Adolescents’ evaluations of the quality of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care

Abstract

Background: Adolescents need effective lifestyle counselling precisely because health problems are so common. Good-quality lifestyle counselling can prevent the problems from becoming worse and decrease the costs of health care. Nurse practitioners in schools are well positioned to promote adolescent health.

Aim: This study describes adolescents’ evaluations of the quality of lifestyle counselling and factors related to it in school-based health care.

Methods: The data were collected from seventh to ninth grade adolescents (n = 846) using the Counselling Quality Instrument, from two junior high schools in northern Finland. The study employed a web-based survey. Response rate was 67% (n = 563). The data were analysed via descriptive statistics.

Results: Most adolescents (84%) reported that the counselling resources related to school-based health care are quite good. Most of them reported that nutrition (70%) and physical activity (63%) related to lifestyle counselling are sufficient. Approximately half of adolescents (51%) considered the counselling related to substance abuse as being sufficient. Most (80%) felt that the level of interaction during counselling is good. Overall, the majority of adolescents reported that goal-oriented lifestyle counselling (67%) and adolescent-centred counselling (69%) are good. Finally, most adolescents (72%) reported that they have benefitted from lifestyle counselling. Gender and health status were significantly related to resources, interaction and benefits of lifestyle counselling. Girls evaluated that counselling were more adolescent-centred than boys. Adolescents with very good health status evaluated content of lifestyle counselling better than adolescents with poorer health status.

Conclusion: The adolescents evaluated the quality of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care as mainly being good. School nurses should pay particular attention when providing counselling to boys and those who are in poorer health.
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Introduction

Health promotion and lifestyle counselling are core elements of school nurses’ work. School nurses are well positioned to promote adolescents’ health because the most adolescents interact with a school nurse at least once a year [1]. In Finland, health care services in schools are statutory. The school nurse meets a certain number of students and health check-ups are conducted annually [2]. In junior high schools (7th to 9th grades), extensive health check-ups are conducted for students in the eighth grade, at which time parents are also invited to participate [3]. Health promotion in schools is done on an individual basis, but it also focuses on factors at the general level, such as the environment. School nurses provide for adolescents the lifestyle counselling as part of the health
check-ups. [4.] Lifestyle counselling should be multi-professional with doctors and dietitians [1], evidence-based and of a high quality [5].

There is no generally accepted definition for good-quality lifestyle counselling in school health care settings. The definition for quality lifestyle counselling presented in this study is based on the existing model for good-quality counselling. Based on the model, the quality lifestyle counselling is understood as a method that is adolescent-centred, interactive and goal oriented; it is implemented with sufficient counselling content and appropriate resources and has positive benefits for adolescents. The definition includes four elements: resources, content, implementation and benefits of counselling. [6-12.]

School health care should have sufficient resources for lifestyle counselling and services should be delivered in line with quality standards. Appropriate materials must be available for providing the knowledge about healthy lifestyles for adolescents [13]. However, lifestyle counselling is not always effective due to school nurses having insufficient time for counselling and a lack of evidence-based knowledge and counselling skills [14].

Adolescents need the sufficient lifestyle counselling about content of nutrition, physical activity and substance use and abuse. Obesity, being overweight [15], insufficient physical activity [16] and sedentary behaviour [17] are common among adolescents. Substance abuse increases with age during adolescence [1, 18,19]. Though adolescents’ substance abuse has declined, abuse still have serious problems among adolescents [20]. Health-related risk behaviours in adolescents contribute to many leading causes of disease and social problems experienced during adolescence as well as adulthood [21]. Good quality counselling in school health care that targets adolescents’ lifestyles is wholly appropriate as means to helping adolescents establish healthy lifestyles as they move forward into their adult years [5].

