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Objective. Electroencephalogram (EEG) recordings often contain large segments with missing signals due to poor electrode contact or other artifact contamination. Recovering missing values, contaminated segments and lost channels could be highly beneficial, especially for automatic classification algorithms, such as machine/deep learning models, whose performance relies heavily on high-quality data. The current study proposes a new method for recovering missing segments in EEG. Approach. In the proposed method, the reconstructed segment is estimated by substitution of the missing part of the signal with the normalized weighted sum of other channels. The weighting process is based on inter-channel correlation of the non-missing preceding and proceeding temporal windows. The algorithm was designed to be computationally efficient. Experimental data from patients (N = 20) undergoing general anesthesia due to elective surgery were used for the validation of the algorithm. The data were recorded using a portable EEG device with ten channels and a self-adhesive frontal electrode during induction of anesthesia with propofol from waking state until burst suppression level, containing lots of variation in both amplitude and frequency properties. The proposed imputation technique was compared with another simple-structure technique. Distance correlation (DC) was used as a measure of comparison evaluation. Main results: The proposed method with average distance correlation of 82.48±10.01 (µ ± σ)% outperformed its competitor with average distance correlation of 67.89±14.12 (µ ± σ)% . This algorithm also showed better performance for an increasing number of missing channels. Significance. the proposed technique provides an easy-to-implement and computationally efficient approach for the reliable reconstruction of missing or contaminated EEG segments.

Index Terms— neural time series, reconstruction, imputation, missing channel, correlation-based averaging, electroencephalography.

I. INTRODUCTION

When it comes to electroencephalography (EEG) recordings as one of the major modalities, widely used for neural systems and rehabilitation applications, there are many sources of variabilities including impedance change, shifts in electrode position, electrode popping and electrode shortcuts [1-3]. These faulty recordings lead to missing channels. Beside faulty electrodes, indigenous sources may also cause contamination, being spatially distributed around their neighboring electrodes. These artifacts with their particular distribution on specific channels create bad data in neighboring channels [4, 5] and may have spectral overlap with neurological activity of interest [6]. Therefore, these contaminated epochs are considered as bad epochs and totally removed from dataset. This may lead to missing large adjacent segments which reduce the amount of useable data and decrease the efficiency of monitoring systems.

Most of the machine learning and deep learning techniques require all channels to be available to the classifier in the decision-making process. If a channel is missing, those methods have no procedure available for exploiting information from remaining non-missing channels. This could lead to wasting potentially complementary information in non-missing channels. It could also happen that in training sets, all channels are available, but in test set, some samples may have one channel missing [7, 8]. To enhance the reliability of monitoring systems and to expand the dataset, estimation of missing values was focus of many researches in recent years. These range from different regression techniques to cluster-based imputation [9-13]. The main problem with these techniques is that their performance is significantly degraded by increasing the missing ratio. Therefore, they cannot be considered as a feasible remedy for the cases in which large adjacent sequences are lost for specific reason, in particular during long-duration artifacts. Furthermore, there has not been an in-depth study on the use of imputation methods for estimating missing channels or missing large segments in neural time series.

So far, there are few studies investigating the reconstruction of missing channel in physiological time series [14-20]. These can be categorized into two major groups of simple and complex techniques. The former group aimed to impute missing channel by taking average of other observed channels. The latter group makes use of machine/deep learning algorithms to impute missing channel based on the most similar features in other observed channels. Although, there is now a growing trend to more sophisticated imputation algorithms, sophisticated approaches may bring more accuracy, they come with other challenges like requirement for a large amount of data and computational resources. In this sense, the methods with simple structure can outperform sophisticated ones. Despite the importance of this issue, there is only a single study in the literature that addressed the imputation problem of missing channels in bio-signals using a simple method [14]. This method is based on weighted summation of other channels.
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considering their distance from missing channel. Although the method is simple, it still has some limitations: 1- The technique only focused on location of electrodes. 2- The study explored one scenario including 64 channels in which only a single channel was missed and the performance of method in presence of more missing channels was not investigated.

