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Abstract

Graphite flakes reinforced polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) composites were prepared and investigated the influence of DC (Direct Current), AC (Alternating Current) conductivity, sample thickness as well as presence of conductive layer on its electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding effect. The graphite incorporated PVDF composites with sample a thickness of 1 mm exhibit good shielding properties of about 45-55 dB in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz for highest filler loading. These composites exhibit absorption as the primary mechanism for EMI shielding. Addition of graphite decreases the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) and improve the mechanical properties. The EMI shielding effect improved with increase in sample thickness and by incorporating a conductive layer to the graphite flake composite. The graphite flake based composites are found to be lightweight, thin and good EMI shielding materials that can be tuned to wide range of shielding applications.

1. Introduction

Recently electromagnetic interference shielding materials are attaining considerable interest for protecting environment and sensitive devices from electromagnetic interference (EMI). This problem mainly arises due to the increase in use of high operating frequency and bandwidth in electronic systems especially in the X, Ku band range and broadband frequencies. Hence, EMI shielding materials find application in today’s civilian and military technology [1, 2,3].
Conventionally, metals and metallic composites are widely used as effective materials for shielding applications. The metal-based composites are heavier and prone to corrosion, whereas polymer composites are lightweight with design flexibility and ease of processing [2-7]. They have good EMI shielding performance and hence are promising material as alternative to metals for EMI protection. Recently, the EMI shielding capabilities of polymeric materials filled with carbon based materials such as carbon nanotubes, carbon black, graphite flakes, carbon nanofibers and graphene have been investigated since they provide unique combination of conductivity of the filler and flexibility of the polymer [8-20]. Carbon based materials have attracted most attention for effective and practical EMI shielding applications especially in areas of aerospace, automobiles and next generation flexible electronics due to their unique properties [21-26]. Carbon nanotubes currently dominate the composites employing carbon-based reinforced materials. However, the fabrication and application of carbon nanotubes reinforced composites has been limited due to the impurity from catalysts, high costs and difficulties in dispersion in the polymer matrix because of the inherent bundling.

Graphite flakes that consist of parallel carbon layers are extensively studied and are easily available low cost conductors. In addition to the above properties, the ease of preparation by simple solution process make graphite ideal candidates for developing functional and structural composites [1, 9, 27]. The polyaniline-30 wt% graphite composite show EMI SE of 33 dB in the X band frequency range [11]. It is difficult to compare the shielding performance of composites based on literature data as the EMI shielding performance of composites depend on many factors such as sample thickness, multilayer or hybrid structures, frequency of measurement carried out, polymer matrix type, fabrication and processing conditions. Fundamental understanding of these parameters is very important to achieve the optimum EMI shielding properties. Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) is a well-known ferroelectric polymer material with good chemical resistance, low density and physical flexibility [2, 27, 28]. In the present investigation, graphite flakes (Gp) were added in different volume percentages into the PVDF matrix and the EMI shielding effectiveness of both the composites are measured. The thickness of the highest filler loaded PVDF-graphite flake composite was varied to investigate the effect of shielding thickness on the shielding properties. The influence of Cu and Pt metallic coating as well as PVDF-30 vol% of nano Ag (PVDF-30 nAg) composite layer of varying thickness (0.1,0.5,1 mm) on the EMI
shielding efficiency of PVDF-graphite flake composite at a filler loading of 70 vol % were also investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The graphite flakes (Gp) used in the present study having density of 2.4 g/cm³ was bought from Carborundum Universal Ltd, Mumbai, India. The PVDF was provided by Nikunj Industries, Mumbai, India and nano silver (nAg) of about 20 nm in particle size used in the preparation of PVDF-nano Ag (PVDF-nAg) composites was procured from Aldrich, Chemical Company, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA. The dimethyl formamide (DMF) used in the composite preparation was supplied by High Purity Laboratory Chemicals, Mumbai, India.