Counselling is mainly preventative in its influence on adolescents’ health-related behaviours, such as knowledge, beliefs, skills, attitudes, values and support [22]. However, implementation of counselling should be interactive and goal-oriented and adolescent-centred. Counselling in the school health care setting is often implemented on individually with interaction between the school nurse and adolescent [23,24]. Nurses use verbal interaction approaches to promote adolescent’s participation [24]. Confidence [25, 26], good interaction and a positive atmosphere are core elements of implementing good-quality lifestyle counselling. If adolescents trust in school nurse, they are more likely to discuss about their risk behaviour and sensitive issues [27]. Insufficient resources, such as a lack of time [7] and the negative attitudes of adolescents towards lifestyle counselling may impair interaction during counselling [28]. Lifestyle counselling should include goal setting according adolescents’ needs. Also short and long term goals should be placed together with adolescent, if behavioural change is desired, for example in weight management [29]. Good lifestyle counselling should be targeted at an adolescent’s particular developmental stage [28]. Moreover, it should take into account an adolescent’s needs [23, 30] to be adolescent-centred.

Lifestyle counselling benefits adolescents by increasing their attitudes, knowledge [13] and motivating them to evaluate their own lifestyles [23] and adhere to lifestyles changes [13, 31]. Good-quality lifestyle counselling can prevent problems from becoming worse [32] and decrease the costs of health care [5]. However, little evidence of adolescents own perceptions about benefits of school based lifestyle counselling.

This study describes adolescents’ evaluations of the quality of lifestyle counselling and factors related to it in school health care settings. To the best of our knowledge, adolescents’ own
evaluations of the quality of lifestyle counselling in school health care settings has not previously been studied.

Material and Methods

Study design
A cross-sectional, prospective design was used.

Study population
The sample (n = 846) consisted of all the adolescents (7th to 9th grades) in two junior high schools in northern Finland, who were invited to participate in the survey in the fall of 2015. These schools selected for the study because they are socio-economically similar schools and both schools have students was approximately at the same amount. Returned questionnaires (n = 563) were completed acceptably and the response rate was 67%.

Questionnaire
Data were collected using the Counselling Quality Instrument (CQI) (© Kääriäinen 2007). The instrument has been tested for validity and reliability before in earlier studies [6-12]. The questionnaire was modified to fit a school-based health care context in cooperation with the original developer of the questionnaire. The modified questionnaire’s content validity with respect to the CQI was assessed by four school nurses and, based on the evaluations, minor modifications were made to a few of the items in the questionnaire. The questionnaire was modified by clarifying the items for adolescents so that they understand them. The CQI was piloted first with a small sample of adolescents (n = 17) to ensure its clarity. The construct validity of the questionnaire was tested using principal component analysis with Varimax rotation. Communalities varied between 0.46 and 0.90, whereas component analysis loadings ranged between 0.48 and 0.92 and demonstrated good construct validity for the questionnaire [33].

The CQI instrument consisted of seven background questions (Table 1) and 70 items measuring the quality of the lifestyle counselling. It covered the following areas: resources, for example school nurse’s counselling skills, material use, nurse’s attitude (7 items). The content of the counselling, for example effects of nutrition, physical activity, sedentary behaviour, gaming and substance abuse to health in all aspects (37 items), how the counselling was implemented, for example atmosphere, understandable counselling, reciprocal interaction (22 items) and the benefits of the counselling, for example adherence to regimens, effects to adolescents attitudes and knowledge (4 items).

Respondents were asked to rank each of the items on a 5-point Likert-type scale (1 = totally agree and 5 = totally disagree / I didn’t need counselling). In addition, the questionnaire asked students to rate the quality of the counselling as very poor, poor, fair, good or excellent.

Data collection
The study was conducted via a web-based survey for adolescents during the school day by the school’s staff and researchers. Before collecting the data, the school staff informed adolescents and their parents about the study. Adolescents evaluated received lifestyle counselling based on their
previous health check-up when they responded to the web-based survey. The school’s staff or researchers shared a link to questionnaire and adolescents used computers or iPads with answering at school.

**Data analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS Statistics 22.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). Descriptive statistics, such as frequencies, percentages, means and standard deviations, were used. All variables were evaluated for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the variables were nonparametric. Background variables and previous health check-up, including the extent of the health check-up, were classified using a dichotomous scale. Three groups were formed based on the health status of the participants and four groups were formed based on their estimated weight.

