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Abstract—This paper gives an insight of an on-body study using Low-UWB (lowest mandatory UWB band of IEEE 802.15.6 standard) directive antennas. Investigations are first carried out by simulations with male and female voxel models with different antenna body separation distances. The antenna performance is assessed in close proximity to a human body in terms of return loss, impedance matching, directivity, realized gain and efficiencies. The on-body matching results were confirmed by measurement with different real persons. Later, a free-space propagation study was conducted to assess the far-field antenna performance and to serve as a reference for on-body studies. Finally, an on-body propagation study is presented for different antenna positions with different separation distances from the body.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, BAN (Body Area Networks) applications attract increasing attention in the medical research field [1]. Several studies promote the use of Low-UWB range (3.75-4.25 GHz) for telemetry, diagnosing, sensing, imaging and localization ends [2]. The most interest of this frequency choice relies in the low path loss and high image resolution. This frequency band is defined originally in IEEE 802.15.6 standard for BAN applications [3]. In recent years, this frequency band has been the subject of several published papers dealing with Wireless Capsule Endoscopy (WCE) localization [4]. An accurate localization can be obtained if good directive antennas and suitable localization algorithms are available. Therefore, one of the key elements of the study success is an on-body detailed investigation using real antennas to take into account the antenna performance in close proximity to human body and also to predict the on-body channel propagation behavior [5].

The antenna performance and channel model is assessed in the presence of a human body with simulations and actual measurements.

II. SIMULATION STUDIES

A. Matching results

The antenna used in these investigations is a Low-UWB cavity-backed antenna presented first time in [6]. The antenna is operating at Low-UWB band defined by BAN part of IEEE 802.15.6 standard. The antenna answers, in free-space, to the definition of Low-UWB band according to IEEE 802.15.6 standard for BAN applications, which consists on a covered bandwidth about (3.75-4.25 GHz) and a center frequency of 4 GHz. Moreover the antenna has a high directivity of 7 dBi, which promotes its use for WCE localization purposes. The antenna size is 90.8 mm×85 mm×39.5 mm as referred in Fig. 1 (a).

This section is dedicated to the matching investigations of the antenna in vicinity to human body. At this regard, newest male and female voxel CST models (Gustav and Laura 2018) are chosen emulating human body subjects of these studies. The generated results are compared to a multi-layer model, serving for reference, averaging the tissue layout and thicknesses (h) of a thin person as described in Figure 1. The multi-layer model is composed of 6 tissues [7] organized as following in Table I.

The simulated reflection coefficient (-10 dB matching) and the input impedance of the studied Low-UWB antenna are shown in Fig. 2 (a) and (b), respectively. The summarized drawn conclusions are grouped in Table II. The input impedance for 30 mm separation distance is independent of the model gender and further close to free-space results. Whereas when decreasing the distance “d” to 4 mm, the difference started to be noticed between genders.
TABLE I: DIELECTRIC PROPERTIES OF THE TISSUES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_r$</th>
<th>$\sigma$ [S/m]</th>
<th>h [mm]</th>
<th>$\rho$ [kg/m$^3$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>40.85</td>
<td>2.701</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>5.125</td>
<td>0.1829</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>3.015</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI wall</td>
<td>50.82</td>
<td>3.015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SI content</td>
<td>51.63</td>
<td>4.622</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. (a) Low-UWB antenna structure (b) Front view of the male voxel model (Gustav) and (c) female voxel model (Laura). (d) Side view of the antenna in close proximity to the multi-layered model (e) Cross cuts mentioning the separation distance “d” for Gustav model and (f) Laura model.

To exemplify this, female voxel model showed a bandwidth of (3.74-4.6 GHz) against (3.85-4.5 GHz) for male study case. Interestingly, by further decreasing the separation distance the bandwidth is maintained the same. Furthermore, the antenna has an inductive behavior in proximity to human body. Besides, the antenna resistance is deteriorated at 4 mm separation distance for both genders (27.66 $\Omega$ for Gustav against 44.41 $\Omega$ for Laura). While the opposite is seen at 30 mm distance (89.12 $\Omega$ for Gustav against 70.65 $\Omega$ for Laura) by knowing the antenna matching in free-space fixed to 50 $\Omega$.