2.2. Composite preparation

All the PVDF based composites were prepared by solution processing according to the following procedure. PVDF was dissolved in DMF and the fillers in the required volume percentage (vol%) of the final composite were dispersed in the DMF by ultrasonication for 10 min. The dispersed graphite flakes were added to the PVDF solution and the mixture was sonicated for 30 min. The mixture was casted on glass dish and placed in an oven at 60-70 °C to remove the solvent completely. The resulting mixture was hot pressed at 200 °C under a pressure of 50 MPa for 30 minutes into samples of different dimensions according to the measurement requirements. The conductivity and EMI SE were measured using samples of dimensions 22.86×10.80×1.00 and 15.80×7.90×1.00 mm for X band (8.2-12.4 GHz) and Ku band (12.4-18 GHz) frequency range respectively. Coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) measurement was achieved using cylindrical samples of dimension 10×10 mm. Tensile measurement was performed using dumbbell shaped samples of width 4 mm and thickness 1.5-2 mm. The DC conductivity was measured using, samples of dimension 22.86×10.80×1.00 mm. The maximum filler loading in the composite depend on the density of the filler incorporated. A filler loading of 70 vol% was achieved for PVDF-graphite flake (PVDF-Gp) composite. Maximum attained filler loading was 30 vol% for PVDF-nano Ag composite. The effect of the conducting layer was studied by coating Cu and Pt metal of about 10 nm thicknesses on 1 mm thick PVDF-70 Gp composite by
vacuum metallization technique. Another approach was by hot pressing PVDF-30 nAg composite of different thickness (0.1, 0.5 and 1 mm) over 1 mm thick PVDF-70 Gp composite.

2.3. Characterization

The samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using CuKα radiation (X’Pert PRO MPD X-ray diffractometer, PANalytical, Almelo, Netherlands). Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) (FEI Tecnai-G2 30S-TWIN, FEI Company, Hillsboro, OR) was employed to characterize the dispersed graphite flakes while the morphology of the samples was analyzed using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) (JEOL, JSM-5600LV, Tokyo, Japan). The DC conductivity of the samples was measured using four probe method using current source Aplab 9710 P and nano voltmeter Keithley 2182 A. For standard four probe measurements, the thickness of the sample should be five times greater than the probe tip spacing and the length should be greater to accommodate all the four probe points. Thermo Mechanical Analyser (SII Nanotechnology Inc., Tokyo, Japan, maximum sample dimension of 10 (diameter) × 25 (length) mm) measures the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) of PVDF-Gp composites. The tensile properties of the samples measured using Universal Testing Machine (Hounsfield, H5K-S UTM, Redhill, UK) at a crosshead speed of 50.0 mm min⁻¹ according to ASTM D638 standard. The EMI shielding effectiveness were calculated from the magnitude of complex scattering parameters S₁₁ and S₂₁ using vector network analyzer (Agilent Technologies E5071C, ENA series, 300 kHz-20 GHz, CA) by the wave guide technique in the frequency range of 8.4-18 GHz using wave guides WR-90 and WR-62 (Agilent Technologies, CA). The complex permittivity was determined from the measured scattering parameters with an accuracy of about 2 % using Agilent software module 85071E. For two-port measurement using wave-guides, the sample has to fit perfectly the entire cross section of the wave-guide transmission line. For complex permittivity measurements, the thickness of the sample must be less than \(\frac{\lambda}{4}\). Auto fine coater Unit, JEOL, Japan was used for metal coating over PVDF-Gp composite.

3. Results and discussions

3.1. Structure and morphology
The X-ray diffraction pattern of hot pressed PVDF film, PVDF-Gp composite, and graphite flake powder are shown in figure 1. The XRD pattern of PVDF shows a low intensity peak at 2θ=18.4° and this corresponds to (020) plane of α phase and a high intensity peak at 20.5° which corresponds to the (200) and (100) plane of β phase. Thus the dominance of the ferroelectric β phase in the hot pressed PVDF is evident from the XRD pattern of PVDF [28]. A high intensity diffraction peak at 2θ=26.5° due to the reflection from (002) plane of graphite is observed for PVDF-Gp composite [27, 29]. When graphite flakes are added into PVDF matrix, additional peak at 2θ=26.5° is observed besides the peaks of PVDF and this confirms the presence of that phase in the PVDF matrix (figure 1). The XRD spectra of these composites ruled out the formation of any chemical linkage between PVDF matrix and fillers.