To this end, this paper aims to develop a simple imputation technique and explore more detailed analysis considering the inter-individual variations as well as the effect of increasing the number of missing channels. The method is based on the concept of statistical dependency structure in multimodal, multivariate and multisensory data with dynamically changing characteristics, the significance of which has been already proven in a wide range of practical applications [21-40].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Data collection and preprocessing

The study was approved by the Northern Ostrobothnia Hospital district local ethics committee (82/2018). Twenty adult patients (table 1) scheduled for an elective surgical operation gave an informed written consent to participate. Patients with cardiovascular or neurological diseases or a body mass index over 30 were excluded. No premedication was used.

During the study, the patients were monitored according the standard procedure of the operating room. In addition, EEG was recorded using the BrainStatus self-adhesive electrode and wireless device (Bittium, Oulu, Finland) and a tablet computer on which the signals were observed online. The EEG channels included were Fp1, Fp2, F7, F8, Af7, Af8, Sp1, Sp2, T9, and T10. Reference electrode location was in the middle of forehead. Sampling frequency was 250 Hz. The signals used in the analysis were recorded during the induction of anesthesia with propofol. The procedure included the following steps: 1) Base-line recording of at least 2 min. 2) Beginning of propofol infusion with a fixed rate of 30 mg/kg/h. 3) Observation of the moment for loss of obeying verbal command (LVC) i.e. the time at which the patient stops squeezing anesthesiologist’s hand after command (“squeeze my hand”). 4) Observation of the moment for occurrence of burst suppression pattern i.e. the time at which clear suppression periods occur in the EEG. 5) Ending of the recording after at least 2 min of BSP.

The analysis was preceded by high-pass filtering at 0.1 Hz and low-pass filtering at 32 Hz. The 30-second sequences were visually inspected and those sequences including major artifacts were excluded from further analysis.

B. Method

The proposed algorithm for reconstruction of missing channel is based on the weighted summation of signals from observed channels. The weighting process is done considering average temporal-spatial correlation of both preceding and proceeding temporal windows. The correlation is calculated between each channel across all samples within the window. Detailed equations for the proposed method are given in (1) to (3). Figure 1 shows different steps of proposed algorithm. Algorithm 1 presents the steps of reconstructing missing channel using correlation-dependent averaging.

**TABLE 1 Patient and data characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (F/M)</td>
<td>12/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, year (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>50.6 ± 15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>71.9 ± 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>170.6 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BL’ Starting time, min (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>2.9 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infusion Starting time, min (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>5.5 ± 4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVC’ Starting minute, (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>8.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSP’ Starting minute, (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>11.5 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA’ Starting minute, (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWA Ending minute, (Mean±SD)</td>
<td>12.6 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* BL: baseline, LVC: loss of obeying verbal command, SWA: slow-wave activity, BSP: burst suppression pattern

**Algorithm 1**

Algorithm for missing channel reconstruction using correlation-dependent averaging

Input: len= Window Length, S= Signal, N= Number of windows, j=Missing Channel Index, m=Number of Channels