To investigate the directivity of the Low-UWB antenna, the 3D directivity was simulated for different distances “d” away from male and female voxel models at 3.75 GHz as shown in Fig. 3. The proposed UWB antenna at different distances using different models is shown in Table II.

TABLE II: REFLECTION COEFFICIENT COMPARISON OF THE PROPOSED UWB ANTENNA AT DIFFERENT DISTANCES USING DIFFERENT MODELS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Bandwidth [GHz]</th>
<th>Input impedance [Ohm]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gustav (4 mm)</td>
<td>[3.85-4.5]</td>
<td>27.66+j8.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gustav (30 mm)</td>
<td>[3.5-4.75]</td>
<td>89.12+j10.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura (4 mm)</td>
<td>[3.74-4.6]</td>
<td>44.41+j13.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura (30 mm)</td>
<td>[3.5-4.75]</td>
<td>70.65+j13.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-layer (30 mm)</td>
<td>[3.57-4.45]</td>
<td>62.86+j32.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An overall insight of the directivity and realized gain orientations of the Low-UWB cavity-backed antenna in close proximity to male and female human bodies for different distances “d” of 4 mm and 30 mm is presented in Fig. 3 and 4, respectively. The antenna performances at 4 GHz in terms of efficiency (radiation and total), 3D maximum directivity and realized gain are grouped in Table III. Results show a high backed directivity from both male and female voxel models at 4 mm and 30 mm distance away. Reported high directivities in vicinity to male voxel model (Gustav) at 4 mm and 30 mm are 9.08 dBi and 8.66 dBi, respectively. The corresponding values close to
female voxel model (Laura) are 8.5 dBi and 7.38 dBi. This means a decrease of 0.42 dBi against 1.12 dBi. By counting the tissue losses, it is clearly seen that the realized gain increases with the separation distance increase. To illustrate this, using male body, increasing the distance \( d \) from 4 mm to 30 mm leads to an increase in the gain. Similarly, using female body, these values are 2.16 dB and 3.43 dB corresponding to 4 mm and 30 mm distances. The different resolutions of both voxel models has more impact on the presented results, since the resolution of Laura voxel is 1.875 mm x 1.875 mm x 1.25 mm and Gustav voxel 2.08 mm x 2.08 mm x 2 mm.

The antenna efficiency is proportional to the separation distance from the body as depicted in Figure 5. High efficiency is obtained at 30 mm compared to 4 mm separation distance since obviously the close the antenna is to lossy tissues the more antenna efficiency is deteriorated. Further, by increasing the distance \( d \), the antenna efficiency will meet the free-space results (efficiency between -7 and -5 dB). The relation established between the efficiency and the separation distance is mainly due to the reach of the reactive near field area [8].

### B. SAR calculations

The simulated SAR values of the antenna used in this study were assessed by CST SAR calculations appealing to the averaging method IEEE C95.3 [9-10]. The input power set for calculations is 0.00316 W, since the power defined in measurement for VNA calibration is about 5 dBm. The tissue volume of the multi-layer used is \( 4.28 \times 10^6 \text{mm}^3 \). The tissue densities are 1100, 910, 1041 and 1020 kg/\text{m}^3 corresponding respectively to skin, fat, muscle and SI (wall and content) as defined by CST voxel models.

The SAR values are calculated for 10 g averages with antenna-skin distances of 4 and 30 mm as illustrated in Fig. 6. Table IV mentions the reported SAR related details. The reported SAR values are 0.6 and 0.2 mW/kg for 4 mm and 30 mm, respectively. The absorbed power by the entire volume is less than the allowed (accepted) power defined by IEEE C95.3 standard for 10g mass average with different distances. All elements prove the antenna safety in exposure to human body.

