Ion production and ionization effect in the atmosphere during the Bastille day GLE 59 due to high energy SEP particles
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Abstract

The influence of high energy particles, specifically cosmic rays, on atmospheric physics and chemistry is highly discussed. In most of the proposed models the role of ionization in the atmosphere due to cosmic rays is not negligible. Moreover, effect(s) on minor constituents and aerosols are recently observed, specifically over the polar regions during strong solar particle events. According to the recent findings for such effects it is necessary an essential increase of ion production, specifically during the winter period. The galactic cosmic rays are the main source of ionization in the Earth’s stratosphere and troposphere. Occasionally, the atmospheric ionization is significantly enhanced during strong solar energetic particle events, specifically over the polar caps. During the solar cycle 23 several strong ground level enhancements were observed. One of the strongest was the Bastille day event occurred on 14 July 2000. Using a full Monte Carlo 3-D model, we compute the atmospheric ionization, considering explicitly the contribution of cosmic rays with galactic and solar origin, focusing on high energy particles. The model is based on atmospheric cascade simulation with the PLANETOCOSMICS code. The ion production rate is computed as a function of the altitude above the sea level. The ion production rate is computed on a step ranging from 10 to 30 minutes throughout the event, considering explicitly the spectral and angular characteristics of the high energy part of solar protons as well as their time evolution. The corresponding event averaged ionization effect relative to the average due to galactic cosmic rays is computed in lower stratosphere and upper troposphere at various altitudes, namely 20 km, 15 km, 12 km and 8 km above the sea level in a sub-polar and polar regions. The $24^{th}$ and the weekly ionization effects are also computed in the troposphere and low stratosphere. Several applications are discussed.
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1 Introduction

The effect of high energy particles, specifically cosmic rays, on different atmospheric processes related to atmospheric chemistry and physics is extensively discussed (e.g. Bazilevskaya et al., 2008; Mironova et al., 2015, and references therein). In most of the recent models, the induced by energetic particles atmospheric ionization plays an important role. The induced by energetic particles atmospheric ionization affect atmospheric processes, global electric circuit and minor constituents in the Earth’s atmosphere (e.g. Krivolutsky et al., 2006; Randall et al., 2007; Jackman et al., 2008; Rozanov et al., 2012; Nicoll and Harrison, 2014; Verronen et al., 2015). The populations of energetic particles inducing atmospheric ionization, include galactic cosmic rays (GCRs), solar energetic particles (SEPs), precipitating protons and electrons from radiation belts (e.g. Bazilevskaya et al., 2008; Dorman, 2009; Mironova et al., 2015, and references therein). While the solar UV and X-rays dominate at altitudes above some 100 km above the sea level (a.s.l.), but absorbed below, the most energetic particles are the main source of ionization below 100 km a.s.l. In this work we focus on high and very high energy particles with cosmic origin, namely GCRs and the high energy part of about 300 MeV/nucleon and above SEPs, while the other populations are considered elsewhere (e.g. Mironova et al., 2015, and references therein).

The most important source of high energy particles inducing ionization in the troposphere and stratosphere of the Earth originate from outer space viz. cosmic rays (e.g. O’Brien, 1970; Dorman, 2004; Usoskin et al., 2009; Velinov et al., 2013). The majority of those particles are protons and α— particles, and minor quantities of heavier nuclei are also observed (e.g. Gaisser and Stanev, 2010, and references therein). Most of CR particles originate from the Galaxy, known as GCRs (e.g. Gaisser and Stanev, 2010, and references therein). The cosmic ray particles penetrate deep into the atmosphere, induce a complicated nuclear-electromagnetic-muon cascade, which eventually leads to an ionization of the ambient air (e.g. Dorman, 2004; Usoskin et al., 2009). The maximum of ion production in the atmosphere, observed at the altitude of about 12-
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15 km a.s.l., is known as Pfotzer-Regener maximum (Regener and Pfotzer, 1935; Pfotzer, 1936). The CR flux is modulated in the Heliosphere, follows the inverse 11-year solar cycle and also responds to transient phenomena e.g. Forbush decreases (Forbush, 1937).