The TEM images of dispersed graphite flake fillers are depicted in the figure 2 (a). It is clear from the TEM images that the filler has flake like morphology and is further confirmed from the SEM image as shown in figure 2 (b). The flake or platelet like structure is reported to be beneficial for good shielding properties [9, 12]. In such structures, the microwaves undergo multiple reflections from the dihedral angles formed within stacks of flakes. This multiple reflections lead to higher losses of electromagnetic energy due to the interaction of microwaves with materials causing molecular motions such as ionic conduction, dipolar relaxation etc. that are restricted by resistive forces resulting in the dissipation of energy in the form of heat [9, 12]. SEM images of the fractogram of the PVDF-70 Gp composite shown in figure 2 (c) clearly depicts the uniform dispersion of the fillers in the PVDF matrix even at high filler loading.

3.2 Thermal and mechanical properties

The thermal expansion and tensile properties of the pure and graphite flakes loaded PVDF composites have been tested and the results are summarized in the Table 1. The results indicate that the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) as well as tensile strength of the composites decreases with increase in filler loading. Graphite has CTE of about 25 ppm/°C [30, 31] while the pure PVDF has a high CTE of 145 ppm/°C and hence addition of graphite flakes decrease the CTE to 48 ppm/°C for 70 vol% of filler loading. A polymer ceramic composite has regions of tightly bound polymer chains as well as loosely bound polymer chains near filler particles. The thermal expansion of polymer chains that are tightly bound to filler particles will be restricted
while the expansion of the loosely bounded polymer chains remain affected. The number of loosely bounded polymer chains is reduced with increasing filler loading and results in the decrease of CTE [32]. For PVDF-Gp composites, tensile strength decreases from 41 to 5 MPa with increase in filler loading from 0 to 70 vol%. This decrease may be due to the poor filler matrix interface, porosity and hydrophilic nature of the filler [33, 34]. At high filler loading, the interface volume increases resulting in more filler-filler interactions that weakens the filler matrix interface leading to poor tensile properties. However, PVDF-Gp composite exhibits a tensile strength of 5 MPa even at its higher filler loadings of 70 vol%.

3.3. EMI shielding effectiveness (EMI SE)

EMI shielding effectiveness is the measure of electromagnetic energy shielded by a material. It refers to the logarithm of the ratio of incident power to that of transmitted power. The total shielding effect (SE) is the sum of the net shielding by reflection (SE_R) and absorption (SE_A) and is obtained from the experimental scattering parameters as

\[
SE_A = -10 \log \left( \frac{(S_{21})^2}{(1-S_{11})^2} \right)
\]

\[
SE_R = -10 \log (1-S_{11})^2
\]

EMI SE = SE_R + SE_A

where \( S_{11} \) and \( S_{21} \) are the magnitude of the scattering parameters [35, 36].

Figure 3 (a) represents the total EMI shielding effectiveness of PVDF-Gp composites as a function of filler loading in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz. As expected, the EMI SE increases with filler loadings and exhibits good EMI shielding effectiveness at higher concentration. The PVDF-Gp composite with 70 vol% Gp exhibits 55-57 dB in the measured frequency range. The EMI SE of pure PVDF is very low indicating that it is transparent to EM radiation, which corresponds to its poor conductivity value. The average distance between the filler particles decreases with increase in filler loading, which in turn increases the conductive networks in the polymer matrix resulting in the enhancement of shielding effectiveness. The EMI SE increases from 0.4 dB to 56 dB with increasing filler concentration from 0 to 70 vol% at 15 GHz. The composites have excellent EMI shielding efficiency in the measured frequency range. The accepted level of EMI SE needed for many practical applications is about 20 dB. Hence about 40 vol% of graphite flake filler in PVDF is only needed to attain the required shielding
effectiveness with remarkable flexibility and tensile strength. The flake like morphology as well as smaller particle size of the graphite filler provide conductive pathways in the polymer matrix, which results in efficient EMI shielding.