Output: Reconstructed Signal

1: Initialization:
    Correlation Matrix of preceding window=[], Correlation Matrix of proceeding window=[], weight=[], \( \hat{S}=[] \)
2: for n=2 to N do
    3: Correlation Matrix of preceding window=Correlation\( \{S(:,1 + \text{len} \times (n-1):\text{len} \times (n))\} \)
    4: Correlation Matrix of proceeding window=Correlation\( \{S(:,1 + \text{len} \times (n+1):\text{len} \times (n+2))\} \)
    5: Average Correlation Matrix=
    \[
    \text{Correlation Matrices of preceding window + Correlation Matrices of proceeding window} \]
2
    6: weight= Average Correlation Matrix\( \{\text{all the channels} - j\text{th channel}\} \)
    7: \( \hat{S} = S\{\text{all the channels} - j\text{th channel}, 1 + \text{len} \times (n): \text{len} \times (n+1)\} \)
7: for k=1:m-1
   9: \( \hat{S}(k,:) = \text{weight}(k) \times \hat{S}'(k,:) \)
10: end for
11: Reconstructed Signal = summation\( \{\hat{S},1\} / \text{summation(weight)} \)
12: end for
13: return Reconstructed Signal
The matrix \( \bar{\omega} \) includes \( k \) rows and \( k \) columns which correspond to the \( k \) channels. This matrix is a symmetric matrix, therefore only first row of this matrix is considered, and each element within this row is multiplied by its corresponding channel.

The proposed weighting was inspired by general expression used for weighted average formula in the literature [13, 14, 18, 41-43].

C. Comparison to a Previous Method

A commonly used approach for reconstructing a missing EEG channel is weighted average of neighboring electrodes. The weighting process in this method is performed based on Euclidian distance of neighboring channels from the one which is missing. The hypothesis in this technique is that closer electrodes provides more information regarding the variations in missing channel in compared to those which are farther away. The mathematical expression for this method is expressed as follow [14]:

\[
\hat{S}_1 = \frac{\sum_k \bar{\omega}_k \times S_k}{\sum_k \bar{\omega}_k^{n+1}} = \left( \frac{\bar{\omega}_2 \times S_2}{\bar{\omega}_2} + \cdots + \frac{\bar{\omega}_m \times S_m}{\bar{\omega}_m} \right)
\]

where \( \bar{\omega} \) refers to the inverse Euclidean distance between two electrode positions of \( i \) and \( j \), being calculated from the cartesian coordinate of each electrode position.

\[ w_{ij} = \frac{1}{L_{ij}} \]

\[ L_{ij} = \sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2} \]

Algorithm 2 presents the steps of reconstructing missing channel using weighted averaged of neighboring electrodes.

A comparison study was conducted to evaluate the performance of this method and compare it with proposed algorithm. This comparison study was performed under different scenarios of increasing number of missing channels. The metric used for performance evaluation was distance correlation. The within-subject and between-subject variability of these distance correlations was also characterized as a function of the number of missing channels.

D. Performance metric

Distance correlation (DC), being used as performance metric in this study, measures both linear and nonlinear association between two signals and can be computed according to the following steps.

1.- Computing Euclidean distance (Ed) for all pairwise distances:
\[ Ed_{ij} = Ed(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (x_{ik} - x_{jk})^2 \] (5)

2. Taking double centered distance:
\[ \overline{Ed}_{ij}(\cdot) = Ed_{ij}(\cdot) - \overline{Ed}_{i}(\cdot) + \overline{Ed}_{j}(\cdot) + \overline{Ed}(\cdot) \] (6)

3. Computing arithmetic average of products of \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) as follow:
\[ dCov(S_1, S_2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{ij} \overline{Ed}_{ij}(S_1) \cdot \overline{Ed}_{ij}(S_2) \] (7)

4. Computing distance variances:
\[ dVar(S_1) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{ij} \overline{Ed}_{ij}(S_1)^2 \] (8)
\[ dVar(S_2) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{ij} \overline{Ed}_{ij}(S_2)^2 \]

5. Computing distance correlation:
\[ dCor(S_1, S_2) = \frac{dCov(S_1, S_2)}{\sqrt{dVar(S_1) \cdot dVar(S_2)}} \] (9)

III. RESULT

A. Results of implementing method

The average and standard deviation values of distance correlation was calculated per subject, and then the distribution of these values across all subjects was computed based on average, median, minimum and maximum values (Table 2).