A sporadic source of high energy particles penetrating the atmosphere and eventually inducing ionization follows eruptive solar processes on the Sun as solar flares and coronal mass ejections (CMEs), namely the so-called solar energetic particles (e.g. Reames, 1999; Cliver et al., 2004). The energy of SEPs is usually of the order of tens of MeV/nucleon. However, in some cases it can reach GeV/nucleon, leading to an atmospheric cascade and an enhancement of the count rate of ground based detectors, specifically neutron monitors (NMs). This special class of SEP events is called a ground level enhancement (GLE) (e.g. Shea and Smart, 1982; Dorman, 2004; Aschwanden, 2012). Their occurrence rate is roughly once per year, with increasing probability during maximum and decline phase of the solar cycle (Shea and Smart, 1990; Stoker, 1995; Bazilevskaya, 2005). These events usually lead to a significant increase of ion production in the atmosphere, specifically in polar and sub-polar region in the Earth’s upper atmosphere, where the magnetospheric shielding is not as effective as at middle and equatorial latitudes (Jackman et al., 2011; Mishev et al., 2011; Usoskin et al., 2011b; Mironova et al., 2012; Mishev et al., 2013). Detailed study of ion production, accordingly ionization effect, which represents the ion production integrated over the event or a corresponding time interval relative to the ion production due to GCR computed prior to the event.

The ion production is computed in different parts of the Earth’s atmosphere during GLEs. This allows one to estimate the possible effect of CR on atmospheric physics and chemistry in enhanced mode. The ion production rate during GLEs is determined mainly on SEP spectra and anisotropy and depends also on duration and apparent source location. Since, the GLE events differ from each other in spectra, duration, location occurrence, geomagnetic conditions as well as time evolution of the features related to the ion production (Gopalswamy et al., 2012; Moraal and McCracken, 2012), it is necessary to study each strong event separately. In this connection, here we study the ion production and the corresponding ionization effect during one of the strongest GLEs of solar cycle 23, namely the Bastille day GLE 59 on 14 July 2000. In this study we focus on a low stratosphere and upper troposphere, where only the most energetic part of SEPs play an important role, while the low energy part with important contribution at altitudes of 40 km above the level (e.g. Mironova et al., 2015) is not considered.
2 Model for cosmic ray induced ionization

The ion production in the atmosphere induced by high energy particles can be assessed by analytical and/or parametrization models (e.g. O’Brien, 1970; Vitt and Jackman, 1996). However, the parametrization models usually suffer from lack of precision, specifically in the low stratosphere and troposphere and possess constrains to a given atmospheric region and/or cascade component (e.g. Velinov et al., 2013, and references therein). On the other hand full target models based on a full Monte Carlo simulation of the atmospheric cascade allow one to compute the ion production rate, accordingly ionization effect in the atmosphere considering all the physics process involved (Desorgher et al., 2005; Usoskin and Kovaltsov, 2006; Velinov et al., 2009). Therefore, the full target models permit a realistic computation of ion production rate, accordingly ionization effect in the atmosphere during major GLEs, explicitly considering the contribution of cosmic rays with galactic and/or solar origin (Mishev et al., 2011; Usoskin et al., 2011b; Mishev and Velinov, 2015).

In this work we employ a model similar to Usoskin and Kovaltsov (2006). The full description of the model is given elsewhere (Mishev and Velinov, 2007; Velinov et al., 2009). The ion production rate as a function of the altitude a.s.l. is:

\[ q(h, E) = \frac{1}{E_{ion}} \sum_i \int_{E_{cut}(R_c)}^{\infty} D_i(E) \frac{\partial E(h, E)}{\partial h} \rho(h) dE \]  