3.3.1 Shielding mechanism

Mechanism of shielding is very important as it determines the shielding applications. EMI attenuated mainly by reflection and absorption. The SE\textsubscript{R} and SE\textsubscript{A} of PVDF-Gp composite are shown in the figure 3 (b) and (c) respectively. The results indicate that both SE\textsubscript{R} and SE\textsubscript{A} of PVDF-Gp composite enhances with increasing filler loading. The SE\textsubscript{R} as well as SE\textsubscript{A} are function of conductivity that in turn leads to the increase. A comparison of EMI SE, SE\textsubscript{R} and SE\textsubscript{A} of PVDF-Gp composites indicate that major contribution to the EMI SE comes from the SE\textsubscript{A} in the investigated frequency ranges. For example, SE\textsubscript{R} is 19-17 dB compared to SE\textsubscript{A} of 36-40 dB giving an overall EMI SE 55-57 dB for PVDF-70 Gp composite in the 8.2-18 GHz frequency range. Similar absorption dominated shielding mechanism were also reported for carbon based polymer composites [10]. For graphene film based EMI shielding materials, the SE\textsubscript{R} is observed to be much higher than the SE\textsubscript{A}. These results were different from the graphene based materials with larger thickness where absorption becomes the dominant mechanism [4,13, 37]. This indicates that the sample thickness influences the shielding mechanism and thicker samples give higher absorption shielding. In addition to this, the two dimensional structure of these fillers also enhances the SE\textsubscript{A} as discussed earlier [9,12]. For these composites, the SE\textsubscript{A} increases while SE\textsubscript{R} decreases with frequency [21]. Hence, the increase in conductivity, frequency and thickness would lead to the increase in the SE\textsubscript{A} [4]. Thus the SE\textsubscript{A} dominance in the PVDF-Gp composites are mainly due to the high measured frequency range, thickness of the sample (1 mm) and the layered morphology of the fillers. A schematic representation shown in figure 4 clearly demonstrates the absorption dominated EMI shielding mechanism in PVDF-Gp composite. These composites can find applications as absorbing shielding materials, which requires high absorption. The relationship of shielding efficiency with skin depth, electrical conductivity and shielding thickness are important in the design and applications of EMI shielding material.

3.3.2. Electrical conductivity
The variation of DC conductivity of PVDF-Gp composites with filler loading is shown in Table 1. A transition from the insulator to conductor is observed with increase in Gp loading in the PVDF matrix. These composites exhibit DC conductivity in the range of $10^2$ S/m when the filler loading is more than 60 vol%. Conducting nature of Gp fillers that built more and more conductive networks with increasing filler concentration within the polymer matrix may be responsible for the increase in the conductivity.

The AC conductivity of the composite can be obtained from imaginary part of permittivity ($\varepsilon''$) and frequency as $\sigma (\text{S/m}) = 2\pi f \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon''$, where $f$ is the frequency and $\varepsilon_0$ is permittivity of free space equal to $8.854 \times 10^{-12}$ F/m [10]. Figure 5 (a) depicts the AC conductivity of the PVDF-Gp composites as a function of frequency as well as filler loading. There is an increase in the AC conductivity with filler loading as well as with frequency for both composites similar to the EMI SE. The increase in the filler loading built more and more conducting pathways in the polymer matrix, which leads to the hopping of electrons and hence contributes to the increased conductivity. The conductivity of these composites also increases with frequency as expected [38]. Thus high conductivity of these composites as evident from the DC and AC conductivity measurement is also one of the reasons for the good shielding properties.