### III. MEASUREMENT STUDIES

#### A. Matching results

This sub-section is restricted to the study of the antenna behavior, in terms of -10 dB impedance bandwidth covered, in vicinity to human body. Exhaustive investigations will be presented with detailed analysis regarding the measured path loss and impulse responses [11] at these studied positions and further cases with more volunteers will be object of other coming papers. Measurements were conducted in an anechoic chamber at University of Oulu to avoid all extra reflections from surrounding objects. Several volunteers were subject of the matching investigations (females and males) with different ages, body shapes and weights. Totally 3 females (F1, F2 and F3) and 4 males (M1, M2, M3 and M4) cases were measured and are presented. The antenna prototypes and the different antenna locations assessed are described in Fig. 7 (a) and (b) by referring to the navel. All volunteers were wearing thin clothes, the antennas were directly held on their belly with black elastic bands. The measured matching results are limited to the following on-body scenarios:

- **Symmetric Navel**: The antennas are placed in contact and side by side to the navel (A).
- **Symmetric – Gap 20 mm**: The antennas are placed side by side to the navel and separated with 20 mm gap.
- **Symmetric – Gap 80 mm**: The antennas are placed side by side to the navel and separated with 80 mm gap.
- **Navel – Left Flank**: The antenna 2 is placed at the navel and antenna 1 at the left flank (B).
- **Navel – Right Flank**: The antenna 2 is placed at the navel and antenna 1 at the right flank (C).

The antennas match well in vicinity to real human body for all the volunteers in the different scenarios considered for the study as shown in Figure 8. In other words, the required -10 dB bandwidth of \([3.75-4.25 \text{GHz}]\) defined for Low-UWB band in IEEE 802.15.6 standard for BAN applications is entirely covered in on-body measurements regardless the volunteer features. However, it is clearly apparent that the antenna bandwidth is enlarged by the body proximity effect.

| Table IV: SAR detail values for different distances d. |
|---------------------------------------------|----------|----------|
| Accepted Power [W] | 0.0030 | 0.0029  |
| Absorbed Power [W]  | 0.0028 | 0.0013  |
| Tot.SAR [W/kg]      | 0.0006 | 0.0002  |
| Max SAR (1/10g) [W/kg]| 0.1002 | 0.0045  |

![Fig. 6. SAR values for 10 g average with different distances d. (a) 10g (d=4 mm) (d) 10g (d=30 mm).](image)

![Fig. 7. (a) The antenna prototypes used in measurements (b) The antenna locations on the human body.](image)
Fig. 8. Measured reflection coefficient comparison for: “Symmetric Navel” scenario with (a) females and (b) males, “Symmetric-Gap 20 mm” scenario with (c) females and (d) males, “Symmetric-Gap 80 mm” and “Navel-Right Flank” scenario with both genders in (e) and (f), “Navel-Left Flank” scenario with (g) and (h), and “Flank-Flank” scenario with (i) females and (j) males.

Fig. 9. Measurement setup for (a) “Side by Side” and (b) “Face to Face” scenarios.

Fig. 10. Simulated and measured transmission coefficient (S21) in “Side by Side SS” (a) and “Face to Face FF” (b) scenarios, for different antenna separation distances.

Fig. 11. Simulated and measured impulse response in “Side by Side SS” (a) and “Face to Face FF” (b) scenarios, for different antenna separation distances.

B. Free-space propagation

In this subsection a free-space propagation using Low-UWB antennas used previously is described in details to assess the far-field antenna radiations. The antennas are not limited to capsule endoscopy localization, but can be widely used for different medical and non-medical applications requiring high directive antennas working at this frequency band of interest. Among the possible medical applications, it is worth noting: wireless respiratory rate monitoring by elaborating a suitable localization algorithm [12-13]. For non-medical applications: 3D localization of wearable antennas in an indoor environment is one option requiring a preliminary study of free-space propagation for antennas used [14-15]. Two different scenarios are studied: “Side by Side” and “Face to Face” where antennas are separated by
different distances (d) about 40 cm, 80 cm and 120 cm corresponding to 5.3λ, 10.6λ, and 16λ (where λ is the wavelength at the 4 GHz center frequency) as depicted in Fig. 9 (a) and (b). The scenario choice relays basically on providing a reference for on-body studied cases using two antennas. For example, “Side by Side (SS)” case for the on-body position where antennas are placed side by side on the navel (in-contact or with different gap) [11-16] while “Face to Face (FF)” for example for Flank-Flank or Navel-Back scenarios.