For illustration, figure 2 shows the original signal of one EEG channel and its recovered version based on proposed technique. The computed corresponding distance correlation for this example was 95.14%, suggesting a strong statistical relationship between original and recovered signals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Proposed Method</th>
<th>Euclidean distance-based method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>82.48</td>
<td>10.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>84.07</td>
<td>10.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>92.87</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>40.02</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comparing the visual inspection of spectrogram plots for both original signal and its recovered version indicates a good performance of the proposed reconstruction method in recovering temporal-spectral progression patterns within the original EEG signal.

The distribution of the amplitude and its variability in both original signal and its recovered version are respectively given in figure 4 and 5. According to these figures, the recovered signal follows almost the same distribution as original one. It should be noted that uniform amplitude scaling seen in the results has no effect on the correlation measures [44]. However, using normalization, the uniform amplitude scaling can be eliminated.

### ALGORITHM 2

Algorithm for missing channel reconstruction using weighted averaged of neighboring electrodes

Input: \( len = \) Window Length, \( S = \) Signal, \( N = \) Number of windows, \( j = \) Missing Channel Index, \( m = \) Number of Channels

Output: Reconstructed Signal

1: Initialization:
XY=Electrode positions
Distance Matrix = Computes a matrix of pair-wise distances between XY points using Euclidean method
\[ weight=[j, \hat{S}]=[] \]

2: for \( n=1 \) to \( N \) do
3: \( weight= Distance Matrix \)
4: \( \hat{S}' = \hat{S}((\text{all the channels} - j\text{th channel}), 1 + len \times (n): len \times (n + 1)) \)
5: for \( k=1:m-l \)
6: \( \hat{S}(k,:) = weight(k) \times \hat{S}'(k,:) \)
7: end for
8: Reconstructed Signal = \( \text{summation}(\hat{S}, 1)/\text{summation}(weight) \)
9: end for
10: return Reconstructed Signal
Fig. 2. Comparison between original signal of one EEG channel with its recovered version for distance correlation of 95.14%  
(Second plot is enlarged version of first plot)

Fig. 3. Temporal-spectral progression patterns within original signal of one EEG channel and its recovered version for distance correlation of 95.14%

Fig. 4. Distribution of original signal and its recovered version for distance correlation of 95.14%

Fig. 5. Variability within original signal and its recovered version for distance correlation of 95.14%
B. Results of increasing number of missing channels

To further analyze the effectiveness of proposed method, different scenarios of increasing number of missing channels were implemented. The electrodes being removed in each scenario according to table 3 (Scenario 1-5).

According to the figures of 6 to 9, although the degradation rate of reconstruction accuracy increases with growing number of missing channels, the recovered version of signal still follows the temporal-spectral progression patterns within the original EEG signal.
C. Inter-subject and intra-subject variation

Chart 10 shows inter-subject and intra-subject variation of average correlation coefficient across all channels. Chart 11 illustrates the inter-subject variation in distance correlation of reconstructed channel.

D. Statistical analysis of within subject variability

The performance of proposed technique was evaluated and compared with that of presented in [11]. The electrodes being removed in each scenario are given in table 3. According to the statistical analysis of within subject variability (Table 4 and Figures of 12), there was a difference between the Coefficient of Variability of DC obtained by proposed method and Coefficient of Variability of DC obtained by Euclidean distance-based method. A t-test showed this difference was statistically significant, t(7) = -5.1, p = 0.001, 95% confidence interval (-10.98, -4.02). The within subject variability of distance correlation for proposed
method had lower values (M = 19.65, SD = 4.5) than the within subject variability of distance correlation for Euclidean distance-based method (M = 27.15, SD = 5.9).

Statistical analysis of between subject variability

According to the statistical analysis of between subject variability (Table 4 and Figures of 13), there was a difference between Coefficient of Variability of DC obtained by proposed method and Coefficient of Variability of DC obtained by Euclidean distance-based method (Considering distance correlation as performance metric). A t-test showed this difference was statistically significant, t(7) = -5.58, p = 0.001, 95% confidence interval (-5.42, -2.19). The between subject variability of distance correlation for proposed method had lower values (M = 16.32, SD = 1.51) than the between subject variability of distance correlation for Euclidean distance-based method (M = 20.12, SD = 1.86).