Sum variables were constructed based on principal component analysis. A total of eight sum variables measuring the effects of lifestyle counselling were created. Counselling resources consisted of one sum variable, whereas the content of the counselling sessions consisted of three sum variables (nutrition, substance abuse and physical activity), how the counselling sessions were implemented consisted of three sum variables (interactive, goal-oriented and adolescent-centred counselling) and the benefits of counselling included one sum variable. The sum variables were verified using Cronbach’s alpha values, which varied between 0.88 and 0.98 and demonstrated that the questionnaire had good internal consistency (Table 2). The sum variables were recorded into three categories to describe the quality of the counselling. The theoretical ranges and classification boundaries of the sum variables were on a scale ranging from 1 to 5: 1.00–2.49 = good, 2.50–3.49 = I can’t tell and 3.50–5.00 = poor; for the content of the lifestyle counselling sessions, the scores were as follows: 1.00–2.49 = sufficient, 2.50–4.00 = insufficient and 4.01–5.00 = I didn’t need counselling. Histogram and boxplot figures were used as the basis for the classification boundaries.

Statistical differences in the sum variables for the background variables were tested using the Mann-Whitney U-test and the Kruskall-Wallis test. If a statistically significant difference was discovered during the multiple group analysis, Mann-Whitney U test was performed to assess the pair of groups for which the difference was significant. Then, a Bonferroni correction was carried out for the p value. [34] The statistically significant limit value was p <0.05 when assigning differences between the groups [33].

Insert Table 2 here

**Ethical considerations**

The principles of the Helsinki Declaration were followed for the study [35]. Permission for the study was given by the education and health service managers as well as the school administrators. Written informed consent was obtained from the developer of the questionnaire. The school administrators were evaluated with respect to the normal operations of the school and the questionnaire did not directly collect identifying information about the adolescents. Therefore, the study was carried out without the consent of the parents. However, the parents were informed about the study through the school information system in advance. The adolescent were given written information about the study before they agreed to participate. [36] A cover letter informed them about the study, its purpose, the respect for privacy, the voluntary nature of the study and its confidentiality [33]. Students decided for themselves whether they wanted to participate in the study after reading the information and agreeing to provide their written informed consent.
Results

Background characteristics

Table 1 presents a summary of the participants’ background characteristics. The study involved 563 adolescents: 46% were girls and 54% were boys, and their mean age was 14 years. Most of the adolescents reported that they had recently undergone a general health check-up. Most adolescents rated their health as very good, and felt that they were at a normal weight. Nineteen percent of students claimed that they were overweight or obese, and more than half of them (63% overweight and 61% obese) reported that they had been trying to lose weight during the semester. The majority of respondents did not attempt to lose weight during the semester. Minority of parents participated to adolescents’ health check-up.

Quality of lifestyle counselling

Most adolescents (84%) evaluated that the resources allocated for counselling are good, whereas three percent felt that the resources are inadequate and the remaining 13% could not say whether or not the resources are adequate (Figure 1). Evaluations differed to a statistically significantly based on gender (p = 0.007). Boys (Md 1.57, SD 0.91) reported that the resources are inadequate more often than girls (Md 1.43, SD 0.61). Adolescents’ evaluations of available resources also varied based on their health status (p = 0.001) (Table 3). Adolescents who reported that their health status were very good (Md 1.29, SD 0.85) reported that they were quite satisfied with the resources more often than adolescents with only a fairly good health status (Md 1.57, SD 0.72).

The content of the counselling was measured in areas of nutrition, substance abuse and physical activity. Seventy percent of adolescents reported that the counselling related to nutrition was sufficient. Fifteen percent of adolescents stated that nutrition counselling was insufficient. The statistically significant differences between students were found with a very good health status and a fairly good health status (p = 0.003) (Table 3). Adolescents who reported their health status as very good (Md 1.69, SD 1.32) also stated that nutritional counselling was sufficient more often than the adolescents with only a fairly good health status (Md 2.00, SD 1.28). Approximately half of adolescents (51%) considered the counselling related to substance abuse as sufficient, whereas roughly one-third of them (34%) reported that they have not needed it. Fifteen per cent of the adolescents reported that substance abuse counselling was insufficient (Figure 2). No statistically significant differences were found between the background variables and substance abuse counselling. 63% of the adolescents considered the physical activity counselling to be sufficient. Nineteen per cent of adolescents, however, reported that physical activity counselling was insufficient. Eighteen per cent of adolescents reported that they don’t need the counselling related to physical activity (Figure 2). Adolescents’ evaluations differed statistically significantly regarding their health status (p = 0.042). However, after making pairwise comparisons with a Bonferroni correction, none statistically significant differences found (Table 3).