where \( \partial E \) is the deposited energy in an atmospheric layer \( \partial h \), \( h \) is the air overburden (air mass) above a given altitude in the atmosphere expressed in g/cm\(^2\) subsequently converted to altitude a.s.l., \( D_i(E) \) is the differential cosmic ray spectrum for a given component \( i \): protons p, Helium (\( \alpha \)-particles), the latter also representative for heavier nuclei with atomic number \( Z > 2 \) similarly to Usoskin and Kovaltsov (2006); Mishev and Velinov (2011a,b), \( \rho \) is the atmospheric density in g cm\(^{-3}\), \( E \) is the initial energy of the incoming primary nuclei on the top of the atmosphere and \( E_{ion} = 35 \) eV is the average energy necessary for creation of an ion pair in air (Porter et al., 1976). In the case of SEPs, the \( D_i(E) \) can be considered from ground based NM data analysis (e.g. Mishev and Velinov, 2015) or similarly to Usoskin et al. (2011b).

In Eq. (1) the integration is over the kinetic energy above \( E_{cut}(R_c) \), which is defined by the local cut-off rigidity \( R_c \) for a nuclei of type \( i \) at a given geographic location by the expression:

\[ E_{cut,i} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{Z_i}{A_i}\right)^2 R_c^2 + E_0^2} - E_0 \]
where $E_0 = 0.938 \text{ GeV/n}$ is the proton’s rest mass.

For the computation of ion production rate due to GCR we assume the force field model according to Gleeson and Axford (1968); Burger et al. (2000) with parametrization of local interstellar spectrum according to Usoskin and Kovaltsov (2006). The modulation potential is computed similarly to Usoskin et al. (2011a). The SEPs spectra in equation (1), which considerably vary from event to event as well as throughout the event(s), are retrieved from NM data analysis. Note that the global NM network is sensitive to SEPs with rigidity greater than 1 GV (the atmospheric cut-off) or $\approx 430 \text{ MeV/nucleon}$. However, the high altitude polar NMs e.g. the South Pole allows one to scale the derived SEP spectra to about 300 MeV/nucleon as considered in this study. The time evolution of SEP spectral and angular distribution throughout the event is explicitly considered according to (Bombardieri et al., 2006; Mishev and Usoskin, 2016). Here, we consider the high energy part of the GLE particles, namely above some 300 MeV/nucleon, which plays an important role in tropospheric ionization, which is consistent with recent studies (Usoskin et al., 2009, 2011b).

The atmospheric cascade simulations are performed with the GEANT 4 (Agostinelli et al., 2003) based PLANETOCOSMICS code (Desorgher et al., 2005) assuming a realistic atmospheric model NRLMSISE 00 and considering explicitly the seasonal influence (Picone et al., 2002; Mishev and Velinov, 2010, 2014).

3 Ion production rate during Bastille day GLE 59 event

During the solar cycle 23, sixteen GLE events were observed (Gopalswamy et al., 2012). The observed increases varied between 3% and 269%. The first event occurred on 6 November 1997 (GLE 55) and the last event occurred on 13 December 2006 (GLE 70). All those events differ in spectra and anisotropy, apparent source position as well as the time evolution of all features and characteristics, which determine the ion production (Moraal and McCracken, 2012).

