/deprivation on information transfer. A 60-s GWN stimulus was used over a range of light intensities in 10-fold increments. As in the previous patch-clamp studies, dependencies of information rate (IR) on light intensity in control and CD photoreceptors were usually characterized by the presence of a clear IR maximum (IRmax) in relatively bright light, with a sharp IR decrease in still brighter light because of saturation of phototransduction (Frolov, 2016). In contrast, because of low sensitivity to light, voltage responses of CL photoreceptors to GWN usually showed no such IR saturation. Fig. 6 A demonstrates typical voltage responses of CL, control, and CD photoreceptors associated with IRmax. The voltage noise was the highest in the CL photoreceptor and the lowest in the CD photoreceptor.

Consistent with the differences in LIC (Fig. 4), voltage responses to GWN were characterized by the lowest sustained
depolarization in CL photoreceptors and the highest in control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 6B). When dependencies of IR on background in each photoreceptor were averaged, excluding IR values associated with saturated responses in backgrounds brighter than those eliciting IRmax responses (Fig. 6C), the following picture emerged. First, at all light levels, control and CD photoreceptors transferred significantly more information than CL photoreceptors. Second, in dim backgrounds, CD photoreceptors were characterized by higher information rates than control photoreceptors. For example, at the intermediate light, 5 × 10−3 IR was 8.0 ± 4.0 bits/s in control (n = 21) and 12.3 ± 6.2 bits/s in CD photoreceptors (n = 9, P = 0.03, unpaired t test). This was because of decreased noise in CD relative to control photoreceptors.

Accordingly, IRmax values were observed in relatively dim light in control and CD, and in bright light in CL photoreceptors, with more IRmax values detected in relatively bright backgrounds in control than in CD photoreceptors (Fig. 6D). The IRmax values were about the same in control and CD but much smaller in CL photoreceptors (Fig. 6E). However, it should be noted that the mean CL IRmax value could be an underestimate because most IRmax responses were recorded at the highest light intensity technically feasible in our experiments (Fig. 6D). It is possible that IRs of such photoreceptors did not reach their maxima and might be higher in still brighter light.

We were interested in differences in information processing between the three groups at the peak of their photoreceptor performance. We therefore compared signal gain, signal power, and noise power functions in the frequency domain for the responses associated with IRmax. Median dependencies of signal gain on frequency are shown in Fig. 7A. The values of 3-dB membrane “corner” frequencies (f3dB) were obtained by fitting IRmax signal gain functions in each photoreceptor with a first-order Lorentzian function. The values of f3dB were twice as high in CL as in control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 7B). Median frequency-dependencies of signal and noise power for responses associated with IRmax are shown in Fig. 7C. Consistently with the weaker low-pass filtering, signal power was higher in CL than in control or CD photoreceptors in the higher-frequency region. However, because of the opposite tendencies in the lower-frequency region and a rapid decrease in signal power with frequency, total signal power for any of the three conditions was not statistically different (Fig. 7D). Consistent with the increased voltage bump noise, the total noise power was significantly higher in CL than in control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 7D). Because of high noise, the median signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) function for CL was smaller than the SNR functions for control and CD photoreceptors (Fig. 7E).

Changes in gene expression

We also investigated the expression of genes encoding some proteins important for phototransduction. Quantitative PCR analysis of the mRNA levels for three opsins and two light-activated channels, which were previously identified in the cockroach retina (French et al., 2015; Saari et al., 2017), found that the mean...
expression of the dominant green-sensitive opsin (GO1) was strongly up-regulated in CD retinas (Fig. 8). This appears to be the photoreceptors' primary response to light deprivation and contributes to their improved light sensitivity. GO2 and UVO may be less important for cockroach vision in visible light, because their expression decreased during long-term light deprivation. Both cation channels that are required for transduction in cockroach photoreceptors, TRP and TRPL, were slightly down-regulated in CD photoreceptors, suggesting that lack of a functioning transduction cascade reduced their expression. However, the large amount of GO1 still resulted in higher sensitivity and longer-lasting responses. Expression of the Gq protein that mediates TRP and TRPL signaling and Arrestin (Arr) that terminates rhodopsin signaling and halts Gq production were decreased in CD but not in CL photoreceptors. Expression of phospholipase C (PLC), which is activated by the Gq, was unchanged in both experimental conditions. Overstimulation by CL slightly up-regulated GO1, GO2, Arr, and TRPL, resulting in faster and more transient responses but lower absolute sensitivity than control.