### TABLE 3
Lost channels in each scenario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Lost Channels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>'AT10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>'T10'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>'F8'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 4
Statistical analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Means within subject CV data</th>
<th>Means between subject CV data</th>
<th>Overall DC data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Std. Deviation</td>
<td>Std. Error Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euclidean distance-based method</td>
<td>27.15</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>t-test for paired samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method - Euclidean distance-based method</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% Confidence interval of the difference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lower</th>
<th>Upper</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed method - Euclidean distance-based method</td>
<td>-10.98</td>
<td>16.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F. Statistical analysis of performance

According to the statistical analysis of performance (Table 4 and Figures of 14), there was a difference between the DC obtained by proposed method and DC obtained by Euclidean distance-based method (Considering distance correlation as performance metric). A t-test showed this difference was statistically significant, t(7) = 4.59, p = 0.003, 95% confidence interval [5.35, 16.71]. The distance correlation for proposed method had higher values (M = 71.87, SD = 7.08) than the distance correlation for Euclidean distance-based method (M = 60.84, SD = 7.71).

G. Investigating the effect of window length on the results

According to figure 15, difference between average distance correlation obtained from proposed method and average distance correlation obtained from Euclidean distance-based method is higher for windows with shorter length. In general, the difference is significant for different window lengths.

IV. DISCUSSION

There are a limited number of studies exploring the imputation of missing channel in physiological signals, being categorized into two major groups of simple and complex techniques according to Table 5. Although the simplest approaches can outperform the more sophisticated ones in practical applications due to their fast speed, easy implementation and lower memory requirement, there is only one study in the literature, focusing on simple channel reconstruction in physiological signals [14]. This study imputed one missing channel based on a weighted average of observed channels, placing greater weight for spatially closer EEG channels. Despite the straightforward structure of this method, its performance degradation by increasing the number of missing channels was not investigated. Furthermore, this study has not taken into account subject-dependency of bio-signals. These signals can be highly subjective, and the performance of algorithm can change with different study subjects.

Considering the issue with earlier approach, current paper aimed to develop a simple correlation-based averaging approach for missing data imputation and provided an in-depth analysis by considering the inter-individual variations in bio-signal patterns as well as the effect of increasing the number of missing channels. The idea behind proposed reconstruction method was taking statistical dependency of multisensory data into consideration. This statistical dependency including spatio-temporal correlation patterns is captured through moving window and then integrated by local averaging to reconstruct missing EEG channel. The importance of considering statistical dependencies has been already proven in a wide range of practical applications summarized in table 6 [21-40]. Most of these techniques focused on reconstructing data based on correlation between patterns, correlation between envelopes and similarity between shapes and trajectories of data [24-29]. Some also emphasized on local correlation and similarity between neighboring sensors [38-39]. Furthermore, the EEG signals are result of brain activity and different channels monitor the same activity from different locations. Since, the source for all the signals is the same, it is likely that the EEG signals from different channels are correlated. Different cortical locations have also different degrees of correlation [15-17]. Therefore, considering the important role of correlation concept in neural signal reconstruction, this study tried to create a decaying weighting function which place more emphasis on highly correlated channels than those that are less correlated. Moreover, since the proposed method is not based on electrodes position, it does not need to adopt for different set of electrodes and different scalp maps as every single subject has unique geometry and shape of the head [14].