The implementation of lifestyle counselling was measured as interaction, goal-oriented and adolescent-centred counselling. Eighty per cent of adolescents reported that interaction during the
counselling sessions was good. Four per cent adolescents felt that it was poor. Sixteen per cent of adolescents could not tell what kind of interaction they desired during counselling sessions (Figure 1). The evaluations differed statistically significantly between genders (p = 0.003) (Table 3). Girls (Md 1.33, SD 0.71) reported that the interaction during lifestyle counselling sessions was better more often than boys (Md 1.67, SD 0.98). Also adolescents with different health status evaluated the interaction differently (p = 0.001). Adolescents with very good and fairly good health had statistically significant differences (p = 0.001) (Table 3). Adolescents with very good health status (Md 1.33, SD 0.93) reported that the interaction was good during counselling sessions more often than adolescents with only fairly good health (Md 1.67, SD 0.81). Most of adolescents (67%) reported that goal-oriented lifestyle counselling was good, whereas 28% could not tell and 5% reported that it is poor (Figure 1). The background variables did not affect students’ attitudes about goal-oriented lifestyle counselling. Most of adolescents (69%) reported that the counselling was adolescent-centred. Twenty-five per cent could not say whether or not the lifestyle counselling was adolescent-centred and 6% of adolescents reported that the counselling was not adolescent-centred (Figure 1). Evaluations differed to a statistically significantly between genders (p = 0.017) (Table 3). Girls (Md 1.75, SD 0.83) reported more often than boys that the counselling sessions were adolescent-centred (Md 2.00, SD 0.98).

Most of the adolescents (72%) reported that lifestyle counselling had benefits. Twenty-three per cent of adolescents could not tell whether lifestyle counselling has benefitted them or not. Five per cent of adolescents reported that the lifestyle counselling has not benefitted them at all (Figure 1). There were the statistically significant differences between genders concerning evaluations of counselling benefits (p = 0.005) (Table 3). Girls (Md 1.50, SD 0.85) reported more often than boys that they have benefitted from lifestyle counselling (Md 2.00, SD 1.03). Adolescents who had recently undergone general health check-ups reported that they have benefitted from it (Md 1.50, SD 0.92) more often than adolescents whose last visit to the school nurse had been for an extended health check-up (p = 0.004) (Md 2.00, SD 1.02). The health status was associated to benefits of lifestyle counselling (p = 0.019). The main differences were between adolescents who reported that their health status was very good and those who reported a poor health status (p = 0.036) (Table 3). Adolescents who reported that their health status was poor also stated that they have benefitted less from counselling (Md 3.00, SD 0.89) than did adolescents who reported that they were in very good health (Md 1.50, SD 1.01).

Overall, more than half of the adolescents (53%) reported that the quality of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care programmes is, a whole, quite good.

Discussion

In this study, new information was obtained on adolescent’s own evaluations of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care services. Boys and adolescents who reported their health status as poor evaluated the lifestyle counselling in school-based health care worse than the other adolescents. Most adolescents reported that lifestyle counselling in school-based health care was of a good quality, but they also found needs for improvement. The role of the school nurse in supporting lifestyle changes and promoting health is important [37].

The school nurse counsels adolescents with different background and diseases [1]. Nurses have reported lack of time and counselling skills, when counselling adolescents with chronic diseases e.g. type II diabetes [38]. In this study, those adolescents who rated their health status as poor also gave poor marks for the quality of lifestyle counselling with respect to counselling resources, sufficient nutritional content, interaction and benefits of the counselling. Previous studies have reported that
the school nurse does not adequately take into account the starting points of the various adolescents in the lifestyle counselling sessions [39]. Also, a study by Kääriäinen [6] demonstrated that physical, mental, social and other environmental factors should be taken into account when counselling students. The school nurse should pay attention to an adolescent’s background (gender and health status). The school nurse has a good opportunity to have a particular effect on the health and well-being of adolescent girls [26], while boys are more hesitant to seek out school-based health care services [31, 40] because they are afraid of being perceived as weak or are afraid of being bullied [40].