Discussion

In this work, we investigated the effects of long-term exposure to bright light or chronic light deprivation on photoreceptor properties and function in the nocturnal insect P. americana. This is the first study of phenotypic plasticity of microvillar photoreceptors other than dipterans, and its results are only partly consistent with findings in flies (Deimel and Kral, 1992; Wolfram and Juusola, 2004). Distinct combinations of electrophysiological adaptations were found in CL-exposed and CD-exposed photoreceptors. In comparison to control, CL photoreceptors were characterized by reduced membrane capacitance, sensitivity to light, sustained light-induced and voltage-activated K+ currents, latency of phototransduction, sustained depolarization, and maximal information rate. They also exhibited enlarged voltage bumps, voltage bump noise, and membrane corner frequency. All these
parameters changed in opposite directions in CD photoreceptors. However, differences between CD and control photoreceptors were smaller than those between CL and controls. For instance, sustained light-induced current was significantly larger in CD than in control photoreceptors in relatively dim light (Fig. 4), whereas in bright light the relative difference was smaller. Although this could be explained by the relatively small experimental group sizes and the intrinsically high variability in the Periplaneta photoreceptor properties (Heimonen et al., 2006), it is more likely that this reflects the sensitivity-boosting adaptations in CD photoreceptors (see below), which simultaneously increased the total light-induced current in dim light. On the other hand, in bright light, Ca2+-dependent light adaptation (Immonen et al., 2014) could have a stronger suppressing effect on light-induced current in CD than in control photoreceptors. These observations are also in line with the finding of higher information rates in CD than in control photoreceptors. The changes seen in photoreceptors exposed to CL clearly facilitated faster and more broadband signal processing at the expense of sensitivity to light, whereas the light-deprived photoreceptors favored absolute sensitivity by larger rhabdom area and a surge in expression of green opsin. A consequence of the enlarged rhabdom reflected in higher capacitance was the finding of slower voltage responses. These observations are fully consistent with the classic visual ecological paradigm explaining physiological differences in photoreceptor functioning between diurnal and nocturnal species as a result of sensitivity/speed trade-offs (Weckström and Laughlin, 1995; Cronin et al., 2014). However, it should be noted that CL photoreceptors were not able to eliminate the excessive voltage noise, which prevented translation of expanded bandwidth into superior information capacity (see below).

**Plasticity in *P. americana* photoreceptors**

Our results indicate that the differences between the experimental groups originate from two independent sources: extensive changes in the size of the rhabdom and intensive changes in the speed of phototransduction.

---

**Table 1.** Spearman’s rank order correlation coefficients for the combined CL, control, and CD data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cm Absolute sensitivity</th>
<th>LIC at 5 × 10⁻¹</th>
<th>IRmax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absolute sensitivity</td>
<td>-0.84 (10⁻⁶)</td>
<td>-0.64 (10⁻⁵)</td>
<td>-0.71 (10⁻⁵)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIC at 5 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>-0.64 (10⁻⁵)</td>
<td>-0.71 (10⁻⁵)</td>
<td>-0.73 (10⁻⁵)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRmax</td>
<td>0.36 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.71 (10⁻⁵)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f3dB</td>
<td>-0.49 (0.003)</td>
<td>-0.45 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers in parentheses denote P values; low values indicate statistically significant correlations. For all correlations, the number of data points was >30.

---

**Figure 6.** Responses to Gaussian white noise–modulated light stimuli. 
(A) First 20 s of representative voltage responses to a 60-s GWN stimulus at light intensities that elicited IRmax responses in photoreceptors kept in CL, control, and CD; the stimulus is shown above. (B) Mean sustained membrane depolarizations during responses to GWN at different light intensities; values were obtained by averaging the entire duration of the response except for the first second and then subtracting the resting potential; error bars in B, C, and E denote SD. (C) Dependencies of mean IR on light background; in each photoreceptor, IR values associated with saturated responses in relatively bright light, which were smaller than IRmax were excluded; the number of data points varied from 2 (for CL in two dimmest levels) to 23. At all light levels, control and CD photoreceptors transferred significantly more information than CL photoreceptors (P < 10⁻³ for all comparisons, values not shown). *, P < 0.05. (D) Distributions of IRmax values depending on light level. (E) Average maximal information rates. The IRmax values were 7.3 ± 4.3 bits/s in CL (n = 9), 12.9 ± 5.6 bits/s in control (n = 22; P = 0.008 for comparison with CL, unpaired t test), and 13.7 ± 7.3 bits/s in CD photoreceptors (n = 10; P = 0.039 for comparison with CL, unpaired t test). *, P < 0.05.