The period of mid July 2000 was characterized by intense solar activity, resulting in three X-class solar flares and two halo coronal mass ejections (CME) (Dryer et al., 2001; Klein et al., 2001). The event was related to X5.8/3B solar flare and the associated full halo CME. The GLE onset began between 10:30 and 10:35 UT with strongest NM increases observed at South Pole (58.3%) and SANAE (54.4%) NMs. The event was characterized by a strong anisotropy in its initial phase and relatively hard spectra during the
During major GLE events the ion production rate in the atmosphere is a superposition of the contribution due to GCRs, which are occasionally reduced (Forbush decrease) and SEPs, the latter typically possess an essential anisotropic part, specifically during the event onset and initial phase. The propagation of both GCRs and SEPs is affected by the geomagnetic field (shielding), which is most effective near to geomagnetic equator and negligible near to geomagnetic poles. The shielding is quantified by the effective vertical cut-off rigidity $R_{c_1}$, which varies with the geographical location (Cooke et al., 1991). In this study we compute the ion production rate and the corresponding ionization effect in the atmosphere in the sub-polar and polar regions of the Earth, where the expected effect is maximal, namely in regions with $R_c \approx 1$ GV (Mishev and Velinov, 2013, 2015). The anisotropy of SEPs is considered explicitly by computation of asymptotic cones in the region of interest similarly to Mishev and Velinov (2015). Note that, the asymptotic cone computations are explicitly function of the epoch and the geomagnetic conditions, therefore they vary from event to event (Kudela and Usoskin, 2004; Mishev and Usoskin, 2013). Herein, we consider the high energy part (above 300 MeV/nucleon) of the GLE particles, which predominantly play role in the ion production in the stratosphere and troposphere, while the contribution of low energy part dominating at altitudes of about 40 km a.s.l. is planned for forthcoming work.

The computation of the cut-off rigidity and asymptotic cones of the region of interest, namely grid of $5^\circ \times 5^\circ$, is performed by realistic modelling of particle propagation in the magnetosphere similarly to McCracken et al. (1962); Shea et al. (1965); Smart et al. (2000); Desorgher et al. (2009); Mishev and Usoskin (2013). The particle propagation in the magnetosphere was modelled with the MAGNETOCOSMICS code (Desorgher et al., 2005) using the IGRF geomagnetic model as internal field (Langel, 1987) and Tsyganenko 1989 model as external field (Tsyganenko, 1989). This combination of models provides balance between simplicity and realism (Kudela and Usoskin, 2004; Kudela et al., 2008; Nevalainen et al., 2013). Since the event occurred during the recovery phase of a Forbush decrease, we explicitly consider the reduced GCR flux adjusted from NMs measurements, data retrieved from the neutron monitor database (www.NMDB.eu) (Mavromichalaki et al., 2011). The SEP spectral and angular characteristics are derived on the basis of NM measurements and subsequent analysis, the details are given elsewhere (Bombardieri et al., 2006; Mishev and Usoskin, 2016). The SEP spectral and angular characteristics are shown in Fig.1, the details are given in Table 1.
For the GLE particles a modified power law rigidity spectrum with a variable slope is assumed similarly to Mishev and Usoskin (2016):

$$J_{\parallel}(R) = J_0 R^{-(\gamma + \delta \gamma (R-1))}$$

where $J_{\parallel}(R)$ is the particle flux with given rigidity $R$ arriving from the Sun along the axis of symmetry whose direction is defined by geographic coordinate angles $\Psi$ and $\Lambda$ (latitude and longitude), $\gamma$ is the power-law spectral exponent at rigidity $R = 1$ GV, $\delta \gamma$ is the rate of the spectrum steepening. Accordingly the pitch angle distribution is assumed to be a Gaussian:

$$G(\alpha) \sim \exp(-\alpha^2/\sigma^2),$$

where $\alpha$ is the pitch angle, $\sigma$ is parameter corresponding to the width of the pitch angle distribution.

The time evolution of the GLE rigidity spectrum, PAD and apparent source position is considered explicitly throughout the event on a step of 10, 15 and 30 min. during the initial and main phase of the event, accordingly 1 h during the late phase of the event. The SEP rigidity spectrum was gradually softening throughout the event, while the anisotropy was strong during the event’s onset, but rapidly dropped resulting in a wide solar proton angular distribution (Bombardieri et al., 2006; Mishev and Usoskin, 2016). As a result, after the initial phase of the event, namely after 11:00 UT the ionization effect broaden out (see Section 4).

The ion production rates (Eq.1) for several periods throughout GLE 59 are presented in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2a are shown the ion production rates in the region with $R_c \approx 1$ GV, accordingly in Fig.2b in the region with $R_c \approx 2$ GV. The ion production rates due to SEPs in the region with $R_c \approx 1$ GV are greater than the average due to GCRs, but event onset when a considerable steepening of the SEP spectrum was observed (Table 1). In the region of $R_c \approx 2$ GV the ion production rates are less than the average due to GCRs, because of rapidly falling and steep SEP spectrum (e.g. Mishev and Usoskin, 2016).

