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Abstract—In this paper we propose a proprietary static hand pose database called OUHANDS and protocols for training and evaluating hand pose classification and hand detection methods. A comparison between the OUHANDS database and existing databases is given. Baseline results for both of the protocols are presented.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Part of the communication between humans is done via hands and gestures (e.g. the sign languages and the natural way people move their hands while having a verbal conversation). To train a machine capable of understanding these gestures – and ultimately replace or complement other I/O devices – has been the goal of many research projects to date.

The key problems when developing these kinds of methods are to locate the hand, classify the hand pose, estimate the hand keypoint locations and track the motion of the hand from the input video data stream.

In order to facilitate the development of solutions to these problems, many databases containing hand images with various data modalities have been released during the past 20 years. Most of them are static hand pose databases. We define a static hand pose database as follows: a database of images where at least one hand is shown in a pose belonging to a finite set of pose classes. The other types of hand databases are hand keypoint databases ([1], [2], [3], [4], [5]) and dynamic hand gesture databases ([6], [7], [8], [9], [10]). In hand keypoint databases there are no hand pose classes, but the locations of the hand keypoints (usually the joints or fingertips) in 2-D or 3-D are provided for each of the images. The evaluation is performed by calculating the mean error distances to the ground truth keypoint locations. In dynamic hand gesture databases the gesture classes are defined as specific changes of the hand keypoint locations and translations of the whole hand in the spatiotemporal domain.

Many of the static hand pose databases released to date lack either in the image data quality, data modalities, annotations or the number of subjects. In this paper we propose a new proprietary static hand pose database called OUHANDS. It was collected in order to have more data publicly available containing any data modalities or annotations a hand pose classification or hand detection researcher might need.

A comparison between OUHANDS and other similar databases is given in Section II. Protocols for evaluating the performance of hand pose classification and detection methods are proposed in Sections III and IV, respectively. The baseline hand pose classification and detection are presented in Sections V and VI, respectively. The baseline results are presented in Section VII.

II. OUHANDS DATABASE

The OUHANDS1 is a database of static hand pose images and non-hand images (see the Figures 1 and 2), that are captured in a HCI-like setting. The hand images can be used to train models for hand pose classification and hand detection. The non-hand data is intended to be used only when training and testing hand detection methods.

The database was collected using the Intel RealSense F200 camera, which was held in hand during the capturing process in order to get more variations to the appearances and viewing angles of the backgrounds and the hands in the data. In addition to the RGB data, the depth images were also captured. The depth data was used to produce the binary hand segmentation masks. The segmentation masks were enhanced by hand when necessary. The resolution for all image data modalities is 640 x 480.

The following data modalities are provided in the training part of the database for each of the hand samples: RGB, depth, binary segmentation mask for the hand area, bounding box and orientation normalisation by the annotation of the wrist and the middle finger. The same is true for the testing part, except that no normalisation information is provided. There are ten hand pose classes in the database.

The non-hand samples do not contain segmentation masks, bounding boxes and orientation normalisations. There are 3150 hand samples (2150 are in the train database and 1000 in the test database) and 5288 non-hand samples (3412 in the train database and 1876 are in the test database) in total in the database.

The size and data modalities available in the OUHANDS database are compared with other freely downloadable databases in Tables 1 and 2. The OUHANDS database compares favourably to the other databases – with the exception of the Marcel database, which contains more data samples – when the number of samples and the available data modalities

1The database and associated evaluation software can be downloaded from http://www.ouhands.oulu.fi
Fig. 1. Samples from the OUHANDS train (the image set on the left) and test (the image set on the right) databases. All the ten different hand poses are shown performed by different subjects. The first column shows the RGB data that has the bounding box and orientation normalisation information superimposed, the binary hand segmentation mask is in the middle column and the depth data is in the right column. Note that there is no orientation normalisation in the test set.

Fig. 2. Non-hand RGB and the corresponding depth map samples from the OUHANDS train database.

are considered. The majority of the databases published do not provide depth data, segmentation, bounding boxes or any hand keypoint or orientation information. Most of the databases – including OUHANDS – contain ten different hand poses. A vastly superior count of poses is found in the HGR1 and HGR2B: 27 and 32, respectively. As the OUHANDS was intended to be used as a testing tool for HCI methods, it was decided that a smaller set of hand poses will suffice. A higher number of poses is difficult to utilise in a user interface without making the hand gestures difficult to remember and reproduce.

The number of subjects in the reviewed databases varies from 3 to 40. It can be argued that a higher number is always better, unless one wishes to train a system to recognise only the few specific subjects.

Table 1. A comparison of the database properties related to data quantity. In this Table, c is the number of channels in the images, \( N_f \) is the number of image frames, \( r \) is the image resolution, \( N_d \) is the number of depth frames, and \( r_d \) is the depth image size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>( N_{classes} )</th>
<th>( N_{subjects} )</th>
<th>seg</th>
<th>BB</th>
<th>keypoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGR1 [15]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGR2A [15]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGR2B [15]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcel [16]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin [17]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo [14]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUHANDS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triesch [21]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triesch II [22]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU [20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS I [18]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS II [19]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. A comparison of the database properties not related to data quantity. \( N_{classes} \) is the number of hand pose classes, \( N_{subjects} \) is the number of subjects and the columns seg, BB and keypoint denote whether or not the segmentation masks of the hands, bounding boxes and keypoint locations are provided, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>( N_{classes} )</th>
<th>( N_{subjects} )</th>
<th>seg</th>
<th>BB</th>
<th>keypoint</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGR1 [15]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGR2A [15]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGR2B [15]</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcel [16]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marin [17]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memo [14]</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUHANDS</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triesch [21]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triesch II [22]</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTU [20]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS I [18]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUS II [19]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. HAND POSE CLASSIFICATION PROTOCOL

The data for the hand classification protocol is in the training database. There are three image data modalities (RGB, depth and binary segmentation masks) and two plain-text data files (orientation and bounding box information) for each data sample.