We have previously shown that the variability in photoreceptor size of several insect species as approximated by membrane capacitance is strongly linked to variabilities in the absolute sensitivity, amplitude of macroscopic sustained light-induced current, membrane corner frequency, and maximal information rate (Frolov, 2016). Moreover, moderate to strong positive cor-
relations were found for various combinations of these parameters (Table 1). Although correlation does not necessarily mean causation, the common factor of rhabdom size underlies all these parameters. If membrane capacitance is mainly determined by the rhabdom area, this would explain the correlations between capacitance and absolute sensitivity, and between capacitance and sustained light-induced current. On the other hand, information rate depends on the number of microvilli, the photoreceptor’s sampling units, which is also reflected in membrane capacitance, albeit indirectly.

The area of light-sensitive membrane is directly proportional to the number of microvilli and, together with the somatic and axonal membrane, contributes to the capacitance. Axonal membrane is absent in the dissociated ommatidia. In P. americana, using data from previous transmission electron microscopy studies (Frolov et al., 2017) and our unpublished observations indicating that the ommatidium is two tiered, the soma can be approximated by a cylinder of 10 µm in diameter and 100 µm in length, whereas the microvillus has a diameter of 68 nm and average length of ~3 µm. Disregarding the flanking surfaces and assuming that the photoreceptor contains 30,000 microvilli (a Drosophila estimate, much lower than the P. americana estimate; Frolov et al., 2017) gives ~3,100 µm² of the somatic membrane and 19,000 µm² of the rhabdomeric membrane.

How much of the membrane area can be captured in capacitive transients in voltage-clamp experiments considering that the photoreceptor is a slender cell containing tens of thousands of even more slender microvilli? To determine potential contribution of incomplete space-clamp to underestimation of whole-cell capacitance, the following calculations were performed. The validity of capacitance measurements in approximating the size of the photoreceptor depends on whether the cell can be considered isopotential. Our recordings were performed on dissociated ommatidia lacking axons. Under such conditions, the photoreceptor can be represented by two compartments: the soma and the rhabdomere. Calculation of length constant for the soma using a relatively low specific membrane resistivity of 1 kΩ·cm² and a normal specific intracellular resistivity of 200 Ω·cm gives a length constant of 350 µm. The microvillus has internal diameter of ~60 nm. Disregarding the resistivity of the extracellular space and using the specific membrane and intracellular resistivity values above yields a length constant of 27 µm. Consider-
The effects of rearing *Drosophila* under normal illumination (a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle) versus in the dark for several generations were previously investigated for changes in photoreceptor properties (Wolfram and Juusola, 2004). Intracellular recordings from photoreceptors were performed between days 2 and 10 after eclosion. In light-reared flies, the following changes were observed in comparison to dark-reared flies: a significant decrease in input resistance without effects on capacitance, accelerated light response, lower sustained depolarization, slightly increased signal and noise power, decreased membrane time constant, and higher information rate. These adaptations can be explained by acceleration of phototransduction and increased membrane leak conductance. In addition, the authors investigated the effects of short-term (2-h) exposure to either light or darkness in both experimental groups before recordings and found that such interventions substantially modify the original photoreceptor phenotypes.

Although some changes reported in *Drosophila* are similar to our findings, others are not. We did not observe any effect of light/dark rearing on input resistance. In contrast, although membrane capacitance was not altered in *Drosophila*, it was drastically changed in *Periplaneta*. Changes in signal and noise power spectra, and in membrane corner frequency, were quite similar, although noise increased more in *Periplaneta* CL photoreceptors than in light-reared fruit flies. Because of this, information rate decreased in *Periplaneta* CL but increased in light-reared *Drosophila*. Phototransduction was accelerated both in CL cockroaches and light-reared flies in comparison to the respective controls. Therefore, although the adaptive changes in *Drosophila*, e.g., in input resistance, appear to be mainly functional, the changes in *Periplaneta* associated with \( C_m \) seem to be caused by predominantly structural modifications. However, comparing the results of these two studies is problematic because of differing methodologies: in the *Drosophila* study, rearing under dissimilar conditions for few generations may not produce...
major changes between the groups (but see Izutsu et al., 2012) if it is the individual history that matters. In the case of fruit flies, this history includes larval and young adult exposure to light or dark. Moreover, the duration of long-term light exposure of the adult photoreceptors was not controlled for, as recordings were performed between days 2 and 10 after eclosion. The relatively short Drosophila life span and practical difficulties with recording from older flies preclude longer experiments like those on mature photoreceptors presented here.

Conclusions
We studied changes in photoreceptor function in P. americana after prolonged exposure to strongly differing illumination conditions. Chronic and drastic alterations in the visual input elicited distinct and opposing patterns of functional adjustments. Our data indicate that most of the long-term light-driven adaptations in Periplaneta can be linked to changes in the size of rhabdom that effectively adjust photoreceptor function to new environmental conditions.
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