3.3.4. Skin depth

Skin depth is the depth at which the electromagnetic field value drops to $1/e$ of the incident value and is given as $(\pi f \mu \sigma)^{1/2}$, where $f$ is the frequency, $\mu$ is the magnetic permeability = $\mu_0 \mu_r$, $\mu_r$ is the relative permeability, $\mu_0 = 4\pi \times 10^{-7}$ H/m and $\sigma$ is the conductivity in S/m [21]. The skin depth of PVDF-Gp composites shows a reverse trend compared to that of conductivity as it decreases with filler loading as well as with frequency and is evident from figure 5 (b). The pure PVDF skin depth varied from $25 \times 10^{-3}$ to $12 \times 10^{-3}$ m at the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz. The skin depth of PVDF-70 Gp composite is $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ m at a frequency of 15 GHz. It decreases from $0.6 \times 10^{-3}$ to $0.3 \times 10^{-3}$ m for PVDF-70 Gp composite in frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz. All composites used in the present study have uniform thickness of 1 mm as given in the experimental section. Especially at higher filler loadings, the skin depths of the composites are less than the shielding thickness of 1 mm. This decreased skin depth is due to the enhanced
conductivity of these composites that is clear from Table 1, figure 5 (a) and (b). Thus, these composites can provide efficient shielding of about 20 dB at a low sample thickness.

3.3.5. Effect of thickness

Figure 5 (c) shows the effect of shielding thickness on EMI SE of PVDF-70 Gp composite in the X and Ku band frequency range. It is evident from the figure that, the EMI SE increases from 35-37 dB to 90-93 dB in the frequency range 8.2-18 GHz with increase in PVDF-70 Gp composite thickness from 0.5 to 2 mm. The variation of SER and SEA with frequency for varying thickness of PVDF-70 Gp composite is given in the figure 5 (d) and (e) respectively. From these figures, it is evident that the SEA increased considerably with thickness for both the composites as compared to SER. The contribution from SER and SEA to the EMI SE of 35-37 dB is 14-12 and 21-25 dB respectively for PVDF-70 Gp composite of 0.5 mm thickness. For 2 mm thick PVDF-70 Gp composite, the SER is 27-25 dB and that of SEA is 63-68 dB resulting an EMI SE of 90-93 dB in the X and Ku band frequency range. This indicates that the SEA is greatly influenced by the thickness and is in agreement with earlier reports [4, 39]. As the frequency increases, the SER slightly decreases whereas the SEA increases while the total EMI SE remains almost constant over the measured frequency range. The present results indicate that an efficient shielding can be obtained with a sample thickness of about 0.5 mm and the EMI SE can be varied by varying the sample thickness.

3.3.6. Effect of conductive layer on EMI SE of PVDF-70 Gp composite

In order to investigate the effect of conductive layer on the shielding properties of a shielding material, two approaches have been made. In the first case, a thin coating (about 10 nm) of Cu or Pt metal is made on 1 mm thick PVDF-70 Gp composite by vacuum deposition (one side) and its schematic diagram is shown in the supporting information figure S1. Another approach is by stacking PVDF-30 vol% nano Ag composite (PVDF-30 nAg) of varying thickness (0.1, 0.5, 1 mm) over 1 mm thick PVDF-70 Gp composite (schematic diagram is shown in the supporting information figure S2).

3.3.6.1 Cu and Pt coating
It is found that the presence of conductive layer leads to the increase of $SE_R$ and $SE_A$ of the composite that in turn leads to increase in EMI SE. As a representative example, the variation of EMI SE, $SE_R$, $SE_A$ of Cu and Pt coated PVDF-70 Gp composite with frequency and filler loading is given in figure 6 (a), (b) and (c) respectively. It is clear from figure 6 (a) that the average EMI SE increases from 56 to 73 dB with Cu coating and to 64 dB with Pt coating for the same frequency range. The high shielding value of Cu coated composite may be due to the slightly higher conductivity of the Cu as compared to Pt. The increase in the EMI SE by metallic coating can corresponds to the reflection of the EM wave into the composite by this metallic layer, which cause additional attenuation by absorption, and reflection [40]. The increase in EMI SE is also evident in the $SE_A$ and $SE_R$ value of the metal coated composite. The dominant shielding mechanism as well as frequency dependence of EMI SE, $SE_R$ and $SE_A$ of metal coated composite is similar to that of PVDF-70 Gp composite. This indicates that metallic coating results in enhancing the EMI shielding efficiency of a composite.