The ion production rate profiles at 11:00 UT and 12:00 UT are comparable, because the very similar SEP spectra. In the late phase of the event the ion production rate diminishes, because the gradually softening of SEP spectra and decrease of GLE particles flux. In the region with $R_c \geq 2$ the ion production rate diminishes constantly throughout the event, because the majority of GLE particles are shielded by the
geomagnetic field, leading to a reduction of their flux. The ion production rate, accordingly the ionization effect (Section 4) is considerably affected by the SEPs anisotropy, specifically during the event initial phase. Since the apparent source position of SEPs is located close to the equatorial region accompanied by a fast isotropisation of the incoming SEP flux (Bombardieri et al., 2006; Mishev and Usoskin, 2016)), the ionization effect relative to the average due to GCR is more symmetric compared to the GLE 70 (Mishev and Velinov, 2015, 2016).

4 Relative to GCR ionization effect in the polar and sub-polar region

Here, we present computation of ionization effect relative to the average ionization due to GCR at various time scales, namely during the event, at 24 h and weekly scale, all important to estimate the possible SEP influence on atmospheric chemistry and physics (e.g. Bazilevskaya et al., 2008; Usoskin et al., 2009; Mironova et al., 2015, and references therein). Since we consider the high energy GLE particles, the ion production and ionization effect are computed for period corresponding to the observed NM increases retrieved from NMDB i.e. from the event onset to 00:00 UT of 15 July 2000 for the event averaged ionization effect, accordingly 24 h and 168 h after the event onset for the daily, respectively weekly ionization effect.

The event averaged ionization effect is computed as integration over the ion production throughout the event [ion pairs cm$^{-3}$] (integration of ion production rate over the phase of the event applying a recombination model similarly to Krivolutsky et al. (2006)) relative to the production due to GCR, computed at the period prior to the event, but not affected by a Forbush decrease. The event averaged ionization effect in the polar and sub-polar region at altitude of 20 km a.s.l. is presented in Fig.3a and at altitude of 15 km a.s.l. in Fig.3b.

The ionization effect depends strongly on the altitude a.s.l. and geographic location. Since, SEP spectra are considerably softer than GCR, the maximum ionization effect is observed at higher altitudes than the produced by the average GCR. The maximum ionization effect at altitude of 20 km a.s.l. is roughly 90% located in the region of 30$^\circ$ W – 30$^\circ$ E in a sub-polar and polar region in Northern hemisphere. The ionization effect in Northern hemisphere diminishes slightly in the region of 170$^\circ$ W – 170$^\circ$ E to $\approx$ 65%. The minimum ionization effect at altitude 20 km a.s.l. is observed in the Southern hemisphere in the region of
160° W – 160° E, where it is ≈ 50 %. The event averaged ionization effect is slightly greater at altitude of 15 km a.s.l. (Fig.3b), where it is roughly 95 %. The maximum ionization effect at altitude of 15 km a.s.l. is observed in the region of 30° W – 30° E in the North sub-polar and polar region. Accordingly, the ionization effect at altitude of 15 km a.s.l. diminishes in the region of 160° W – 160° E to ≈ 70 % in Northern hemisphere, accordingly in Southern hemisphere to ≈ 55 %.

At lower altitudes the event averaged ionization effect gradually diminishes. At altitude of 12 km a.s.l. (Fig.4a) it is ≈ 85 %, specifically located in the region of 30° W – 30° E in a sub-polar and polar region in the Northern hemisphere, where it is maximal. The ionization effect in Northern hemisphere diminishes in the region of 170° W – 170° E to roughly 60 %. In the troposphere, at altitude of 8 km a.s.l., the ionization effect diminishes considerably to ≈ 40 %, the maximum observed in the region of 20° W – 20° E (Fig.4b), accordingly the minimum of ≈ 30 % is observed in the region 165° W – 165° E.