The duration and content of the more extensive health check-up are more comprehensive than the general health check-up. Surprisingly, we found that adolescents who had had the general check-up rated the benefits of lifestyle counselling more highly than adolescents who had had an extensive health check-ups. Extensive health check-up includes more measurements (e.g. hearing, seeing, blood pressure) and examine the whole family well-being, than general check-up. It may be that there is little time left for counselling. And adolescents therefore evaluate that the benefits of lifestyle counselling are worse in extensive health check-ups. In this study, the parents chose not to participate much in the extensive health check-ups for adolescents. However, parents’ participation in adolescents’ lifestyle counselling is important because they offer support and provide motivation [41].

Adolescents also have different kinds of counselling needs. In this study, adolescents needed more counselling for nutrition and physical activity than for substance abuse. Most adolescents rated the nutrition and physical activity lifestyle counselling as good. But almost one out of five stated that the physical activity counselling was insufficient. In study of Grimstvedt et al. [42] argued that nurse practitioners knowledge of physical activity counselling is good, but they are interested in receiving training for that. Overall, promoting physical activity is challenging, and the effects are small based on prior meta-analysis [29, 43]. According to Golsäter et al. [24], the school-based health care health topics of discussion most commonly are lifestyles, nutrition and physical activity. The informational content and guidelines provided to school nurses engaged in counselling is often insufficient [39]. In this study, the adolescents reported that the contents of the counselling sessions are for the most part sufficient, but we did discover differences in perception based on the reported health status of the various students. Interestingly, one-third of the adolescents who took part in the study did not needed counselling related to substance abuse, even though adolescents do suffer from substance abuse problems [44, 45]. In Finland, the use of substances is still low at the beginning of junior high school, but it will increase as the adolescents continue to grow [46]. In this study, 41% of the participants were in 7th grade, which may partly explain this result. Typically, boys use more alcohol than girls [47].

In this study, participants rated the implementation of lifestyle counselling as good in school-based health care as a whole. In particular, the girls reported preferring it more than the boys. They reported that the level of interaction is good during counselling sessions, which supports the findings presented in earlier studies [6-12]. Adolescents reported that they trust the school nurse and feel that they have been listened to [48]. In this study, students reported that goal-oriented and adolescent-centred counselling require further development. Even still, most reported feeling that the counselling is for the most part adolescent-centred and private and that the nurse does not judge them. The barriers hindering participation in school counselling include a lack of knowledge about the services and adolescents feeling embarrassed that their problems are not considered to be private. [31.] The extent to which school nurses promote the health of adolescents requires more flexibility so as to create a confidential relationship between the adolescent and the school nurse. A relationship of trust with the school nurse can better promote the needs of adolescents. [30.] It is
important that nurses receive adequate education regarding how best to provide good-quality lifestyle counselling. Kääriäinen and Kyngäs [8] also obtained similar results regarding the need to re-educate health personnel. School nurses also need more evidence-based knowledge and new techniques for evaluating their work [4]. In the future, school nurses should receive more education in how to provide lifestyle counselling and cooperate more with other professionals, such as dietitians, in order to provide better lifestyle counselling [39].

Adolescents participating to this study evaluated the benefits of lifestyle counselling. Also in earlier studies, adolescents reported that lifestyle counselling has had a positive effect on their health and well-being [49, 31]. In addition, earlier interventions in school-based health care have proven to be effective [5]. Effective lifestyle counselling should support adolescents in re-evaluating their lifestyle choices [23]. Adolescent obesity and being overweight in general have increased in recent years [15], and therefore there is a tremendous need for effective counselling on how to prevent obesity among adolescents [5]. In this study, adolescents’ opinions about their weight were not statistically significantly associated with the quality of the lifestyle counselling. Previous research has suggested that lifestyle counselling by the school nurse has positively affected the health behaviour of obese adolescents, but not their BMI [50]. However, the school nurse is crucial in preventing and treating obesity among adolescents.

Study limitations

The strengths of the study lie in the fact that the data was collected using a recognised instrument with a high rate of validity and reliability [7, 8]. Experts in nursing and nursing science evaluated the content validity during each session. The questionnaire was piloted with the junior high school students. The sufficiency of the data and the usability of the correlation matrix for the principal component analysis was tested using Bartlett’s test (p < 0.001) and the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin test (p > 0.60). In this study, the principal component analysis indicated good construct validity, whereas the Cronbach’s alpha values ranged between 0.88 and 0.98 and demonstrated high internal consistency [33, 51].