A comparison study of the simulated and measured transmission coefficient for FF and SS scenarios is provided in Fig. 10 for different antenna separation distances. For FF scenario, at 4 GHz, a measured path loss of around 40 dB, 50 dB and 57 dB is reported at 40 cm, 80 cm and 120 cm, respectively. While an averaged measured path loss of 42 dB is shown for all the separation distances in SS scenario. Regarding the slight mismatch between simulated and measured results, one possible reason is the non-accurate separation distance used in measurements and reproduced in simulations. It is concluded that the path loss increases with the distance whatever is the antennas dispositions in free-space and it is estimated in the range of [40-57 dB].

The measurement impulse response investigation in FF and SS scenarios for different antenna separation distances is provided by Fig. 11. A good match is clearly seen between simulated and measured results, for FF case, during 12 ns time delay for all the distances (40, 80 and 120 cm). From the results, it is clearly seen the proportional relation between the path loss and signal delay with the antenna separation distance for both scenarios.

C. On-body propagation

This on-body study is conducted on two female subjects named F1 and F2. The antennas are held by an elastic band surrounding the belly area. Two on-body scenarios are investigated “UpLeft-DownRight” and “Asymmetric Navel” as depicted in Fig. 12. Both females are wearing very thin clothes and a body-antenna separation distance d is considered in these investigations. Parameter d is assumed to be 0 mm for the case the antennas are placed directly on the clothes. While this value is 30 mm in case the antennas are separated from clothes by 30 mm-thickness Rohacell pieces.

The transmission coefficient parameter measured for the previously mentionned on-body scenarios using the two female volunteers is presented in Fig. 13. Results show the same path loss value around 24 dB for both females in “asymmetric Navel” scenario using 30 mm thickness of Rohacell pieces. This value is increased to 36 dB for F1 female volunteer in “Up Left-Down Right” scenario. This enhancement is justified by the body presence (loose tissues) separating the antennas located on the belly. Furthermore, for the same scenario and volunteer, decreasing the antenna-body separation distance to be placed on the clothes (d=0 cm) lead to a further increase in path loss, estimated around 61 dB, which is evident because the antennas are more close to human tissues and hence antenna radiation is going deep on these latter.

The measured impulse response for on-body scenarios using the same female volunteers noted F1 and F2, is presented in Fig. 14. It is worth noting the very close impulse response shapes for both volunteers in “Asymmetric Navel” scenario. For F1 and F2 subjects, the first peak occurs at 1.042 ns and 1.140 ns respectively, corresponding to power levels of 37.33 and 40.41 dB. While for F2 female, increasing the antenna separating distance, reveals a signal delay of 1.35 ns with 53.41 dB reached power level. By eliminating the Rohacell pieces, the antennas are directly in contact to body by means of thin clothes. Then the main signal peak is further delayed to 1.87 ns with an increased power level about 68.46 dB. All these on-body investigations prove the highly lossy body effect using highly directive antennas working on Low-UWB frequency range. A detailed study based on the impact of the skin-antenna distance in time and frequency domains using the same antenna used is presented in [17].

The antenna matching in the different scenarios studied with female subjects in this section is shown in Fig. 15. The antennas have good -10 dB matching in close proximity to the body (d=0 mm) with a bandwidth of [3.13-4.68 GHz] which meet the requirements of Low-UWB band defined in IEEE 802.15.6 standard for BAN applications. However, increasing the separation distance to 30 mm conduces to a matching deterioration, and therefore the required bandwidth of [3.75-4.25 GHz] is covered for -5dB bandwidth about [3.35-4.77 GHz].
Fig. 15. Measured reflection coefficient in different scenarios at 0 mm and 30 mm distances for F2 and F1.

IV. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

The paper provides a simulation and measurement based study of Low-UWB antennas used in close proximity to human body and for on-body use. A detailed study appealing to voxel models (Gustav and Laura 2018) is presented to assess the antenna performances in vicinity to male and female bodies. This study was followed by free-space propagation of Low-UWB used antennas. Later, an on-body investigation was conducted of real females to see the body impact on the channel propagation and the path loss. It is concluded that the body generates more path losses besides the increased signal delays compared to free-space results. This work will be completed by a detailed on-body study for other scenarios like Side-Side (symmetrical to navel), Flank-Flank, Navel-Flank, Navel-Back, etc. These simulations will be conducted on different voxel models and validated by measurements for different males and female volunteers of different weight, body shape and age.
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