The 24h averaged ionization effect is computed as integration over the ion production during the GLE taking into account solely the GCR contribution after the event (after 00:00 UT of 15 July), explicitly considering its flux variation according to NM data. It is compared with the ion production over 24h produced by GCR computed at the period prior to the event, but not affected by a Forbush decrease. The 24h averaged relative to GCR tropospheric ionization effect is computed in order to estimate the possible impact of SEPs on the Earth’s atmosphere (e.g. Krivolutsky and Repnev, 2012). It is mainly function of the altitude a.s.l. and the accompanying Forbush decrease, which reduces the GCR flux. The 24h ionization effect is uniformly distributed over the polar region. Its is in the order of 3 – 4.6 % at altitude of 12 km a.s.l. (Fig.5a) and 2.7 – 3.6 % (Fig.5b) at altitude of 8 km a.s.l. Therefore, the ionization effect during GLE 50 on 24h time scale due to the high energy part of SEP particles is minor in the troposphere and low stratosphere.

Similarly is computed the weekly ionization effect (Fig.6). It is computed as integration over the ion production during the GLE and considering the GCR after the event. The weekly averaged ionization effect relative to GCR is a function on the altitude a.s.l. and strongly depends on the accompanying Forbush decrease. The weekly averaged ionization effect is uniformly distributed over the polar region. It is clearly negative, in the order of −1.8 % at altitude of 12 km a.s.l. (Fig.6a) and ≈ −3.5 % at altitude of 8 km a.s.l. (Fig.6b). Therefore, the ionization effect in the troposphere and low stratosphere due to high energy GLE particles on weekly time scale is mainly due
to GCR flux, which in case of a Forbush decrease lead to slightly negative values, in accordance with (Usoskin et al., 2011b).

5 Summary and Discussion

The sporadic rapid change of CR flux, namely Forbush decreases and/or GLEs allows one to study the possible effects of high energy particles on atmospheric chemistry and physics on enhanced magnitude (e.g. Bazilevskaya et al., 2008; Yu and Luo, 2014; Mironova et al., 2015). At recent it was shown that for observation of such effects it is necessary an essential increase of ion production in the atmosphere, which can take place during strong GLEs, specifically during winter in order to avoid the eventual influence of UV (Mironova and Usoskin, 2014). Therefore, strong events as 20 January 2005 (Mishev et al., 2011, 2013), 13 December 2006 (Mishev and Velinov, 2013; Žigman et al., 2014; Mishev and Velinov, 2015) and the considered here Bastille day GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 are convenient for such a study.

In this work we computed the ion production rate and the corresponding ionization effect at various time scales, namely during the event, $24^h$ and weekly time scale, considering explicitly SEPs characteristics as well as their temporal evolution throughout the event. Here, we focus on ionization effect in the low stratosphere and upper stratosphere, specifically due to high energy part of GLE particles. One can see that the ion production rate is significant during the main phase of the event, specifically at the polar and sub-polar region. During the initial and late phases of the event the ion production is comparable to the average due to GCR. Moreover, at altitudes of about 10 km a.s.l. and below the ion production due to GCR is greater than the high energy part of SEPs, because of the rapidly falling spectra of the latter. At high mid latitudes and mid latitudes with cut-off rigidity $R_c \approx 2$–3 GV, the ion production due to GCR dominates in the whole atmosphere and the corresponding ionization effect is marginal throughout the event.