The response rate was 67%, which was good [33]. However, some limitations affect the generalisability of the findings of this study. The sample represented health care in two schools in Finland. An effort was made to minimise the number of nonresponses via a covering letter, the layout of the questionnaire and a web-based survey. None of the questionnaires were rejected. Only 25% of the adolescents included in the study were in the 8th grade. This might have been influenced by the timing of the data collection and the means of recruitment. The validity of the study may also have been weakened by the fact that the adolescents evaluated their previous health check-up, which could have occurred more than a year ago and, consequently, they may not have remembered them so well. In the study, the standard deviation for responses to questions regarding the ‘content of the lifestyle counselling’ was quite large. This may be due to the fact that some adolescents does not feel they need lifestyle counselling. On the other hand, the statistical significance might be due to the rather large size of the sample.

Conclusion

According to our findings, the adolescents rated the quality of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care as mainly being good. In lifestyle counselling, the adolescents’ health status should be better studied in order to find out what kind of lifestyle counselling she or he needs. This would improve results. School nurses should pay particular attention when providing counselling to boys and those who are in poorer health. The role of the extensive health check-ups should be taken into
account when considering the quality of lifestyle counselling. Based on our findings, the school nurses should work to improve goal-oriented and adolescent-centred lifestyle counselling.

**Practice Implications**

The study provides information regarding the quality of lifestyle counselling in school-based health care. Lifestyle counselling should, in the future, pay more attention to the adolescents’ backgrounds, gender and health status. Results can be used to develop school-based lifestyle counselling more from the adolescents’ perspective. School-based health care should be able to guarantee that sufficient resources are allocated for providing good-quality lifestyle counselling. School nurses need to update their knowledge in order to provide evidence-based counselling, and this in turn will also affect the quality of the lifestyle counselling.
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Table 1. Background variables of the participants (n = 563)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Girl</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grade</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.grade</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.grade</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.grade</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Previous health check-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive health check-up</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health check-up</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Involvement of parents in the previous health check-up</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairly good</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimate weight</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight loss during this semester</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Lifestyle counselling elements, Cronbach’s alpha and indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Sum variables</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
<th>Cronbach alpha</th>
<th>Median*</th>
<th>SD**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Resources of lifestyle counselling”</td>
<td>Possibility of activity</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Content of lifestyle counselling”</td>
<td>Nutrition</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Substance abuse</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Physical activity</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Implementation of lifestyle counselling”</td>
<td>Interaction of counselling</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>goal-oriented of counselling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adolescent-centered</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Benefits of lifestyle counselling”</td>
<td>Adherence</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Scale 1-5, where 1 totally agree – 5 totally disagree / I didn’t need counselling

**Standard deviation
Table 3. Background variables contact sum variables the quality of lifestyle counselling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The quality of lifestyle counselling elements</th>
<th>Resources of lifestyle counselling</th>
<th>Content of lifestyle counselling</th>
<th>Implementation of lifestyle counselling</th>
<th>Benefits of lifestyle counselling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Background variables</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.007&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.283&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.096&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.442&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous health check-up</td>
<td>0.367&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.710&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.215&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.776&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health status</td>
<td>0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.544&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.042&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good–fairly good</td>
<td>0.001&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.003&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.146&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.042&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very good- Poor</td>
<td>0.160&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.122&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.228&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.202&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fairly good-Poor</td>
<td>0.912&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.676&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.614&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.000&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimate weight</td>
<td>0.698&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.930&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.423&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.754&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Mann-Whitney U–test, <sup>b</sup> Kruskall-Wallis test, <sup>c</sup> Pairwise Comparisons, with Bonferroni correction
Figure 1. Division, by percentage, of lifestyle counselling based on the available lifestyle counselling resources, how the lifestyle counselling was implemented and the benefits of the lifestyle counselling for the adolescents (n = 563) being evaluated.

Figure 2. Division, by percentage, of lifestyle counselling based on the content of the lifestyle counselling for the adolescents (n = 563) being evaluated.