The major contributions in this study are summarized as follows: 1- The proposed reconstruction technique considered heterogenous nature of physiological time series due to the variability in time-frequency characteristic over long-duration monitoring by embedding information within both preceding and proceeding temporal windows. 2- The
The proposed method embedded inter-channel dependency of neural time series by considering temporal-spatial correlation. The current study investigated the performance degradation of reconstruction with increase in the number of missing channels. The current study explored the performance of imputation for inter-individual variability by analyzing different subjects. Reconstruction of missing channels was performed on a dataset captured by 10-channel forehead self-adhesive electrodes. Reconstruction technique performance was evaluated on dataset associated with measurements during different stages of anesthesia induction in which the EEG time-frequency characteristic varies a lot.

Considering the results of current study, distance correlation of reconstructed signals using proposed algorithm was 21.5% higher, on average, than those of being reconstructed by the earlier approach (82.5% vs. 67.7%, see Table 2). The proposed technique also showed more robustness for losing several channels. This easy-to-implement approach would be computationally efficient due to its simple structure. It also requires no learning process and hyperparameter optimization. The limitation of this technique is that it could be only applicable for multichannel recordings.

### TABLE 5
Literature review of reconstruction techniques for missing channel in physiological time series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Method</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Modality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simple Approaches</td>
<td>Normalized weighted summation of other channels considering inverse distance [14]</td>
<td>EEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Based on Optimization</td>
<td>Row-sparse recovery by exploiting the transform domain sparsity considering inter-channel correlation in which sparse transform domain coefficients are reconstructed by solving an optimization problem [15, 16]</td>
<td>EEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex Approaches</td>
<td>Applying Karhunen Loeve transform and solving an optimization problem with sparsity constraint to learn the inter-channel correlation, and then using learnt correlation to reconstruct missing channel [45]</td>
<td>EEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Based on Deep Learning</td>
<td>Recovering missing channel by feeding raw signals of other channels to a Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Recurrent Neural Network (RNN) [17]</td>
<td>EEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mapping channels into a tensor that reflects the special locations of electrode and then feeding it into a deep encoder-decoder network [18]</td>
<td>EEG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovering missing channel by feeding other channels into a deep neural network [19]</td>
<td>EMG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predicting missing channel by feeding other channels into a focused time-delayed neural network, distributed time-delayed neural network, and nonlinear autoregressive network [20]</td>
<td>ECG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 6
Literature review of reconstruction techniques considering statistical dependencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Details</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓ Hierarchical correlation reconstruction [21]</td>
<td>Local averaging over past values considering probability distribution and applying exponentially decaying weights</td>
<td>Non-stationary time series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Spearman's rank correlation coefficient [22]</td>
<td>Monotonically quantifying correlation between two time-series in high-dimensional data</td>
<td>High dimensional chemical data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Pairwise correlations [23]</td>
<td>Considering n-dimensional joint probability distribution</td>
<td>Biological data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Cross-modal interaction [24]</td>
<td>Correlation coefficient between envelopes</td>
<td>Speech signals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Correlation patterns [25]</td>
<td>Correlation patterns as a function of time</td>
<td>Climatic signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Correlation caused by the underlying trend in the time-series [26]</td>
<td>Based on Pearson correlation coefficient</td>
<td>Climatic time-series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Correlations between different modalities [27]</td>
<td>Based on producing moment correlation coefficient</td>
<td>Temperature signal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Locally adaptive linear interpolation [28]</td>
<td>Maintaining the essential shape of the time-series trajectory</td>
<td>Remote sensing time series data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓ Global correlation information [29]</td>
<td>Based on Pearson correlation coefficient</td>
<td>Synthetic Time Series</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This study presented a simple method for implementing missing channel imputation on neural time series based on temporal-spatial correlation. The result showed that the proposed algorithm outperforms the Euclidean distance-based weighted reconstruction [14]. This method has the benefit of simple structure and no requirement for any training or hyper parameter tuning. Considering only preceding windows, this algorithm with its low computational complexity can be also adapted for real-time reconstruction. The proposed method has also potential to use in data augmentation and generating synthetic time series from the existing ones which could be highly beneficial in enhancing machine/deep learning algorithms. Regarding these, a further investigation will be conducted in future.
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