The ion production vary throughout the event, mainly due to variation of spectral and angular characteristics of SEPs as well as the variation of apparent source position location. However, because of the fast isotropisation of SEPs, the role of the latter (slight movement to a South-West direction) is not as important as compared to GLE 70, but during the event onset and its initial phase (Mishev and Velinov, 2015). As a result the corresponding ionization effect is almost symmetrically distributed over both hemispheres, being maximal in the sub-polar and polar regions.
The event averaged ionization effect is maximal at altitudes 12–18 km a.s.l. and it is in the order of 80–95%. The event averaged ionization effect diminishes in the low stratosphere and troposphere. The 24h ionization effect relative to GCR is minor in a low stratosphere and troposphere and it is uniformly distributed in both hemispheres. The weekly averaged ionization effect relative to GCR is clearly negative in low stratosphere and troposphere, because of the Forbush decrease reducing the GCR flux. Therefore, at weekly time scales the GCR govern the ionization effect even during moderately strong events as was reported in Usoskin et al. (2011b).

The computed ion production rates, accordingly ionization effect at various time scales allow one to study the possible influence of SEPs on atmospheric processes over different time scales (e.g. Bazilevskaya et al., 2008; Mironova et al., 2015) and/or be used as a proxy to assess the radiation environment in the atmosphere, accordingly aircrew exposure (Vainio et al., 2009).
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Table 1
Spectral and angular characteristics apparent source position location during GLE 59 on 14 July 2000 used for the computation of ion production rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [UT]</th>
<th>$J_0$ [$m^{-2}s^{-1}sr^{-1}GV^{-1}$]</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$\delta\gamma$</th>
<th>$\sigma^2$ [rad $^2$]</th>
<th>$\Psi$ [degrees]</th>
<th>$\Lambda$ [degrees]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:45</td>
<td>312000</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>-8.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00</td>
<td>290000</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>10.88</td>
<td>-12.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30</td>
<td>272000</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>-16.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:00</td>
<td>230000</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>-21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30</td>
<td>195200</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>-23.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00</td>
<td>193000</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>-25.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:30</td>
<td>187100</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>-29.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00</td>
<td>182500</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>6.91</td>
<td>-32.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure captions:

Fig.1 Rigidity spectra and pitch angle distributions of SEPs for various moments during the GLE 59. The SEP spectra are according to Bombardieri et al. (2006); Mishev and Usoskin (2016). Time (UT) refers to the start of the corresponding interval as denoted in the legend over which the spectra are derived. The solid line in the left panel denote GCR flux. a) Rigidity spectrum of SEPs; b) Pitch angle distribution.

Fig.2 Ion production rate due to GCR and SEPs during the Bastille day GLE 59 on 14 July 2000. a) Ion production rate in the polar region with \( R_c \approx 1 \) GV; b) Ion production rate in the region with \( R_c \approx 2 \) GV.

Fig.3 Event integrated relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 20 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 15 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.

Fig.4 Event integrated relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.

Fig.5. 24\(^h\) averaged relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) 24\(^h\) ionization effect at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) 24\(^h\) ionization effect at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.

Fig.6. Weekly averaged relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Weekly ionization effect at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Weekly ionization effect at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.
Fig. 1. Rigidity spectra and pitch angle distributions of SEPs for various moments during the GLE 59. The SEP spectra are according to Bombardieri et al. (2006); Mishev and Usoskin (2016). Time (UT) refers to the start of the corresponding interval as denoted in the legend over which the spectra are derived. The solid line in the left panel denote GCR flux. a) Rigidity spectrum of SEPs; b) Pitch angle distribution.

Fig. 2. Ion production rate due to GCR and SEPs during the Bastille day GLE 59 on 14 July 2000. a) Ion production rate in the polar region with $R_c \approx 1$ GV; b) Ion production rate in the region with $R_c \approx 2$ GV.
Fig. 3. Event integrated relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 20 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 15 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.

Fig. 4. Event integrated relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Ionization effect averaged over the event at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.
Fig. 5. 24\textsuperscript{h} averaged relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) 24\textsuperscript{h} ionization effect at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) 24\textsuperscript{h} ionization effect at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.

Fig. 6. Weekly averaged relative to the average due to GCRs ionization effect during the Bastille day GLE 59 14 July 2000. a) Weekly ionization effect at 12 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region; b) Weekly ionization effect at 8 km a.s.l. in the polar and sub-polar region.