Models trained with data other than the training data provided is allowed. The use of external training data must be noted when publishing results on the OUHANDS database.

The final score for the classification task is the number of correctly classified hand pose samples from the test database divided by the total number of hand pose samples, which is 1000. Any or all the data modalities can be used in training and testing. There is no orientation normalisation in the test database. Note that because one of the modalities is the bounding box of the hand, the classification methods do not have to be able to detect the hand. Also, if one wishes to train and test a classification method without using the hand location, it is possible. One should also consider reporting the confusion matrix.
IV. HAND DETECTION PROTOCOL

The training hand data and the rules for using any external data for the hand detection protocol are the same as in the hand pose classification protocol with the exception that the detection supplemental data (150 samples where both of the hands of the subject are shown) can be used in training. In addition to the hand data the non-hand data can also be used in training.

The detection ground truth is defined by the bounding box of the hand. A detection is defined the same way as in the Pascal VOC [12] challenge:

\[
a_0 = \frac{\text{area}(B_p \cap B_{gt})}{\text{area}(B_p \cup B_{gt})}
\]  

where \( a_0 \) is the amount of overlap, \( B_p \) is the estimated bounding box and \( B_{gt} \) is the ground truth bounding box. The \( \text{area}(B_p \cap B_{gt}) \) is the intersection of the estimated and the ground truth bounding boxes and the \( \text{area}(B_p \cup B_{gt}) \) is the union of the estimated and ground truth bounding boxes.

The overlap criterion used in OUHANDS is 70%. The overlap criterion in the Pascal VOC database was 50% because the ground truth annotations are noisy. As the ground truths in the OUHANDS database are more accurate (see the ground truth bounding boxes in Figure 1), a higher threshold was chosen.

For the hand detection protocol, choosing only one way of evaluating the performance of a method is difficult. We propose that the area under the ROC curve, maximum F1 score (and the precision and recall values that were used to obtain the score) and the precision-recall curve are reported.

V. CLASSIFICATION BASELINE

As a baseline method for classification, we used a technique which uses specific orientation histogram features for neural network based classification. The orientation histogramming method [24] evaluates image gradients, whose orientation is normalized based on the relative angular pixel location within the image patch. The pairs of normalized local orientation and gradient magnitudes provide input to the aggregation step, where several histograms are obtained using a circular ring based spatial binning strategy. In this way, the feature computation is made tolerant to in-plane rotations [24].

The histogram features provide the input to a neural network that has two hidden layers with 960 and 60 neurons, respectively. The neural network was trained to classify five different hand poses (-45°, -22.50°, 0°, 22.50° and 45°) for each of the ten hand poses. The amount of training data was increased by scaling and rotating the data. The rotations were done with 11.25° increments in the range of [-56.25°; 56.25°].

When the final classification result was determined by selecting the hand pose class with the highest neural network output and the estimated hand rotation information was ignored.

VI. DETECTION BASELINE

Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) method [11] was used as the baseline detection method. A SVM [23] model was trained for each of the hand pose classes with the Dlib machine learning toolkit [13]. These detectors were applied separately to the validation data and the overlapping detections were filtered by selecting the instance with the highest confidence value.

VII. BASELINE RESULTS

In this Section the baseline results using the data split in the directory OUHANDS/data_split_for_the_intermediate_tests/ are presented. In classification only the RGB data converted to gray scale images was used. The detection method used RGB data and depth data. When training the detection method, the detection supplemental data was not used.

A. Classification

The result for classification is 83.25%. The confusion matrix is as follows (the column index and row index denote the classification result and the target class, respectively):

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 40 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 25 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 33 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 34 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 5 & 28 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 25 & 9 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 3 & 3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

B. Detection

The precision-recall curves for the detection task when using the RGB and depth are shown in Figure 3. For the detection from the RGB data task, the area under the ROC curve is 0.79 and the maximum F1 score is 0.50 (precision 0.52 and recall 0.48). For the detection from the depth data task, the area under the ROC curve is 0.83 and the maximum F1 score is 0.71 (precision 0.70 and recall 0.72).

The effect of varying the overlap threshold is illustrated in Figure 4.

VIII. DISCUSSION

Often the hand pose databases are captured using fixed camera and lighting. The OUHANDS database proposed in this paper contains images captured in a HCI-like setting: the camera was hand-held and the lighting and backgrounds in the various capturing locations were uncontrolled. This makes the data hard to analyse.

When considering our early experiments (see [24]) lower hand pose classification rates with OUHANDS compared to
ones obtained using the Triesch [21] database can be expected. Also, the hand detection results produced with the widely used HOG features and SVM classifier are on the level that encourages more testing to be done on the database. A convolutional neural network, trained with external data, is yet to be tested.
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