3.3.6.2. Stacking of PVDF-30 nAg composite of varying thickness

Figure 7 (a), (b) and (c) respectively represents the variation of EMI SE, $SE_R$, $SE_A$ of PVDF-30 nAg composite stacked PVDF-70 Gp composite in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz. PVDF-30 nAg composite of 1 mm thickness exhibits average EMI SE of 45 dB with $SE_R$ of 15 dB and $SE_A$ of 30 dB in the frequency range 8.2-18 GHz. Average EMI SE of PVDF-70 Gp composite increases from 56 to 67 dB, 80 and 94 dB for the increase in stacked sample thickness from 0 to 0.1, 0.5 and 1 mm respectively. This increase is due to the combined shielding effect of multilayer structure of PVDF-30 nAg and PVDF-70 Gp composites. The electromagnetic waves undergo attenuation in both layers and hence contribute to this large EMI SE value. The $SE_R$ and $SE_A$ also follows similar trend and increases with the thickness of the stack. The dominant shielding mechanism of this multilayer structure is absorption as it is the dominant shielding mechanism of both composites [41]. The EMI SE of multilayer structure remains almost uniform while $SE_A$ increases and $SE_R$ decreases with frequency in the measured range. Hence, another effective approach to improve EMI shielding property is by the presence of conductive layer.

4. Conclusion
Graphite fillers were added into PVDF matrix by solution mixing method followed by hot pressing to obtain effective EMI shielding materials in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz. Composite exhibit good EMI SE of above 50 dB with absorption as the dominant shielding mechanism. An increase in shielding thickness is found to increase the EMI shielding efficiency with a major enhancement in the contribution from absorption. The obtained composite can provide good shielding against electromagnetic radiation at a sample thickness of less than 0.5 mm. Electrical conductivity together with skin depth, shielding thickness and presence of conductive layer on the shielding material were found to be important factors governing the EMI shielding mechanism as well as EMI shielding efficiency of the composite. The present results are useful for designing thin, lightweight graphite reinforced PVDF based EMI shield for various range of EMI shielding applications with less cost by carefully changing the studied parameters.
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Tables

Table 1 representing the DC conductivity, thermal and mechanical properties

(a) PVDF-Gp composite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite</th>
<th>DC conductivity (S/m)</th>
<th>Coefficient of thermal expansion (ppm/°C)</th>
<th>Tensile strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.8 ×10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.0 ×10$^{-2}$</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.0 ×10$^{-1}$</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>5.0 ×10$^0$</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.0 ×10$^1$</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>8.0 ×10$^2$</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.4 ×10$^3$</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1 XRD pattern of PVDF, PVDF-70 Gp composite and Gp filler.
Figure 2 TEM images of (a) Gp powder, SEM images of (b) Gp powders and (c) fractogram of PVDF-70 Gp composite.
Figure 3 (a) EMI SE, (b) SE_R and (c) SE_A of PVDF-Gp composite in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz.
Figure 4 Schematic representation of EMI shielding mechanism in PVDF-Gp composite.
Figure 5 (a) AC conductivity, (b) skin depth of PVDF-70 Gp composite and variation of (c) EMI SE, (d) $SE_R$ and (e) $SE_A$ thickness in the frequency range of 8.2-18 GHz.
Figure 6 Variation of (a) EMI SE (b) $SE_R$ (c) $SE_A$ of PVDF-70 Gp with different metallic coating.

Figure 7 Variation of (a) EMI SE (b) $SE_R$ (c) $SE_A$ of PVDF-70 Gp with different stacked thickness of PVDF-30 nAg composite.
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Figure S1 Schematic representation of single side metallic coating on PVDF-70 Gp Composite
Figure S2 Schematic representation of multilayer stacking on PVDF-70 Gp Composite